

**Virginia SCC/Verizon
Inactive Observation Status Summary – As of 07/03/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS					
001	KPMG Consulting observed discrepancies in the February 2001 release of the Verizon progression test deck version 3.5 LSOG4 documentation	Closed	Discussion Complete	<p>5/22/01: Opened; Verizon agreed with the findings except the Item 2, the LSR listing in DL form issue. Verizon indicated that the findings they agree with have been corrected with the new release of the CTE Test Deck (May 7). <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting is to further investigate the Item 2 and address Verizon’s comments at the next meeting. <p>06/05/01: Verizon indicated they no longer disagree with KPMG Consulting regarding Item 2. Further, Verizon indicated that Item 2 is corrected with the May 31 publication of CTE Test Deck. KPMG Consulting verified that the CTE Test Deck (Version 4.0) fixed the issues noted in Table 1 (as Verizon indicated in the previous meeting), except for Item 2. In addition, KPMG Consulting noted that the latest CTE Test Deck (Version 4.2, published May 31, 2001) partially fixed issues noted in Item 2. While the latest release fixed the DIRQTYNC & DIRTYP fields in DL form, the LSR continues to incorrectly list YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group. <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Verizon will investigate LSR listing of YPHV/YPH fields and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/12/01: Verizon indicated that Item #2 regarding YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group has been corrected with the updated CTE Test Deck release (June 11). KPMG Consulting asked a clarification question regarding Item #1, as to where the manual input error occurred during the process. Verizon indicated the manual error occurred at EDI where the tester entered the wrong telephone number. KPMG Consulting indicated that issues noted in Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in test case #31</p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon
 Inactive Observation Status Summary – As of 07/03/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS					
				<p>reappeared in CTE Test Deck Version 4.2.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will investigate LSR listing of YPHV/YPH fields in the updated release and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. ▪ Verizon will investigate the reappearance of issue Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in CTE Test Deck Version 4.2 and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/19/01: KPMG Consulting confirmed that Item #2 regarding YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group has been corrected with the updated CTE Test Deck release (June 11). Verizon indicated that issues noted in Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in scenario #31, which reappeared in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.2, have been corrected in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.4 (June 15).</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will investigate issue Item #5 in the updated release and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/26/01: KPMG Consulting confirmed that the issues have been corrected in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.4 (June 15) and proposed to close the observation next week</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting. <p>07/03/01: Closed</p>	

Virginia SCC/Verizon
Inactive Observation Status Summary – As of 07/03/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS					
002	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon's Product Interval Guides do not provide provisioning intervals for Directory Listing (DL) orders for either Resale or UNE products	Closed	Discussion Complete	<p>5/22/01: Opened; Verizon disagreed with the findings. <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Verizon is to provide information as to where the information regarding provisioning intervals can be located (website address, page number, line number, etc.). ▪ Verizon is to provide a date as to when the two versions of the Interval Guides will have consistent information at the next meeting. ▪ KPMG Consulting is to further investigate the issue and address Verizon's comments at the next meeting. <p>06/05/01: Verizon indicated that page 2 of the Resale Standard Intervals is changed to reflect the Directory Listing (DL) information. However, KPMG Consulting noted that the change provides intervals for Residential customers only, and not for Business customers. In addition, KPMG Consulting noted that while the <i>Resale Standard Intervals</i> references DL orders under Directory Listing Changes and Adds, the <i>Intervals for Unbundled Network Elements</i> references DL orders under Directory Assistance. <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Verizon will investigate provisioning intervals for DL activities for business customers and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/12/01: Verizon indicated that the Product Interval Guides for North and South are consistent. Verizon's website has been updated to include both residence and business Directory Assistance interval information as follows: Verizon South – Residence, Pg 2; Business, Pg 4 Verizon North – Residence, Pg 18; Business, Pg 20 <u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will investigate the updated Product Interval Guides regarding residential and business Directory Listing intervals and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. 	

**Virginia SCC/Verizon
Inactive Observation Status Summary – As of 07/03/01**

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS					
				<p>06/19/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the changes to the Product Interval Guides for North and South do not appear in the documents posted on the website. Verizon indicated that the updates are available on the website referenced in the Observation itself.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will reinvestigate the Product Interval Guides and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/26/01: Verizon indicated that the changes to the Product Interval Guides for North and South had been made to one of the two Verizon websites which is the reason why KPMG Consulting was not able to verify the changes last week. Verizon indicated that they updated the link so that both websites point to the same file. KPMG Consulting confirmed that the changes have been verified and proposed to close the observation next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting. <p>07/03/01: Closed</p>	
003	KPMG Consulting observed conflicting product intervals in documentation found on the Verizon web site for Resale service requests	Closed	Discussion Complete	<p>5/22/01: Opened; Verizon agreed with the findings with an exception of the first item in Exhibit 1. KPMG Consulting may have transposed columns of Exhibit 1. Verizon indicated they would add disclaimer in the 'Resale Handbook' to indicate that the official Interval Guide is in the 'Resale Standard Intervals.'</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Verizon is to provide a date as to when they will add the disclaimer at the next meeting. ▪ KPMG Consulting is to further investigate this issue and determine whether to issue amended observation. <p>06/05/01: Verizon indicated they will eliminate all intervals in the Resale Handbook and include a link in the website to the Resale Standard Intervals by June 15, 2001.</p>	VA Observation Report 03 v2.pdf

Virginia SCC/Verizon
Inactive Observation Status Summary – As of 07/03/01

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS					
				<p>KPMG Consulting indicated the columns in Exhibit 1 were transposed and the observation will be amended accordingly.</p> <p>This observation is deferred until June 19, 2001 for further verification.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Verizon will report on the status re the Resale Handbook changes at the June 19 Observation meeting. <p>06/12/01: Deferred until June 19, 2001. Amended observation VA Observation Report 03 v2.doc is posted on the website.</p> <p>06/19/01: Verizon indicated that they removed the intervals in the 'Resale Handbook' and added a link pointing to the 'Resale Standard Intervals.'</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will investigate the updates in the 'Resale Handbook' and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting. <p>06/26/01: KPMG Consulting confirmed that the changes have been verified and proposed to close the observation next week.</p> <p><u>Action Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting. <p>07/03/01: Closed</p>	