

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
013	KPMG Consulting observed that orders did not flow-through as expected	Open	Under Discussion	<p>07/10/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/17/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/24/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/31/01: Verizon indicated they agree with the findings. Verizon’s responses to the three items in Exhibit 1 are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Item 1 – The service order was automatically received, however, the LSC (LSRLR) “hung” in the system. NMC staff manually “forced” the response to KPMG Consulting. Verizon does not know the root cause but is still investigating.</li> <li>▪ Items 2 &amp; 3 – A system condition caused the orders to fall to Level 2. A system fix was implemented on 6/24 to correct the problem. In addition, KPMG Consulting had opened a trouble ticket related to this specific condition and similar orders were subsequently tested in SRT.</li> </ul> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/07/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that an amended observation has been issued with an additional PON (Item 4). Verizon responded to Item 4 indicating that the information was incorrectly stored in Circuit ID table and</p>	<p>VA Observation Report 13 v2</p> <p>VA Observation Report 13 v3</p> <p>VA Observation Report 13 v4</p> <p>VA Observation Report 13 v5</p>

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>that the table was refreshed on 7/25. In addition, Verizon recanted what they said last week and indicated that KPMG Consulting had not conducted a re-test in SRT and that it would be appropriate for KPMG Consulting to proceed with a re-test. KPMG Consulting indicated that it would be re-tested in production and that we would like to know the root cause for error in Item 1.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the root cause for Item 1 at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/14/01: Verizon indicated that the root cause for Item 1 was that a system condition affected retrieving the confirmation from the retrieval table queue. A system fix was implemented on 6/28 to address this problem.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/21/01: KPMG Consulting asked Verizon to clarify the root cause for Item 1. Verizon indicated that a system condition, defect or “bug” in the table affected the retrieval process of confirmations. This condition was detected internally by Verizon and corrected on 6/28.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.            10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that a revised observation has been issued. Verizon issued the following responses to Items 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20 &amp; 21 in the observation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The PON for Item 5 had G as the 3rd character in the TOS (Type of Service) field. On August 21, 2001 Verizon updated the Generic Flow-Through Scenarios and excluded this scenario as flow-through eligible.</li> <li>▪ The PONs for Items 6-8 and 18 were processed manually after they encountered an error in a back-end system. This condition caused the failure of a database look-up. A system fix has been identified and is targeted for a 10/6 release date.</li> <li>▪ The PONs for Items 9-13 were processed manually after they encountered an error in a back-end system. The improper mapping of an ExpressTRAK USOC (R1M) caused this condition. A system fix has been identified and is targeted for a 10/20 release date.</li> <li>▪ The PONs for Items 14-17 were processed manually after they encountered an error in a back-end system. The improper handling of system warning messages caused this condition. Verizon detected this internally and a system fix was implemented on 9/18.</li> <li>▪ For Item 19, the test account was built incorrectly due to one circuit id being typed without the last</li> </ul>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>digit. This resulted in a mismatch between the LSR and CSR, causing the PON to fall for manual handling. The representative corrected the mismatch and the request was processed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The PON for Item 20 was processed manually due to an input error on the LSR, resulting in the incorrect submission of the BTN on the LSR. The listing should have been submitted with BTN 0239180016, but instead was submitted with BTN 0239180015. The representative corrected this and the request was processed.</li> <li>▪ The PON for Item 21 was processed manually after it encountered an error in a back-end system. A system condition caused service orders to be generated with incomplete provisioning information. Verizon detected this condition internally and a system fix was implemented on 9/18.</li> </ul> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: <b>KPMG Consulting</b> indicated we agreed with Verizon’s response for Items 5, 19 and 20 and will revise the observation. In addition, KPMG Consulting will retest Items 6-18 and 21 after October 20, 2001, when all system fixes should be in place.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will revise the observation and address the issue at the October 23 Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/16/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we issued a revision of the observation and that we will retest Items 6-18 and 21 after October 20, 2001, when all system fixes should be in place.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>10/23/01: KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>10/23/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue to retest Items 6-18 and 21 during production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>10/30/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue to retest Items 6-18 and 21 during production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue to retest Items 6-18 and 21 during production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 1-4, 6-13, 18, 19 and 21 have been retested in production and currently flow through and that Items 5, 19 and 20 have been rescinded. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 14-17 are currently being retested and that we will address them at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 1-4, 6-13, 18 and 21 have been retested in production and currently flow through and that Items 22-26 have been added since the last Observation meeting. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 14-17 are being retested and that we are currently analyzing them. Verizon indicated that Items 22 and 23 were processed manually after encountering an error in Verizon's system and that a system fix is scheduled for December 1, 2001 to correct</p> </li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>them. In addition, Verizon indicated that Items 24-26 encountered errors due to a test bed set-up for their accounts and that they would correct these accounts. WorldCom asked a clarifying question in regard to the nature of the test bed error. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
014	KPMG Consulting did not receive accurate and complete Universal Service Order Code (USOC) documentation for expressTRAK	Open	Under Discussion	<p>07/10/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the document noted in the observation is for legacy USOCs and not for eTRAK USOCs. Process documentation for obtaining the eTRAK USOC list is planned for release on July 21, 2001. In addition, Verizon indicated that they have been providing eTRAK USOCs via change control to CLECs that have signed an NDA.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will further investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/17/01: KPMG Consulting indicated the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Account Manager informed KPMG Consulting that the eTRAK USOC list is available through FTP.</li> <li>▪ According to Change Release #1573, the process documentation for obtaining eTRAK USOCs via FTP should have been available by June 16, 2001.</li> <li>▪ KPMG Consulting signed a NDA, however, has not received an updated eTRAK USOC list since December 2000.</li> </ul> <p>Verizon indicated that the most recent eTRAK USOC list was sent via Change Control during the second week of May and that KPMG Consulting should have received it through the normal Change Control process.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1094 342 1688 428"> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 1em; margin-left: -1em;">▪</span> Both <b>Verizon</b> and <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will further investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.         </li> <li data-bbox="978 435 1717 915">           07/24/01: Verizon indicated that they are still investigating and would like to defer their response until the next Observation meeting. KPMG Consulting confirmed that we had not received any updated eTRAK USOC list since December 2000. In addition, KPMG Consulting asked where we would find documentation related to the process of obtaining the eTRAK USOC list, scheduled for release on 7/21. In addition, KPMG Consulting asked how the 7/21 date relates to Change Control #1573 which indicates 6/16 as the release date. Verizon indicated that the release date has been deferred to August. AT&amp;T asked a clarifying question whether KPMG Consulting is looking for two separate Change Control messages that change the date: one for change from June to July and another for change from July to August. KPMG Consulting concurred.           <p data-bbox="1094 922 1247 948"><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1094 954 1709 1013"> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 1em; margin-left: -1em;">▪</span> <b>Verizon</b> will further investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.             </li> </ul> </li> <li data-bbox="978 1019 1717 1321">           07/31/01: Verizon indicated that KPMG Consulting should have received the updated eTRAK USOC list via VA Change Control on 5/30 and 6/18. Further, Verizon indicated that CR #1573 addresses the process of obtaining the eTRAK USOC list and that the availability of the list is discussed during Change Control and Industry Change meetings. KPMG Consulting reiterated that we had not received an updated eTRAK USOC list since December 2000 and that CR #1573 indicates that the list should be available via FTP on 6/16.           <p data-bbox="1094 1328 1247 1354"><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1094 1360 1709 1411"> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 1em; margin-left: -1em;">▪</span> <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.             </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>08/07/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we obtained a copy of July Industry Change Control Meeting Materials and reviewed updates to CR #1573. However, there is no mention of changed release date of 7/21. According to the documentation, on 6/18 Verizon indicated eTRAK USOCs had been updated with the June 2001 release, and then on 6/20 Verizon changed the status on eTRAK USOC availability to “Requirements Pending.” Although the Industry Change Control Meeting material indicated “requirements pending” for eTRAK USOC availability, KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon’s “USOC Description and Rate Database” documentation was updated sometime after July 31 to state that the file available via FTP contains both Legacy and eTRAK USOCs and provided instructions on obtaining USOCs via FTP. We followed the instructions in the “USOC Description and Rate Database” to obtain the USOC list via FTP and observed that the list does contain both Legacy and eTRAK USOCs, however, it is not a complete list. The new file available via FTP still does not contain the USOC “DTL” for Dial Tone Line as stated in the observation. In addition, KPMG Consulting found that the “USOC Description and Rate Database” documentation does not provide Column Definitions for the eTRAK USOCs.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/14/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would defer this issue until next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/21/01: KPMG Consulting summarized the overall issue into 3 categories:</p>	



Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>No eTRAK USOC Updates since December 2000</b>            – KPMG Consulting followed the process and submitted our NDA; however, from Verizon’s perspective, there was no signed NDA with KPMG Consulting. Therefore, KPMG Consulting was not added to the distribution list for the eTRAK USOC updates.  <b>Resolution</b> – Verizon indicated that KPMG Consulting has been added to the distribution list; however, KPMG Consulting may not receive any additional eTrak USOC updates since the new FTP process is in place. In addition, to ensure that future CLEC requests are processed appropriately, a new process is now in affect. CLECs must work through their respective Account Managers to be included in the distribution list.</li> <li>▪ <b>Change Control Notifications</b> – There appears to be three different dates associated with the eTRAK USOC retrieval process via FTP:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The CR #1573 indicates that the new process should be available on 6/18.</li> <li>▪ On 6/20, a change was made to CR #1573 to indicate that the new process is scheduled for August.</li> <li>▪ During VA Observation calls, Verizon indicated the new process should be ready on 7/21.</li> </ul> <b>Resolution</b> – KPMG Consulting indicated that the 7/21 date was an internal Verizon release date, which Verizon shared during the Observation calls. The public date for the new process was originally 6/18 and then revised to August. The new FTP process for obtaining eTRAK USOC is now in affect and has been discussed in the CR meetings with CLECs.</li> <li>▪ <b>eTRAK USOC Materials on the Web</b> – The document KPMG Consulting referenced during the</li> </ul>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>8/7 Observation call, “USOC Description and Rate Database,” was obtained from the Verizon website. However, according to Verizon this document is a “test version” that was posted to the web site prematurely.</p> <p><b>Resolution</b> – Verizon indicated that the new FTP process is now in affect and the “official” documents are available for KPMG Consulting to download and verify.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting recapped the 3 major categories from last week’s call and indicated that the eTRAK USOC update notification was e-mailed to us on 6/18. In addition, we confirmed that the new FTP process is available on the web site and that we followed the instructions to obtain the new eTRAK USOC material. However, we had additional questions regarding the new material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>“USOC Description and Rate Database”</b> – Following the FTP instructions available on the website, KPMG Consulting obtained a copy of the new “USOC Description and Rate Database” document and noted that instructions for both Legacy and eTRAK USOC files, and document change summary were available. However, we noted that the instructions on how to parse and segment the columns of the eTRAK USOC file are not available; making it difficult to correctly export the eTRAK USOC list in a proper format.</li> <li>▪ <b>Missing “DTL” USOC</b> – KPMG Consulting indicated that the “DTL” USOC is still missing in the new eTRAK USOC list. Verizon indicated that “DTL” is not included in the eTRAK USOC list</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p style="text-align: right;">because “DTL” is not required on LSRs; however, it can be seen on CSRs.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/04/01: Verizon indicated that they would like to defer this Observation until next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.</p> <p>10/02/01: Verizon proposed to defer the observation until next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: Verizon indicated that an incorrect file format was posted to the Verizon website and will provide dates for when this will be corrected and when KPMG Consulting can begin retesting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/16/01: Verizon indicated that they will correct the incorrect file format posted to the Verizon website and that in order to help CLECs, they will add a file description for the ExpressTRAK USOC file format, both of which will take place in November.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue in November, when the changes to the website will have taken place.</li> </ul>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>10/23/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would verify the correct file format and the additional file description that Verizon will post to the website in November.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue on November 13, 2001 when the changes to the website will have taken place.</li> </ul> <p>10/30/01: Deferred until the November 13, 2001 Observation meeting.</p> <p>11/06/01: Deferred until the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we downloaded what we presumed to be the most recent version of the USOC documentation and are currently analyzing it. Verizon indicated that this USOC documentation has not yet been updated and that they plan to do so this week. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review the documentation once it has been updated and address it at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the documentation once it has been updated and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting inquired as to whether or not Verizon had updated the USOC documentation. Verizon indicated that the USOC documentation had been updated on the Verizon website. KPMG Consulting indicated that we are currently reviewing the updated documentation and that we are able to confirm that the file description is included in the documentation. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
027	Verizon failed to use the proper codes when provisioning switch translations.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>08/21/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agreed with Items 2 &amp; 5 but not Items 1, 3, &amp; 4. Items 2 &amp; 5 resulted from a rep. error. The rep. typed in an incorrect hunting sequence. Verizon verbally reinforced the importance of ordering hunting type scenarios with the rep. As for Item 1, code for 900 blocking is “90M” not “97M.” As for Items 3 &amp; 4, KPMG Consulting’s expected codes are not the orders KPMG Consulting placed. The PONs are processed correctly according to the request.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are still reviewing and would like to defer until the next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we disagree with Verizon’s response to Items 1, 3, and 4. The code indicated in Item 1, “97M” was provided by Verizon, not “90M” as indicated on the Observation call. As for Items 3 and 4, because these items are complex and would be handled better in written form, we requested that Verizon provide us with the detail on the specifics of their disagreement with our assessment. Verizon requested that we provide them with the documentation (USOC code-Switch Programming code translation worksheet) that we used to conduct the validation regarding the “97M” code.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide the documentation to Verizon that provided the code for 900 blocking.</li> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will provide the reason for the disagreement with Items 3 and 4 in writing.</li> </ul> <p>09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.</p>	VA Observation Report 27 v2

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.            10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Issues 1, 2, and 5 remain open. During previous Observation meetings, Verizon indicated the correct code for Issue 1 is “90M,” however, the correct code is “90L.” In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that the observation had incorrectly named the code as “97M” and would revise the observation. Subsequently, Issue 1 would be retested. Issues 2 and 5 would be verified during upcoming follow-up process tests. Items 3 and 4 would be taken out to reflect that the PONs were processed correctly.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will amend the observation and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are working on an updated version of the observation and that we are planning to retest after October 22, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will issue an updated version of the observation and address any follow-up questions at the October 23 Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/16/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we issued a revision of the observation and that we would begin retest activities after October 22, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/23/01: Verizon indicated that they had no further response to the observation, given the second revision. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue our testing activities.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address any follow-up</li> </ul>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p style="text-align: center;">questions at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>10/30/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue our testing activities and proposed to defer the observation until the November 13, 2001 Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the November 13, 2001 Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue our testing activities and proposed to defer the observation until the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue our testing activities and report on them next week. In addition, KPMG Consulting proposed to defer the observation until our documentation request is received. Verizon indicated that the documentation requested would be delivered by COB November 14, 2001. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review the documentation and address it at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the documentation and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue our testing activities and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that we would send any necessary updates via email.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting and send any necessary updates via email.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
028	KPMG Consulting observed incomplete metric results in the Verizon Virginia May and June 2001 Aggregate Carrier-to-Carrier (C2C) reports.	Deferred	Deferred until December	<p>08/21/01: Opened; Verizon indicated they have partial response. They agreed with Items 1 – 9 and 12 – 15 and would like to defer their response to Items 10, 11, &amp; 16 until the next week. Items 1 – 9 will be reported in the August data month report and Items 12 – 15 will be reported in the July data month report.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the data month reports and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address Items 10, 11, &amp; 16 at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated we received the July data month report and began conducting analysis and would report next week. Verizon indicated that they would start reporting on Items 10 and 11 with the November data month report. As for Item 16, Verizon asked if the metric should be PO-08-01 and not OR-08-01. KPMG Consulting agreed and indicated an amendment to the observation would be issued.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review July, August, and November data month reports and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address Item 16 at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that an amended observation has been issued and that Items 12 and 13 are corrected in the June data month report; however, Items 14 and 15 still remain under development. Verizon indicated that they would start reporting on Item 16 sometime in the 4th quarter of this year, but did not have a firm date.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the August data month report for Items 1 – 9 and the November data</li> </ul>	VA Observation Report 28 v2



**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>month report for Items 10 and 11 and address any follow-up questions at the subsequent Observation meetings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address Items 14 and 15 at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.            09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.            10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 1-9 have been corrected in the August data month report. Items 10 and 11 are expected to be corrected in the November data month report. Items 12 and 13 have been corrected in the June data month report. Items 14 and 15 were expected to be corrected in the July data month report; however, they remain in error and we are waiting for further instruction from Verizon. Item 16 is expected to be corrected in the fourth quarter data month report. Verizon indicated that Items 14 and 15 should be corrected in the October data month report. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would defer the observation until we receive the October and November data month reports from Verizon to verify the Items 10, 11, 14, 15, and 16.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the October and November data month report for Items 10, 11, and 14 - 16 and address any follow-up questions at the subsequent Observation meetings.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: Deferred until the end of November.            10/16/01: Deferred until the end of November.            10/23/01: Deferred until the end of November.            10/30/01: Deferred until the end of November.            11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 14 and 15 were reported in the September data month report and are thus compliant. KPMG Consulting asked if Items 10, 11 and</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>16 would be reported in the October or November data month report. Verizon indicated that they would report next week on Items 10, 11, and 16. KPMG Consulting proposed to continue to defer the Observation until the end of November when the October data month report will be available for review.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the November 27, 2001 Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: Deferred until the November 27, 2001 Observation meeting.</p> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we plan to receive the October data month report next week and that we would address it subsequent to our review. KPMG Consulting consequently deferred the observation until December.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the October data month report upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
031	KPMG Consulting received late Rejected responses for pre-orders submitted via the Electronic Data Interface (EDI).	Open	Under Discussion	<p>10/02/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would like additional information and asked for the 47 PONs that passed the test.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide 47 PONs to Verizon.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we provided the 53 PONs to Verizon. Verizon indicated that they compared their own Receipt and Outbound times and calculated an average response time of 4.04 seconds, which satisfies the required Rejected response time of 4.16 seconds as indicated by the PO-1-07 metric in the August report.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>10/16/01: KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>10/16/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that although Verizon’s experience demonstrates that the required response time was met, we rely on our own experience to determine whether or not standards are met. In addition, KPMG Consulting proposed to keep the observation open throughout transaction testing.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> </li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>10/23/01: KPMG Consulting proposed to leave the observation open through production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> </li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>10/30/01: KPMG Consulting proposed to leave the observation open through production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide any additional updates at the next Observation meeting.</p> </li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/06/01: KPMG Consulting proposed to leave the observation open through production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide any additional updates at the next Observation meeting.</p> </li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue to review the observation through production and will provide an update on the status of the observation next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide an update on the status of the observation at the next Observation meeting.</p> </li> </ul> </li> <li> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would continue to</p> </li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>review the observation through production. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that in our experience, Verizon has not yet met the PO-1-07 metric. WorldCom asked a clarifying question in regard to the escalation of this Observation to Exception status, which they will send in written form to both KPMG Consulting and the Commission.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will continue to review the observation and provide any updates at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
035	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon incorrectly sent Local Service Request Local Responses (LSRLRs).	Proposed to Close	Discussion Complete	<p>10/02/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/09/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/16/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/23/01: Verizon indicated that they would issue a bulletin to clarify the Business Rules and deliver it to CLECs next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/30/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we revised the observation and looked for, but were unable to find, the bulletin issued by Verizon to CLECs. Verizon indicated</p>	VA Observation Report 35 v2

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>that they were unable to issue the bulletin to CLECs during the previous week but that they intend to issue it in the coming week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we looked for, but were unable to find, the bulletin that Verizon intended to issue subsequent to the last Observation meeting. In addition, KPMG Consulting inquired as to whether or not the bulletin has been issued by Verizon. Verizon indicated that they were unable to issue the bulletin to CLECs during the previous week and that they do not have an estimated date for its issue.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon its issuance and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we looked for, but were unable to find, the bulletin that Verizon intended to issue subsequent to the last Observation meeting. Verizon indicated that the bulletin is currently going through an internal review process. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review the bulletin upon its issuance and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon its issuance and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that according to Change Request #2325, the URL on the ERL form is no longer a prohibited field in UNE-Pg to UNE-P migrations and consequently proposed to close the observation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
039	KPMG Consulting observed incomplete metric results in the Verizon July 2001 KPMG CLEC Specific Carrier-to-Carrier (C2C) reports.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>10/09/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that Items 1-9 are included in the August data month reports, that partial data for Item 10 is included in the September data month and full data for Item 10 would be included in the October data month reports, that Item 11 would be included in the October data month reports, and that Item 12 would be included in one of the fourth quarter data month reports. KPMG Consulting indicated that we are aware of the presence of Items 1-9 in the August data month reports and proposed to defer the observation until the presence of Items 10-12 in their respective data month reports could be verified.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will defer the observation until the end of November when the October data month reports would be available.</li> </ul> <p>10/16/01: Deferred until the end of November.            10/23/01: Deferred until the end of November.            10/30/01: Deferred until the end of November.            11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 10 and 11 were reported in the September data month report and are thus compliant. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that Item 12 remains outstanding and asked Verizon when this item will be reported. Verizon stated that it would provide an update at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: Verizon indicated that they are currently waiting for</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>confirmation from their metrics team for Item 12 before it can be reported. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting inquired as to whether or not Verizon had determined the month in which they would report on Item 12. Verizon indicated that the month in which Item 12 would be reported was yet to be determined.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> indicated that we would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
040	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon does not have documented methods and procedures for ADC-Pair Gain (ADC) and Adtran High Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Loop (HDSL) Remote Units.	Proposed to Close	Discussion Complete	<p>10/23/01: Opened.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> and <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>10/30/01: Verizon indicated that the methods and procedures for Four Wire Digital DS1 Loop South could be found on Verizon’s website, which has been updated.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> indicated that we would review the information on Verizon’s website and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/06/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are waiting for Verizon to send us the Four Wire Digital DS1 Loop South methods and procedures documentation. Verizon indicated that they are currently in the process of pulling together the documentation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the documentation sent by Verizon and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are waiting for Verizon to send us the Four Wire Digital DS1 Loop</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>South methods and procedures documentation. Verizon indicated that they would send the documentation via email. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review the documentation sent by Verizon and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the documentation sent by Verizon and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we received and reviewed the Four Wire Digital DS1 Loop South methods and procedures documentation and consequently proposed to close the observation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
045	KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the Verizon Pre-Order EDI Guide.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>10/30/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they are reviewing the Pre-Order EDI Guide and intend to issue a bulletin to CLECs addressing the issues raised in the observation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/06/01: Verizon indicated that they do not agree with the findings for Items 1 and 22 because although the forms are not in a particular order, they only need to correspond to the Business Rules table of contents, which is the case. A bulletin has been issued to correct Item 2. Verizon indicated that they do not agree with the findings for Item 3 because the Parsing Rules for Custom Calls field defined in the EDI Guide actually represents the Feature Function Available field, which is defined in Appendix 2.16. A change request will be issued the week of November 26, 2001 for Items 4-6, 8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24. Bulletin #2312 will be issued the week beginning</p>	VA Observation Report 45 v2



**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>November 12, 2001 to provide an interim workaround for Item 16; in addition, an EDI mapping change will be issued on December 15, 2001 to resolve Item 16. For Item 7, Verizon indicated that this error resulted from a manual error. A sample change log will be manually generated for Item 7. Bulletin #2252 will be issued on November 12, 2001 to correct Item 9.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletins, change request, EDI mapping change and sample log change when they are issued. In addition, KPMG Consulting will investigate the items that remain open and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we accept Verizon’s response to Items 1 and 22 that the forms are not in a particular order, that their position should correspond with the Table of Contents only, and that the Business Rules and the Verizon Pre-Order EDI Guide correspond with the Table of Contents. We will look for the bulletin(s) to be issued the week of November 26, 2001 to clarify Items 2, 4-6, 8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 and have a response at the subsequent Observation meeting. We accept Verizon’s response to Item 3 that the actual field defined in the Verizon Pre-Order EDI Guide represents the Feature Function Availability and that Appendix 2.16 further defines this field. For Item 7, KPMG Consulting indicated that Verizon indicated that the error was a manual error and that a sample change log would be manually generated. Change Request #2252, issued on October 11, 2001, resolved Item 9. We proposed to defer our response to Item 16 until the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin(s) to be issued the week of November 26, 2001 and address</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>Items 2, 4-6, 8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 at the subsequent Observation meeting; revise the observation to reflect the issuance of the bulletin(s), our acceptance of Verizon’s responses for Items 1, 3, and 22, the sample change log to be manually generated for Item 7 and the Change Request issued for Item 9; and address Item 16 at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we issued an updated version of the observation in which Items 1, 3 and 22 were rescinded. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that Item 2 has been resolved by Bulletin 2319, Item 7 has been resolved by Verizon’s clarification that the TERS field in the ‘CKT_CSAP’ PO1 loop is correct and that the change was inadvertently left out of the v4.7.1 Change Log, and Item 9 has been resolved by Bulletin 2252. Resolution of Items 4, 5, 6, 8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 is dependent on the planned issuance of a bulletin on November 11, 2001. KPMG Consulting indicated that Bulletin 2312 addresses the actual solution for Item 16, but not an interim solution. KPMG Consulting further inquired as to when an interim solution would be developed for Item 16. Verizon indicated that they would respond to KPMG Consulting’s inquiry regarding an interim solution for Item 16 at the next Observation meeting. Verizon further indicated that Bulletin 2327 would be issued on November 11, 2001 to provide resolution to Items 4, 5, 6, 8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review Bulletin 2327 upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting. WorldCom asked a clarifying question regarding the impact of the observation’s issues on pre-order development. Verizon will address the interim solution at the next Observation meeting.</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review Bulletin 2327 upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
046	KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the Verizon Order EDI Guide.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>10/30/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they are reviewing the Order EDI Guide and intend to issue a bulletin to CLECs addressing the issues raised in the observation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/06/01: Verizon indicated that a bulletin has been issued to change the Order Business Rules and subsequently correct Items 1 and 2. Bulletin #2311 will be issued on November 12, 2001 to correct the Verizon Order EDI Guide and subsequently correct Items 3-5 and 7-19. Bulletin #2234, issued on September 20, 2001, corrected Item 6. Verizon indicated that they do not agree with the finding for Item 20 because the SLN field in RPL EDI Example 3.18 is correct.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletins when they are issued. In addition, KPMG Consulting will investigate the items that remain open and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we would look for bulletin 2311 to be issued the week of November 12, 2001 to clarify Items 1-5 and 7-19. Change Request #2234, issued on September 20, 2001, resolved Item 6. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that we have discrepancies with Verizon's disagreement for Item 20 and that we would forward a written response to Verizon</p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>to address these discrepancies.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin to be issued the week of November 12, 2001 to clarify Items 1-5 and 7-9; revise the observation to reflect the issuance of the bulletin, the Change Request issued for Item 9 and our discrepancies with Item 20; and forward a written response to Verizon to address our discrepancies with Item 20.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Items 1 and 2 have been resolved by Bulletin 2318, Items 3, 5, and 7-19 have been resolved by Bulletin 2311 and Item 6 has been resolved by Bulletin 2234. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that we are still reviewing Items 4 and 20, and consequently proposed to defer and address Items 4 and 20 at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
047	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon did not populate Local Service Request Local Responses (LSRLR) for cancellations according to the LSOG 4.6.1 Business Rules.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>11/06/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the observation at the next Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: Verizon indicated that they are currently preparing a bulletin for CLECs that will address the population of fields on LSRLRs for cancellations. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review the bulletin upon issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the bulletin upon issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we had not yet received</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>Verizon’s bulletin for CLECs addressing the population of error fields on LSRLRs for cancellations. Verizon indicated that Bulletin 2324 added a footnote to the Business Rule ordering matrix. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would review Bulletin 2324 and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review Bulletin 2324 and ask any clarifying questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
048	KPMG Consulting is unable to replicate certain Ordering metrics results that should have been reported by Verizon in the May, June, July and August 2001 Aggregate Carrier-to-Carrier reports.	Deferred	Deferred until December	<p>11/06/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the observation at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>11/13/01: Verizon indicated that the metrics in the observation would be corrected beginning with the October data month report. KPMG Consulting proposed to defer the observation until the end of November when the October data month report will have been issued.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue at the end of November when the October data month report will have been issued.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we plan to receive the October data month report next week and that we would address it subsequent to our review. KPMG Consulting consequently deferred the observation until December.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the October data month report upon its issuance and address the issue at the subsequent Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 11/20/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
049	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon incorrectly sent Local Service Request Local Responses (LSRLR) for orders containing planned errors.	Deferred	Deferred until December	<p>11/13/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would issue a system fix the week of December 15, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will issue a system fix the week of December 15, 2001.</li> </ul> <p>11/20/01: KPMG Consulting reiterated that Verizon planned to issue a system fix the week of December 15, 2001. KPMG Consulting consequently proposed to defer the observation until after the system fix is implemented. WorldCom asked a clarifying question regarding the escalation of the Observation to Exception status, which they will send in written form to both KPMG Consulting and the Commission.</p>	
050	KPMG Consulting received late ISDN Loop Qualification (LQB) responses for pre-orders submitted via the Electronic Data Interface (EDI).	Open	Under Discussion	<p>11/20/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	