#### DISCLAIMER

This electronic version of an SCC order is for informational purposes only and is not an official document of the Commission. An official copy may be obtained from the Clerk of the Commission, Document Control Center.

## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, ex rel.

#### STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

CASE NO. PUC000035

<u>Ex Parte</u>: In the matter of third-party testing of Operation Support Systems for Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.

# Project Leader Ruling On Adding Line Splitting To The Master Test Plan

### **April 6, 2001**

On February 17, 2000, the Commission established this proceeding to conduct third-party testing of the operation support systems ("OSS") for Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc., now Verizion-Virginia, Inc. ("Verizon"). Pursuant to the Commission's directives, on May 31, 2000, the Project Leader adopted a Master Test Plan. The Project Leader revised the Master Test Plan on November 28, 2000.

On February 9, 2001, AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc. ("AT&T") requested a modification to the Master Test Plan. In its request, AT&T proposed that the Master Test Plan be amended to include testing of the methods, procedures, and OSS needed to support line splitting. In support, AT&T stated that "[t]he FCC has recently clarified that Verizon is currently obligated to provide line splitting under its existing rules, and Verizon is in fact developing OSS for line splitting pursuant to a timetable ordered by the New York Public Service Commission."

On February 14, 2001, the Commission's Project Leader issued a ruling seeking comments from interested persons concerning AT&T's requested modification to the Master Test Plan. As of March 7, 2001, comments supporting AT&T's request were received from MCI WorldCom Communications of Virginia, Inc. and MCImetro Access Transmission Services of Virginia, Inc. (jointly referred to as "WorldCom"), Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc. ("Sprint"), and the Association of Communications Enterprises ("ASCENT"). Also, on March 7, 2001, Verizon filed comments opposing AT&T's request. On March 14, 2001, AT&T filed a Reply in response to Verizon's opposition.

As currently formulated, the Virginia Master Test Plan includes test transactions related to the preordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing for ISDN capable loops, xDSL capable loops, and line sharing. These network elements facilitate CLEC offers of broadband services to their customers. For transactions related to ISDN and xDSL capable loops, the entire loop is transferred to a single CLEC. In contrast, line sharing refers to "the provision of xDSL-based service by a [CLEC] and voiceband service by an [ILEC] on the same loop."<sup>2</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AT&T Petition at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Federal Communications Commission, *In the Matter of Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability*, CC Docket No. 98-147, Third Report and

Line splitting permits one CLEC to provide xDSL, or data, service to a customer, while another CLEC provides voice service to the customer over the same loop.<sup>3</sup> The Virginia Master Test Plan does not address line splitting. However, as AT&T points out in its request, the Federal Communications Commission, ("FCC") recently has clarified that Verizon must accommodate line splitting.

We find that [ILECs] have a current obligation to provide competing carriers with the ability to engage in line splitting arrangements. The Commission's existing rules require [ILECs] to provide competing carriers with access to unbundled loops in a manner that allows the competing carrier "to provide any telecommunications service that can be offered by means of that network element." Our rules also state that "[a]n incumbent LEC shall not impose limitations, restrictions, or requirements on . . . the use of unbundled network elements that would impair the ability of" a competing carrier "to offer a telecommunications service in the manner" that the competing carrier "intends." We further note that the definition of "network element" in the Act does not restrict the services that may be offered by a competing carrier, and expressly includes "features, functions, and capabilities that are provided by means of such facility or equipment." As a result, independent of the unbundling obligations associated with the high frequency portion of the loop that are described in the Line Sharing Order, incumbent LECs must allow competing carriers to offer both voice and data service over a single unbundled loop. This obligation extends to situations where a competing carrier seeks to provide combined voice and data services on the same loop, or where two competing carriers join to provide voice and data services through line splitting.<sup>4</sup>

AT&T, therefore, argues that Verizon "must demonstrate that its *current* OSS, whether mechanized or manual, will support line splitting in a commercially reasonable manner." Further, AT&T contends that the place to make such a demonstration is KPMG's third-party test. In support, AT&T highlighted language in the Commission's Initiating Order in this proceeding in which the Commission defined the purpose of third-party testing to be "not just . . . a means for [Verizon] to overcome a federal regulatory hurdle to achieve in-region long distance authority but also as a laboratory to test, develop, and implement solutions."

Order On Reconsideration, FCC 01-26, released January 19, 2001, at ¶ 5 ("FCC's Line Splitting Order") (citations omitted).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  *Id.* at ¶¶ 14-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 18 (citations omtted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> AT&T Petition at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id. at 5 (quoting Commonwealth of Virginia At the relation of the State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: In the matter of third-party testing of Operations Support Systems for

Nonetheless, AT&T notes that following the FCC's lead, the New York Public Service Commission ("New York Commission") has convened a collaborative to address line sharing and line splitting issues.<sup>8</sup> AT&T states that the New York Commission has ruled that Verizon must implement "preliminary" line splitting not later than June 2001 and support full commercial availability of line splitting no later than October 2001. The "preliminary" line splitting consists of the addition of data to an existing voice platform account. 10 The code to support this "preliminary" line splitting must be released by Verizon no later than May 20, 2001. 11

Based on the current schedule for KPMG's Virginia test, AT&T recommends that the Master Test Plan be changed to include the testing of the "preliminary" line splitting. <sup>12</sup> AT&T recognizes that KPMG's test may conclude prior to full commercial availability of line splitting. <sup>13</sup> Consequently, AT&T further asks that the Commission extend KPMG's contract to permit the testing of the full commercial availability line splitting in the event that third-party testing concludes as scheduled.

Verizon opposes AT&T's request, stating that it already provides CLECs with line splitting capabilities and that the processes and systems AT&T wants to test currently are under development. <sup>14</sup> Verizon points out that the FCC found that line splitting raises a number of difficult and complex issues that are best resolved in state collaboratives:

> We strongly urge incumbent LECs and competing carriers to work together to develop processes and systems to support competing carrier ordering and provisioning of unbundled loops and switching necessary for line splitting. In particular, we encourage incumbent LECs and competing carriers to use existing state collaboratives and change management processes to address, among other issues: developing a single-order process for competing carriers to add xDSL service to UNE-platform voice customers; allowing competing carriers to forego loop qualification if they choose to do so (i.e., because xDSL service is already provided on the line); enabling competing carriers to order loops for use in line splitting as a "non-designed" service; and

Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc., Case No. PUC000035, Order Initiating Testing, Assigning Project Leader and Calling for Proposed Master Test Plan and Performance Standards to be Developed by KPMG Peat Marwick (February 17, 2000)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Id. at 7 (quoting Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Examine Issues Concerning the Provision of Digital Subscriber Line Services, Case 00-C-0127, Order Granting Clarification, Granting Reconsideration in Part and Denying Reconsideration in Part, and Adopting Schedule (January 29, 2001) at 11-12 and n.19). <sup>10</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Id.* at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *Id.* at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Verizon's Comments at 2.

using the same number of cross connections, and the same length of tie pairs for line splitting and line sharing arrangements. 15

In addition, Verizon maintains that for line splitting to become commercially available business-to-business rules must be established. Such business rules must address how CLECs order the service, report troubles, and test the service, and how a data LEC orders line splitting instead of the voice LEC.<sup>16</sup> Thus, Verizon contends that AT&T's request "will inevitably delay the test and report" and change the test from a "snapshot" to an ongoing "video." <sup>17</sup>

In the initial ruling adopting the Virginia Master Test Plan, the standard for products included in the test was "products and services required by the FCC or are otherwise offered by [Verizon]."18 Based on the FCC's Line Splitting Order, Verizon has a current obligation to facilitate line splitting in Virginia. <sup>19</sup> Moreover, according to Verizon's comments, Verizon currently provides CLECs with some line splitting capability. 20 Nonetheless, both AT&T and Verizon acknowledge that additional processes and procedures, such as those under development in the New York collaborative, must be agreed upon and implemented for full commercial availability of line splitting. 21 Therefore, I find that the Virginia Master Test Plan should be amended to include the testing of line splitting. The focus of such testing should be on the processes and procedures currently available in Virginia and those developed or reported from the New York collaborative. In other words, KPMG should determine whether and the extent to which line splitting is currently available in Virginia. In addition, KPMG should review and express its professional opinion on: (i) any line splitting processes and procedures adopted by the New York collaborative during the course of the Virginia test, and (ii) Verizon's plans for implementing such processes and procedures in Virginia. As with other areas of the test, CLECs implementing line splitting in Virginia are encouraged to permit KPMG the opportunity to observe such implementations. Furthermore, I find that amending the Virginia Master Test Plan to include line splitting should not have an impact on the current test schedule. Any transaction tests, or process tests related to line splitting must be completed within the existing test schedule. I agree with Verizon that this test and report should not be delayed and that the test should not become an ongoing "video."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> FCC's Line Splitting Order at ¶ 21 (citations omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Verizon's Comments at 2-3.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  Id at 3-4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Commonwealth of Virginia At the relation of the State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: In the matter of third-party testing of Operation Support Systems for Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc., Case No. PUC000035, Project Leader Ruling Adopting Master Test Plan at 17 (May 31, 2000). <sup>19</sup> FCC's Line Splitting Order at ¶ 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Verizon's Comments at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Verizon's Comments at 2-3; AT&T Petition at 6-7.

In summary, based upon AT&T's Petition and all of the comments, I find that the Virginia Master Test Plan should be modified as described above.

Alexander F. Skirpan, Jr. Hearing Examiner/Project Leader

Document Control Center is requested to mail or deliver a copy of this Ruling on

# April 6, 2001, to each of the following:

Darrell Mennenga	Mark Keffer
ALLTELL Communications, Inc.	AT&T
One Allied Dr., P.O. Box 2177,	3033 Chain Bridge Rd
Little Rock, AR 72202	Oakton, VA 22185
darrell.l.mennenga@alltel.com	mkeffer@att.com
Bob Kirchberger	Jim Maloney
AT&T	AT&T
295 North Maple Ave.	3033 Chain Bridge Rd
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920	Oakton, VA 22185
kirchberger@att.com	jimmaloney@att.com
Wilma McCarey	Ivars V. Mellups
AT&T	AT&T
3033 Chain Bridge Rd	3033 Chain Bridge Rd
Oakton, VA 22185	Oakton, VA 22185
wmccarey@att.com	mellups@att.com
Chris Nurse	Rich Schollmann
AT&T	AT&T
3033 Chain Bridge Rd	1001 East Broad St., Suite 430
Oakton, VA 22185	Richmond, VA 23219
chrisnurse@att.com	rschollmann@att.com
Martin W. Clift, Jr.	Stephen T. Perkins
Cavalier Telephone, LLC	Cavalier Telephone, LLC
2134 N. Laburnum Ave.	2134 N. Laburnum Ave.
Richmond, VA 23227	Richmond, VA 23227
mclift@cavtel.com	sperkins@cavtel.com
Robert M. Gillespie	Jill Butler
Christian & Barton, LLP	Cox Virginia Telcom, Inc.
909 E. Main St., Suite 1200	4585 Village Avenue
Richmond, VA 23219	Norfolk, VA 23502
rgillespie@cblaw.com	jill.butler@cox.com

Melanie Hartunian	James P. Guy II
HarvardNet-Virginia, Inc.	LeClair Ryan
500 Rutherford Ave.	4201 Dominion Blvd, Suite 200
Boston, MA 02129	Glen Allen, VA 23060
melanie@harvardnet.com	jguy@leclairryan.com
Eric M. Page	Tray Adams
LeClair Ryan	Mays & Valentine, L.L.P.
4201 Dominion Blvd, Suite 200	1111 E. Main St.
Glen Allen, VA 23060	Richmond, VA 23219
,	· ·
epage@leclairryan.com	tadams@maysval.com
Vishwa Bhargava Link	Sherry Lichtenberg
MCI Worldcom	MCI Worldcom
1133 19 <sup>th</sup> St. NW	701 So. 12 <sup>th</sup> St.
Washington, DC 20036	Arlington, VA 22202
vishwa.link@wcom.com	sherry.lichtenberg@wcom.com
Ned Feldman	Patty Kwapniewski
Network Access Solutions Corp.	MCI Worldcom
100 Carpenter Drive	4795 Meadow Wood Lane
Sterling, VA 20164	Chantilly, VA 20151
nfeldman@nas-corp.com	Patty.Kwapnieski@wcom.com
Raymond L. Doggett, Jr.	Roger Poole
Virginia Attorney General's Office	Network Access Solutions Corp.
900 East Main Street	100 Carpenter Drive
Richmond, VA 23219	Sterling, VA 20164
rdoggett@oag.state.va.us	rpoole@nas-corp.com
John Williams	Afsaneh Azar
Picus Communications LLC	Picus Communications LLC
2877 Guardian Lane, Suite 301	2877 Guardian Lane, Suite 301
Virginia Beach, VA 23452	Virginia Beach, VA 23452
john@picus.com	aazar@picus.com
Rodney L. Joyce	Nancy A. Clay
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP	Sprint
600 14 <sup>th</sup> St., Suite 800	1201 Walnut Bottom Road
Washington, DC 20005	Carlisle, PA 17013
rjoyce@shb.com	nancy.a.clay@mail.sprint.com
Laura Sykora	Jim A. Lenihan
Sprint	Sprint NIS
14111 Capital Blvd	9225 Indian Creek Parkway
Wake Forest, NC 27587	Overland Park, KS 66210
laura.sykora@mail.sprint.com	jim.a.lenihan@mail.sprint.com
Robin Cohn	Andrew O Isar
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP	Telecommunications Resellers Association
Suite 300, 3000 K Street, NW	3220 Uddenberg Lane, Suite 4
Washington, DC 20007	Gig Harbor, WA 98335
RFCohn@swidlaw.com	aisar@harbor-group.com
Na Comine Swidiaw.Com	and the nation group.com

Debra Kriete	Stova Goodman
	Steve Goodman
Rhoads & Sinon LLP	NTELOS
One South Market Square	401 Spring Lane, Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101	Waynesboro VA 22980
dkriete@rhoads-sinon.com	goodmans@ntelos.com
Steven Cronemyer	John W. Knapp, Jr.
Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.	Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.
600 E. Main St., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	600 E. Main St., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor
Richmond, VA 23219	Richmond, VA 23219
steven.j.cronemeyer@verizon.com	john.w.knapp.jr@verizon.com
Jon Tempas	Donald G. Owens
Bell Atlantic	Mays & Valentine, L.L.P.
1095 Ave. of the Americas, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor	1111 E. Main St.
New York, NY 10036	Richmond, VA 23219
jon.m.tempas@verizon.com	dowens@maysval.com
Katrina Fisher	Karen Zacharia
MCI WorldCom, Inc.	Bell Atlantic, Inc.
4795 Meadow Wood Lane	1320 North Courthouse Rd.
Chantilly, VA 20151	Arlington, VA 22201
c-katrina.fisher@wcom.com	KAREN.ZACHARIA@verizon.com
Lydia R. Pulley	Matthew B. Kirsner
Verison-Virginia, Inc.	Mays & Valentine, L.L.P.
600 East Main St., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	1111 E. Main St.
Richmond, VA 23219	Richmond, VA 23219
lydia.r.pulley@verizon.com	mkirsner@maysval.com
Tony Petrilla	Valerie Evans
Covad Communications	Covad Communications
600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750	600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750
Washington, DC 20005	Washington, DC 20005
apetrilla@covad.com	verans@covad.com
Kelly Faul	Prince Jenkins
Intermedia Communications, Inc.	Intermedia Communications, Inc.
3625 Queen Palm Dr.	3625 Queen Palm Dr.
Tampa, FL 33619	Tampa, FL 33619
KFaul@intermedia.com	PJenkins@intermedia.com
Michael Pryor	Cherie R. Kiser
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and	Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and
Popeo, P.C.	Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor	701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor
Washington, DC 20004	Washington, DC 20004
mpryor@mintz.com	crkiser@mintz.com

Mike Clancy	John Lynch
Covad Communications	Telecommunications Task Force
600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750	Antitrust Division
Washington, DC 20005	U. S. Dept. of Justice
mclancy@covad.com	1401 H Street, NW, Ste. 8000
metaney covacation	Washington, DC 20530
	john.lynch@usdoj.gov
Don Sussman	Mandy S. Jenkins
Network Access Solutions	ALLTEL Communications Service Corp.
13650 Dulles Technology Drive	One Allied Drive, B4F4N
Herndon, VA 20171	Little Rock, AR 72202
dsussman@nas-corp.com	mandy.s.jenkins@alltel.com
Meghan Henning	Bogdan Szafranic
Covad Communications	Covad Communications
600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750	600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750
Washington, DC 20005	Washington, DC 20005
mhenning@covad.com	bszafran@covad.com
Susan Davis	Rohan Ranaraja
Covad Communications	ALLTEL Communications Service Corp.
600 14 <sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 750	One Allied Drive, B4F4N
Washington, DC 20005	Little Rock, AR 72202
sdavis@covad.com	rohan.m.ranaraja@alltel.com
Melinda Warren	Tammy M. Burton
MCI WorldCom	MCI WorldCom
701 South 12 <sup>th</sup> St.	701 South 12 <sup>th</sup> St.
Arlington, VA 22202	Arlington, VA 22202
Melinda.Warren@wcom.com	tammy.m.burton@wcom.com
Peggy Rubino	Jonathan E. Canis
Z-Tel Communications, Inc.	Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
601 S. Harbour Island Blvd.	1200 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Fifth Floor
Suite 220	Washington, DC 20036
Tampa, FL 33602	jcanis@kelleydrye.com
prubino@z-tel.com	
Michael B. Hazzard	Debbie Jaggard
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP	Cox Virginia Telcom, Inc.
1200 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Fifth Floor	4585 Village Avenue
Washington, DC 20036	Norfolk, VA 23502
mhazzard@kelleydrye.com	Debbie.Jaggard@cox.com
Kathee Glodowski	Mary E. Clarke
Sprint	Cox Virginia Telcom, Inc.
9225 Indian Creek Parkway	2500 Almeda Ave., Suite 109
Overland Park, KS 66210	Norfolk, VA 23513
kathee.glodowski@mail.sprint.com	mary.clarke@cox.com