

Virginia State Corporation Commission

**Verizon Virginia, Inc.
OSS Evaluation Project**

**Draft Final Report
Version 1.0**

Submitted by:

The logo for KPMG Consulting, featuring the letters 'KPMG' in a bold, sans-serif font with a small square icon to the right of the 'G', followed by the word 'Consulting' in a smaller, italicized sans-serif font.

March 4, 2002

This page is intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

	PAGE
I. Document Control	01
A. Distribution.....	03
B. Approved By	04
C. Statement of Limiting Conditions	05
II. Executive Summary.....	07
A. Introduction	09
1.0 Background	09
2.0 Objective	09
3.0 Audience	10
4.0 Scope.....	10
5.0 Approach.....	11
5.1 Test Families/Domains	11
5.2 Test Types.....	12
5.3 Military-style Test Philosophy.....	13
5.4 Blindness	14
5.5 Evaluation Criteria.....	15
5.6 Test Bed.....	15
6.0 Limitations	15
B. High-Level Test Results	17
1.0 General	17
1.1 Results Summary	17
1.2 Service Quality	17
1.3 New Entrant Certification.....	17
2.0 Relationship Management and Infrastructure.....	18
3.0 Pre-Ordering and Ordering.....	18
4.0 Provisioning	18
5.0 Maintenance and Repair	18
6.0 Billing.....	18
7.0 Performance Metrics Reporting	18
C. Document Structure.....	20
III. Relationship Management and Infrastructure Domain Results and Analysis Section.....	23
A. Test Results: Change Management Practices Verification and Validation Review (PPR1)	25
1.0 Description	25
2.0 Methodology	25
2.1 Business Process Description	25
2.2 Scenarios.....	26
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	26
2.4 Data Sources	26
2.5 Evaluation Methods	27

	PAGE
2.6 Analysis Methods	27
3.0 Results	28
3.1 Results Summary	28
3.2 Additional Data	32
B. Test Results: Account Establishment & Management Verification and Validation	
Review (PPR2)	35
1.0 Description	35
2.0 Methodology	35
2.1 Business Process Description	35
2.2 Scenarios	35
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	36
2.4 Data Sources	36
2.5 Evaluation Methods	36
2.6 Analysis Methods	37
3.0 Results	37
C. Test Results: Systems Administration Help Desk Functional Review (PPR3)	43
1.0 Description	43
2.0 Methodology	43
2.1 Business Process Description	43
2.2 Scenarios	46
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	46
2.4 Data Sources	46
2.5 Evaluation Methods	47
2.6 Analysis Methods	47
3.0 Results	47
D. Test Results: CLEC Training Verification and Validation Review (PPR4)	53
1.0 Description	53
2.0 Methodology	53
2.1 Business Process Description	53
2.2 Scenarios	54
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	54
2.4 Data Sources	54
2.5 Evaluation Methods	54
2.6 Analysis Methods	54
3.0 Results	55
E. Test Results: Interface Development Verification and Validation Review (PPR5)	62
1.0 Description	62
2.0 Methodology	62
2.1 Business Process Description	62
2.2 Scenarios	66
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	66
2.4 Data Sources	67
2.5 Evaluation Methods	67
2.6 Analysis Methods	67

	PAGE
3.0 Results	67
F. Test Results: Forecasting Verification and Validation Review (PPR6)	80
1.0 Description	80
2.0 Methodology	80
2.1 Business Process Description	80
2.2 Scenarios.....	80
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	80
2.4 Data Sources	81
2.5 Evaluation Methods	81
2.6 Analysis Methods	81
3.0 Results	81
IV. Pre-Order/Order Domain Results and Analysis Section.....	89
A. Test Results: POP Manual Order Processing Evaluation (PPR8)	91
1.0 Description	91
2.0 Methodology	91
2.1 Business Process Description	91
2.2 Scenarios.....	97
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	97
2.4 Data Sources	98
2.5 Evaluation Methods	98
2.6 Analysis Methods	98
3.0 Results	98
B. Test Results: POP Work Center Support Evaluation (PPR9).....	103
1.0 Description	103
2.0 Methodology	103
2.1 Business Process Description	103
2.2 Scenarios.....	109
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	109
2.4 Data Sources	109
2.5 Evaluation Methods	110
2.6 Analysis Methods	110
3.0 Results	110
C. Test Results: POP Functional Evaluation (TVV1).....	121
1.0 Description	121
2.0 Methodology	121
2.1 Business Process Description	121
2.2 Scenarios.....	125
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	130
2.4 Data Sources	131
2.5 Evaluation Methods	132
2.6 Analysis Methods	134
3.0 Results	135
3.1 Results Summary	135
3.2 Additional Data	147

	PAGE
D. Test Results: POP Volume Performance Tests (TVV2).....	156
1.0 Description	156
2.0 Methodology	156
2.1 Business Process Description	156
2.2 Scenarios.....	159
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	160
2.4 Data Sources	161
2.5 Evaluation Methods	162
2.6 Analysis Methods	165
3.0 Results	166
3.1 Results Summary	166
3.2 Additional Data	184
E. Test Results: Order “Flow Through” Evaluation (TVV3).....	197
1.0 Description	197
2.0 Methodology	197
2.1 Business Process Description	198
2.2 Scenarios.....	199
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	200
2.4 Data Sources	200
2.5 Evaluation Methods	201
2.6 Analysis Methods	201
3.0 Results	202
V. Provisioning Domain Results and Analysis Section.....	205
A. Test Results: Network Design Request, Collocation and Interconnection Planning Verification and Validation Review (PPR7)	207
1.0 Description	207
2.0 Methodology	207
2.1 Business Process Description	207
2.2 Scenarios.....	209
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	209
2.4 Data Sources	209
2.5 Evaluation Methods	210
2.6 Analysis Methods	210
3.0 Results	211
B. Test Results: Provisioning Process Parity Evaluation (PPR10)	219
1.0 Description	219
2.0 Methodology	219
2.1 Business Process Description	219
2.2 Scenarios.....	243
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	243
2.4 Data Sources	243
2.5 Evaluation Methods	244
2.6 Analysis Methods	244
3.0 Results	244

	PAGE
C. Test Results: Provisioning Coordination Process Evaluation (PPR11).....	258
1.0 Description	258
2.0 Methodology	258
2.1 Business Process Description	258
2.2 Scenarios.....	263
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	264
2.4 Data Sources	264
2.5 Evaluation Methods	265
2.6 Analysis Methods	265
3.0 Results.....	265
D. Test Results: Provisioning Verification and Validation (TVV4)	270
1.0 Description	270
2.0 Methodology	270
2.1 Business Process Description	270
2.2 Scenarios.....	270
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	271
2.4 Data Sources	272
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	273
2.6 Analysis Methods	274
3.0 Results.....	274
VI. Maintenance and Repair Domain Results and Analysis Section.....	283
A. Test Results: End-to-End M&R Process Evaluation (PPR15)	285
1.0 Description	285
2.0 Methodology	285
2.1 Business Process Description	285
2.2 Scenarios.....	289
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	289
2.4 Data Sources	289
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	290
2.6 Analysis Methods	290
3.0 Results.....	290
B. Test Results: M&R Work Center Support Evaluation (PPR16).....	296
1.0 Description	296
2.0 Methodology	296
2.1 Business Process Description	296
2.2 Scenarios.....	301
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	301
2.4 Data Sources	302
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	302
2.6 Analysis Methods	303
3.0 Results.....	303
C. Test Results: M&R Coordination Process Evaluation (PPR17).....	313
1.0 Description	313
2.0 Methodology	313
2.1 Business Process Description	313

	PAGE
2.2	Scenarios.....315
2.3	Test Targets & Measures315
2.4	Data Sources316
2.5	Evaluation Methods316
2.6	Analysis Methods317
3.0	Results.....317
D.	Test Results: Network Surveillance Support Evaluation (PPR18)320
1.0	Description320
2.0	Methodology320
2.1	Business Process Description320
2.2	Scenarios.....326
2.3	Test Targets & Measures326
2.4	Data Sources326
2.5	Evaluation Methods327
2.6	Analysis Methods327
3.0	Results327
E.	Test Results: M&R RETAS Functional Evaluation (TVV5)332
1.0	Description332
2.0	Methodology332
2.1	Business Process Description332
2.2	Scenarios.....337
2.3	Test Targets & Measures337
2.4	Data Sources338
2.5	Evaluation Methods338
2.6	Analysis Methods339
3.0	Results339
F.	Test Results: M&R RETAS Performance Evaluation (TVV6)344
1.0	Description344
2.0	Methodology344
2.1	Business Process Description344
2.2	Scenarios.....344
2.3	Test Targets & Measures344
2.4	Data Sources344
2.5	Evaluation Methods351
2.6	Analysis Methods353
3.0	Results353
G.	Test Results: End-to-End Trouble Report Processing (TVV7)359
1.0	Description359
2.0	Methodology359
2.1	Business Process Description359
2.2	Scenarios.....360
2.3	Test Targets & Measures361
2.4	Data Sources361
2.5	Evaluation Methods361
2.6	Analysis Methods362

	PAGE
3.0 Results	363
VII. Billing Domain Results and Analysis Section.....	367
A. Test Results: Billing Work Center/Help Desk Support Evaluation (PPR12)	369
1.0 Description	369
2.0 Methodology	369
2.1 Business Process Description	369
2.2 Scenarios.....	369
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	370
2.4 Data Sources	371
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	371
2.6 Analysis Methods	371
3.0 Results.....	371
B. Test Results: Daily Usage Production and Distribution (PPR13)	382
1.0 Description	382
2.0 Methodology	382
2.1 Business Process Description	382
2.2 Scenarios.....	382
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	382
2.4 Data Sources	383
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	383
2.6 Analysis Methods	383
3.0 Results.....	384
C. Test Results: Bill Production and Distribution – Process Evaluation (PPR14).....	387
1.0 Description	387
2.0 Methodology	387
2.1 Business Process Description	387
2.2 Scenarios.....	387
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	388
2.4 Data Sources	388
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	388
2.6 Analysis Methods	388
3.0 Results.....	389
D. Test Results: Billing Functional Usage Evaluation (TVV8)	402
1.0 Description	402
2.0 Methodology	402
2.1 Business Process Description	402
2.2 Scenarios.....	403
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	403
2.4 Data Sources	403
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	404
2.6 Analysis Methods	404
3.0 Results.....	405
3.1 Result Summary	405
3.2 Additional Data	408

	PAGE
E. Test Results: Functional Carrier Bill Evaluation (TVV9).....	410
1.0 Description	410
2.0 Methodology	410
2.1 Business Process Description	410
2.2 Scenarios.....	410
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	410
2.4 Data Sources	411
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	411
2.6 Analysis Methods	412
3.0 Results.....	412
VIII. Performance Metrics Domain Results and Analysis	417
A. Test Results: Metrics Standards and Definitions Documentation Verification and Validation Review (PMR1).....	419
1.0 Description	419
2.0 Methodology	419
2.1 Business Process Description	419
2.2 Scenarios.....	420
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	420
2.4 Data Sources	420
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	420
2.6 Analysis Methods	421
3.0 Results.....	421
B. Test Results: Data Collection and Storage Verification and Validation Review (PMR2).....	423
1.0 Description	423
2.0 Methodology	423
2.1 Business Process Description	423
2.2 Scenarios.....	426
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	426
2.4 Data Sources	426
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	427
2.6 Analysis Methods	427
3.0 Results.....	427
C. Test Results: Metrics Calculation and Reporting Verification and Validation Review (PMR3).....	444
1.0 Description	444
2.0 Methodology	444
2.1 Business Process Description	444
2.2 Scenarios.....	444
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	444
2.4 Data Sources	444
2.5 Evaluation Methods.....	445
2.6 Analysis Methods	445
3.0 Results.....	446

	PAGE
D. Test Results: Metrics Data Filtering and Integrity Verification and Validation Review (PMR4).....	455
1.0 Description	455
2.0 Methodology	455
2.1 Business Process Description	455
2.2 Scenarios.....	455
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	455
2.4 Data Sources	456
2.5 Evaluation Methods	456
2.6 Analysis Methods	457
3.0 Results	457
E. Test Results: Metrics Change Management Verification and Validation Review (PMR5).....	475
1.0 Description	475
2.0 Methodology	475
2.1 Business Process Description	475
2.2 Scenarios.....	476
2.3 Test Targets & Measures	477
2.4 Data Sources	477
2.5 Evaluation Methods	477
2.6 Analysis Methods	478
3.0 Results	478
Appendix A.....	485
Appendix B.....	489
Appendix C.....	495
Appendix D.....	507

This page is intentionally left blank.

I. Document Control

This page is intentionally left blank.

A. Distribution**Table I-1: Distribution List For Document**

Person	Department	Date Sent
Alexander Skirpan	Virginia State Corporation Commission	February 22, 2002
Kathleen Cummings	Virginia State Corporation Commission	February 22, 2002
Jennifer Stocker	Verizon Virginia	February 22, 2002
Robert Graves	Verizon Virginia	February 22, 2002
Raymond Sears III	KPMG Consulting	February 22, 2002
Charles King	KPMG Consulting	February 22, 2002
Keith Lukshin	KPMG Consulting	February 22, 2002
Cindy Wilberding	KPMG Consulting	February 22, 2002

B. Approved By**Table I-2: Approval List For Document**

Person	Department	Date
Alexander Skirpan, OSS Project Manager	Virginia State Corporation Commission	March 4, 2002

Table I-3: Version Control

Version	Date	Reason
.5	February 22, 2002	Initial draft release
1.0	March 4, 2002	Revised draft release
2.0	To Be Determined	Final release

C. Statement of Limiting Conditions

The following conditions, limitations, and assumptions relate to the Final Report:

This report is provided pursuant to the secretarial letter issued by the Virginia State Corporation Commission on February 17, 2000 instructing KPMG Consulting to conduct testing of Verizon Virginia operations support systems (OSS) in accordance with the KPMG Consulting-developed Master Test Plan (MTP). The results contained within this report are composed of a significant number of test evaluation criteria and are presented without weighting considerations. In particular, none of the individual test results can be considered independently. To draw conclusions based on individual test measures, or a limited number of test measures, would be inappropriate. Furthermore, the evaluation criteria should not be considered of equal weight or value. Hence, any attempt to determine an overall “score” based on percentage of evaluation criteria that are satisfied is strongly discouraged.

This report assumes that the reader possesses a general understanding of the telecommunications industry and related systems, documentation, and processes; consequently, KPMG Consulting assumes no responsibility for the misuse, misunderstanding, or misinterpretation of the content of this report.

The report has been prepared solely for the purpose stated and should not be used for any other purpose. Except as specifically stated in the report, neither our report nor its content is to be referred to or quoted, in whole or in part, in any registration statement, prospectus, public filing, loan agreement, or other agreement or document, without our prior written approval.

Certain information and assumptions (oral and written) have been presented to us by the management of Verizon and other third parties. We have relied on this information in our analysis and in the preparation of the report, and have not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the information provided; accordingly, we express no opinion on such data.

We have not conducted an audit or review of the historical data provided to us in accordance with generally accepted auditing procedures and/or standards promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). We express no opinion or offer any assurance with respect to the accuracy of the aforementioned historical data. KPMG Consulting makes no representation nor has any obligation with reference to any events or transactions occurring subsequent to the date of this report.

This page is intentionally left blank.