

October 25, 2001

## OBSERVATION REPORT #46

KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the *Verizon Order EDI Guide*.<sup>1</sup>

### Issue

KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the field/data value locations and descriptions, contained in the *Verizon Order EDI Guide* located on the Verizon website.<sup>2</sup> The issues uncovered are detailed in Exhibit 1 below.

### Exhibit 1: Issues Uncovered in the *Verizon Order EDI Guide*

Item	Field No.	Form	Field	Issue
1	20a, 20b	LSNP	CBCID1 CBCID2	On pages 241 and 242 of the v4.7.1 Order Business Rules for LSNP, new fields CBCID1 and CBCID2 were added to the 'ECCKT' Group. However, on page 254 in the LSNP - Report of Trans Groupings, 'ECCKT' is not defined as a valid Group Name. Please clarify the Group Name for fields CBCID1 and CBCID2.
2	24c, 24d	LSNP	CBP1 CBP2	On page 243 of the v4.7.1 Order Business Rules for LSNP, new fields CBP1 and CBP2 were added to the 'ECCKT' Group. However, on page 254 in the LSNP - Report of Trans Groupings, 'ECCKT' is not defined as a valid Group Name. Please clarify the Group Name for fields CBP1 and CBP2.
3	7	AIN	LNUM	On page 1 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LNUM is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LNUM' in the REF03. However, in the AIN EDI Example 3.1, the PO1/REF02 mapping for LNUM appears to be the literal 'LNUM' and LNUM is depicted in the REF03.
4	61	CRS	IWJQ	On page 12 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, IWJQ is depicted as mapping in the PO1/SLN04 with qualifying data element SLN DE235='EQ.' However, in the CRS EDI Example 3.2, IWJQ is depicted in the PO1/SLN04 with no SLN DE235 qualifying data elements.
5	17	DRS	DTKACT	On page 16 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, DTKACT includes field comments 'See table 8 for valid values Valid OBF values are N, D, C,V.' However, Table 8 depicts valid values for the LOOPSTAT field. DTKACT valid values are depicted in Table 7 - LNA/ACT.

<sup>1</sup> *Verizon Order EDI Guide*, Version 4.7.1.

<sup>2</sup> [http://128.11.40.241/east/business\\_rules/master.htm](http://128.11.40.241/east/business_rules/master.htm)

Item	Field No.	Form	Field	Issue
6	39a	LR	HT	On page 58 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, HT is defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/SI. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, HT is depicted in the Hunt Group PO1/SI. Please clarify the correct mapping. -Observation resolved by CR# 2234, issued 9/20/01.
7	47	LR	DTNR	On page 59 of the v4.7.1 Order Business Rules, DTNR is defined with occurrences of '0,1.' However, on page 96 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, DTNR includes comments 'T9 Qualifier will repeat at the PO1/SI level for each range.' Please clarify the number of occurrences.
8	48	LR	LNUM	On page 60 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LNUM is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LNUM' in the REF03. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Circuit Detail PO1/REF02 mapping for LNUM appears to be the literal 'LNUM' and LNUM is depicted in the REF03.
9	49	LR	LNEX	On page 60 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LNEX is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LNEX' in the REF03. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Circuit Detail PO1/REF02 mapping for LNEX appears to be the literal 'LNEX' and LNEX is depicted in the REF03.
10	60	LR	LORD	On page 61 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LORD is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LORD' in the REF03. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Circuit Detail PO1/REF02 mapping for LORD appears to be the literal 'LORD' and LORD is depicted in the REF03.
11	104a, 104b, 104c	LR	LTN, LACTCODE, LFID	On page 68 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, fields LTN, LACTCODE, and LFID are defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/SI03. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Directory Section PO1/SLN/SI03 mapping for LTN, LACTCODE, and LTN appears to be a literal.
12	104d	LR	ALI	On page 68 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, ALI is defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/N1/REF02 with literal 'ALI' in the REF03. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Directory Section PO1/SLN/N1/REF02 mapping for ALI appears to be the literal 'ALI.'
13	104f	LR	LA	On page 68 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LA is defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/N1/N3. However, in the LR EDI Example 3.8 and Jeopardy Notification 3.8.1, the Directory Section PO1/SLN/N1/N3 mapping for LA appears to be the literal 'LA.'
14	17h	LSRBCM	LA	On page 73 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LA is defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/N1/N3. However, in the LSRBCM EDI Example 3.9, the PO1/SLN/N1/N3 mapping for LA appears to be the literal 'LA.'

*This observation report is for discussion purposes only and is subject to change without notice.*

Item	Field No.	Form	Field	Issue
15	16a, 16b	LS	CBCID1, CBCID2	On page 99 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, fields CBCID1 and CBCID2 are defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/SI with qualifying data element N902=CB1 and CB2. However, in the LS EDI Example 3.12, the N902 appears to be a value rather than the literal 'CB1' and 'CB2.'
16	20a, 20b	LSNP	CBCID1, CBCID2	On page 106 and 107 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, fields CBCID1 and CBCID2 are defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/SI with qualifying data element N902=CB1 and CB2. However, in the LSNP EDI Example 3.13, the N902 appears to be a value rather than the literal 'CB1' and 'CB2.'
17	29b	NP	TC NAME2	On page 119 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, TC NAME2 is defined as mapping in the PO1/SLN/N1/N2. However, the mapping comments depict PO1/SLN/N2 mapping.
18	84	RPL	LOCNUM	On page 148 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LOCNUM is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LOCNUM' in the REF03. However, in the RPL EDI Example 3.18, the Primary Service Detail PO1/REF02 mapping for LOCNUM appears to be the literal 'LOCNUM' and LOCNUM is depicted in the REF03.
19	85	RPL	LNUM	On page 149 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, LNUM is defined as mapping in the PO1/REF02 with literal 'LNUM' in the REF03. However, in the RPL EDI Example 3.18, the Primary Service Detail PO1/REF02 mapping for LNUM appears to be the literal 'LNUM' and LNUM is depicted in the REF03.
20	93/108	RPL	IWJQ	On page 150 and 152 of the v4.7.1 Order EDI Guidelines, IWJQ is depicted as mapping in the Primary and Secondary PO1/SLN04 with qualifying data element SLN DE235='EQ.' However, in the RPL EDI Example 3.18, IWJQ is depicted in the Primary and Secondary Service Detail PO1/SLN04 with no SLN DE235 qualifying data elements.

### Assessment

CLECs require accurate order EDI documentation in order to build their interface software and generate order transactions in accordance with the business rules.