

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
004	KPMG Consulting received late Due Date Availability (DDA) responses	Deferred	Deferred until August 21, 2001 for testing in production	<p>06/12/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they need additional information such as date and time of the transactions noted in the observation.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide the submission date-time group of the transactions to Verizon, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>06/19/01: KPMG Consulting discovered an error in the observation and issued an amendment, VA Observation Report 04 v2.pdf. KPMG Consulting issued supporting documentation for the transactions noted in the observation, VA Observation Report 04 detail.pdf. Verizon indicated that they are conducting an analysis of KPMG Consulting’s experience against other CLECs’ experience during the same period.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>06/26/01: Verizon indicated that they had experienced a system condition on May 9, 2001 between 4:45 – 5:30 pm, which caused delays for other CLECs as well. On May 26, 2001, a software fix has been applied to the system to address this particular system condition. However, this system condition and its fix were not posted since CLECs did not report a problem. Verizon also indicated that two of the forty DDA transactions occurred during this system condition and that the average response time excluding the two transactions would be 5.08 seconds. AT&amp;T asked if KPMG Consulting is planning to normalize the statistics for the observation, or if KPMG Consulting is planning to retest. KPMG Consulting indicated that DDA Response time would be retested during production.  <u>Action Items:</u></p>	<p>VA Observation Report 04 v2.pdf</p> <p>VA Observation Report 04 detail.pdf</p>

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>07/03/01:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will request necessary information to investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>07/10/01:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will follow-up and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>KPMG Consulting asked about the system notification process of “non-reported” system conditions when the system condition affects the entire CLEC community. Verizon indicated that it is industry practice that “non-reported” system conditions are not reported to CLECs. AT&amp;T asked how the system condition would affect the Late DDA metrics. KPMG Consulting responded that the observation will not change based on the system condition that occurred on May 26, 2001. The two transactions that occurred during the system condition will not be excluded for calculating the average response time; rather, DDA timeliness will be tested and carefully observed during production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
005	KPMG Consulting received late Telephone Number Availability & Reservation (TNA) pre-order responses	Deferred	Deferred until August 21, 2001 for testing in production	<p>06/12/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they need additional information such as date and time of the transactions noted in the observation.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide the submission</li> </ul>	VA Observation Report 05 detail.pdf

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p style="text-align: right;">date-time group of the transactions to Verizon, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p>06/19/01: KPMG Consulting issued supporting documentation for the transactions noted in the observation, VA Observation Report 05 detail.pdf. Verizon indicated that they are conducting an analysis of KPMG Consulting’s experience against other CLECs’ experience during the same period.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>06/26/01: Verizon indicated that they had experienced a system condition on May 9, 2001 between 4:45 – 5:30 pm, which caused delays for other CLECs as well. On May 26, 2001, a software fix has been applied to the system to address this particular system condition. However, this system condition and its fix were not posted since CLECs did not report a problem.  Verizon also indicated that four of the fifty-nine TNA transactions (Items 34, 35, 38, and 40) occurred during this system condition and that the average response time excluding the four transactions would be 8.84 seconds. In addition, Verizon indicated that the standard that should be used as the Telephone Number Availability &amp; Reservation is a combination of ADR and TNA measures, which reflects a Retail composite metric. VA Commission asked why a composite should be used and not just PO-1-05.  <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will request necessary information to investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: Verizon reiterated their response from last week indicating that four of the fifty-nine TNA transactions (Items 34, 35, 38, and 40) occurred during this system</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>condition and that the average response time excluding the four transactions would be 8.84 seconds. In addition, Verizon reiterated that the measurement of Telephone Number Availability &amp; Reservation standard is a combination of Address Validation and Telephone Number measures, which reflects a Retail composite metric. April 2001 Retail Composite would be 9.99 seconds (Address Validation (4.86 seconds) plus Telephone Number (1.13 seconds) plus parity (4 seconds)). KPMG Consulting responded that TNA timeliness would be tested and carefully observed during production.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will investigate and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/10/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the C2C Guidelines do not specify the use a Retail Composite metric to measure Telephone Number Availability timeliness, rather it states to use the metric, PO-1-05. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that TNA timeliness would be tested during production and proposed to defer this observation until August 21, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
006	KPMG Consulting observed that responses to Supplemental Local Service Requests (SUP) in EDI for Retail to UNE-Platform migrations do not reflect the requested changes	Deferred	Deferred until August 21, 2001 for testing in production	<p>06/12/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that this issue is under investigation and will be ready to respond at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>06/19/01: Verizon indicated they agree with the findings. Verizon indicated that they received the SUPs in question and placed them in a manual queue; however, the orders were</p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon  
 Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p>not processed by the VNMC. Verizon will implement policies to correct the manual processing errors on June 24, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will report on the status of implementing new processes at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>06/26/01: Verizon indicated that the new process for manual queue handling has been implemented. KPMG Consulting asked if the manual queue for SUPs is different from the manual queue for other requests. Verizon responded that the manual queue was different; however, the new process that has been implemented directs SUPs to the same manual queue as other normal requests.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will verify the changes and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: KPMG Consulting indicated SUPs would be tested during production and proposed to close the observation next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/10/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that rather than close this observation, SUPs would be tested during production and proposed to defer it until August 21, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
007	KPMG Consulting cannot complete Resale pre-orders when adhering to Verizon's LSOG 4.5.1 Business Rules documentation	Open	Under Discussion	<p>06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agree with KPMG Consulting's findings and plan to update company codes through normal change control process. They will post a bulletin for CLECs around July 5.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will provide status on the change process and address any follow-up questions at the July 10th Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: Deferred until July 10, 2001.</p> <p>07/10/01: Verizon indicated that Change Control Bulletin #2151 for LSOG 4 was issued and discussed in the Change Control meeting on July 6, 2001. Verizon indicated that in CR #2151, the following two Field notes were added: 1) The CLEC/Reseller should input their Exchange Carrier Code in this field. 2) This field matches or maps to the RSID for Reseller or AECN for CLEC.</p> <p>KPMG Consulting indicated that we have two codes that do not map to each other. KPMG Consulting's ECC is WLAZ and RSID is 9995. Verizon asked if KPMG Consulting brought this issue up on the Change Control call. KPMG Consulting responded that the issue was discussed and Verizon's response was that the codes should not be conflicting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will investigate whether this issue is unique to KPMG Consulting or not and find out if there are similar conditions that may affect other CLECs and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
008	KPMG Consulting received late Daily Usage Feed (DUF) records	Deferred	Deferred until August 21, 2001 for testing in production	<p>06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they used the wrong DUF setup for KPMG Consulting. Instead of creating a new setup for Virginia, they had used the setup for Pennsylvania, causing Resale DUF to recycle. They indicated a fix has been applied.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will verify the changes, determine if retest is required, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that there would be a DUF re-test and proposed to close the observation next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/10/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that rather than close this observation, the delivery of DUF records would be re-tested and proposed to defer it until August 21, 2001.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
009	KPMG Consulting observed discrepancies in the June 2001 release of the Verizon – expressTRAK Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck (Version 4.4) for LSOG 4.6.1.	Open	Under Discussion	<p>06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under investigation and they will respond at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issues and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: Verizon indicated that they agree with the findings noted as items 1 and 2 in Exhibit 1. For Item #1, the control sheet was corrected but not updated before LSR. For Item #2, the control sheet was corrected after LSR. Verizon indicated the issues observed for items 1 and 2 are corrected in the eTRAK Test Deck version 4.5 (6/22/01). The third item (Scenario #33) is still under investigation.</p>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
				<p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address Item #3 and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/10/01: KPMG Consulting verified that items 1 and 2 are corrected as indicated by Verizon last week. <b>Verizon</b> indicated that the finding noted in the last paragraph (Scenario #33) has been corrected with Test Deck version 4.6 (7/9/02).</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will verify the correction for Scenario #33 in the new Test Deck and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
010	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon’s EDI system returns inaccurate error responses to Local Service Requests (LSR)	Open	Under Discussion	<p>06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under investigation and that they need additional information (In/Out EDI files) from KPMG Consulting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will provide EDI In/Out files to Verizon.</li> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/03/01: KPMG Consulting provided Verizon with the additional information (In/Out EDI files). Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will respond at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> <p>07/10/01: <b>Verizon</b> indicated that the issue is under further analysis and that they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	



**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
011	KPMG Consulting received late Provisioning Completion Messages (PCM)	Open	Under Discussion	06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under investigation and they will respond at the next Observation meeting. <u>Action Items:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> 07/03/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting. <u>Action Items :</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> 07/10/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting. <u>Action Items:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
012	KPMG Consulting received unexpected errors from Verizon representatives when submitting Local Service Requests (LSRs) under the LSOG 4.5.1 Business Rules	Open	Under Discussion	07/10/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting. <u>Action Items:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
013	KPMG Consulting observed that orders did not flow-through as expected	Open	Under Discussion	07/10/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting. <u>Action Items:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Verizon</b> will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon**  
**Active Observation Status Summary – As of 07/10/01**

---

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
<b>ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS</b>					
014	KPMG Consulting did not receive accurate and complete Universal Service Order Code (USOC) documentation for expressTRAK	Open	Under Discussion	07/10/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the document noted in the observation is for legacy USOCs and not for eTRAK USOCs. Process documentation for obtaining the eTRAK USOC list is planned for release on July 21, 2001. In addition, Verizon indicated that they have been providing eTRAK USOCs via change control to CLECs that have signed an NDA. <u>Action Items:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will further investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	