

Lydia R. Pulley Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary Virginia

600 E. Main St., Suite 1100 Richmond, VA 23219-2441 Voice 804-772-1547 Fax 804-772-2143 E-mail: lydia.r.pulley@verizon.com

March 17, 2003

Mr. Joel H. Peck, Clerk State Corporation Commission Document Control Center Post Office Box 2118 Richmond, VA 23216

Dear Mr. Peck:

Re: Case No. PUC-2001-00226

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen (15) copies of the "Petition of Verizon Virginia Inc. for a Waiver of Certain Service Quality Results Measured Under the Performance Assurance Plan for January 2003."

Because the PAP performance report for the January 2003 report period will become final on April 29, 2003 and Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon") then will be obligated to provide bill credits for the January 2003 report period, Verizon requests that the Commission act promptly to grant its Petition. An example of a schedule for addressing a Verizon exception petition under the PAP is set out in Appendix D of the PAP. Under this schedule, CLEC comments on Verizon's Petition would be due on April 1, 2003, and the Commission's ruling on the Petition would be due on April 15, 2003. If the Commission elects to adopt a procedural schedule for addressing Verizon's Petition that will result in a decision not being reached until after April 15, 2003, Verizon requests that the Commission grant a stay of Verizon's obligation to provide the bill credits that are the subject of Verizon's Petition until after the Commission issues its order resolving Verizon's Petition.

Thank you for bringing this matter to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Original signed by LRP

Enclosure

Copy to:
William Irby
Kathleen Cummings
Service List

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. : State Corporation Commission :

: Case No. PUC-2001-00226

Ex Parte: Establishment of a : Performance Assurance Plan for : Verizon Virginia Inc. :

PETITION OF VERIZON VIRGINIA INC. FOR A WAIVER OF CERTAIN SERVICE QUALITY RESULTS MEASURED UNDER THE PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN FOR JANUARY 2003

Lydia R. Pulley

600 East Main Street, 11th Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone No. 804-772-1547

Attorney for Verizon Virginia Inc.

Dated: March 17, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
<u>I.</u>	THE SLAMMER WORM	3
	A. THE EVENT.	3
	B. EFFECT ON VERIZON'S SYSTEMS AND VERIZON'S RESPONSE	4
	C. VERIZON'S COMPUTER SECURITY PRACTICES	6
<u>ІІ.</u>	VERIZON IS ENTITLED TO A WAIVER FOR PERFORMANCE ON THREE PRE-ORDER MEASURES WITH ABSOLUTE STANDARDS DURING JANUARY 2003 DUE TO THE SLAMMER WORM	9
	A. THE PAP STANDARD	9
	B. THE PO-2-02 METRICS	13
<u>Ш.</u>	THE MONTHLY DATA SHOULD BE ADJUSTED BY EXCLUDING THE AFFECTED TIME PERIOD	
<u>IV.</u>	NO PARITY MEASURES WERE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE SLAMMER WORM	15
<u>V.</u>	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	15

EXHIBITS

Performance Assurance Plan – January 2003 Monthly Report	
(Public Version Only)	Exhibit 1
Performance Assurance Plan – Adjusted January 2003 Monthly Report	
(Public Version Only)	Exhibit 2

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. : State Corporation Commission :

: Case No. PUC-2001-00226

Ex Parte: Establishment of a : Performance Assurance Plan for : Verizon Virginia Inc. :

PETITION OF VERIZON VIRGINIA INC. FOR A WAIVER OF CERTAIN SERVICE QUALITY RESULTS MEASURED UNDER THE PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN FOR JANUARY 2003

Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon") requests that the Virginia State Corporation Commission (the "Commission") waive certain service performance results for January 2003 that would otherwise be included in the calculation of monthly bill credits due to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs") under provisions of the Performance Assurance Plan ("PAP"). Certain systems employed by Verizon and its affiliated Operating Telephone Companies ("OTCs") were subject to an Internet computer attack by a worm during the weekend of January 25, 2003 (the "Slammer Worm"). Section II(J) of the PAP provides that Verizon may file for a waiver of service results when there is a situation that is beyond its "control that negatively affect[s] its ability to satisfy only those measures with absolute standards." (PAP at 23.)¹ This extraordinary event, which was beyond Verizon's control, prevented it

¹ Similar waiver petitions are being filed with state commissions in the Verizon East region that have adopted and effective PAPs based on the Verizon New York PAP.

from satisfying three of the PAP's pre-order wholesale measures with absolute standards during January 2003.² None of the parity metrics were affected.

Verizon estimates that if the instant waiver request is granted, the amount of monthly rebates due to CLECs will be reduced from approximately \$1,011,418.00 to \$124,599.00. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a copy of the "Performance Assurance Plan – January 2003 Monthly Report" that has been made available to CLECs and the Commission Staff. Exhibit 2 contains the adjusted January 2003 Monthly Report, which reflects the modifications that should be made to the January 2003 Monthly Report to offset the effects of the Slammer Worm on the three pre-order metrics with absolute standards.³

For the reasons set forth below, the Commission should grant the waiver request and allow Verizon to exclude the effects of the Slammer Worm for the monthly service results that will comprise the performance levels against which it will be measured under the PAP for January 2003.

_

² The PO-2-02 pre-order availability metrics were affected by the Slammer Worm.

The waiver requests and the proposed adjustment methodology should also be applied to any CLEC-specific calculations, including calculations related to the Individual Rule for Critical Measures. For those CLECs that have elected to receive CLEC-specific PAP reports, upon request by a CLEC, Verizon will provide it with a revised January 2003 CLEC-specific PAP report that reflects the modifications that should be made to offset the effects of the Slammer Worm on the three pre-order metrics with absolute standards. The CLEC-specific information has been adjusted pursuant to the methodology set forth in Section III, *infra*. The PAP performance reports for January 2003 set out in Exhibits 1 and 2 and the currently available CLEC-specific reports are preliminary reports, with performance scores of "-1" potentially being subject to revision to "0" based on performance scores for February and March.

I. THE SLAMMER WORM

A. THE EVENT

On January 25, 2003, at 12:30 AM Eastern Standard Time ("EST") corporate networks and the Internet began being flooded with vast quantities of traffic. One industry report estimates that "more than 90 percent of vulnerable computers [were infected] within 10 minutes." (See CNET News.com, "Week in Review: Worm's Wrath," February 7, 2003.) The source of the runaway traffic was traced to a worm called the SQL Slammer, also known as W32. Slammer and Sapphire (referred to herein as the Slammer Worm), which is self-propagating malicious code that exploits vulnerabilities in Microsoft SQL Server 2000, and certain other Microsoft products. The Slammer Worm crafts packets of 376 bytes and sends them to randomly chosen IP addresses on a specific port, in this case port 1434/udp.⁴ The Slammer Worm targets systems running MS SQL Server 2000 and potentially affects systems running Microsoft Desktop Engine ("MSDE") 2000, which is included in third-party products, such as VisualStudio.Net, Asp.net, Microsoft Access and others. The Slammer Worm, itself, is file-less and resides only in memory. It does not create or delete files, but actively scans for other vulnerable servers. It was this aggressive scanning and propagating that created enormous network and Internet traffic.

The Slammer Worm hit the national (and international) network quickly and without warning.

Although most firms do not speak publicly about their security programs and breaches, industry analysts estimate that 200,000 devices were affected. Verizon was affected as were many other corporations and carriers, and the Internet, itself. Industry and press reports indicate that major corporations, such

as Bank of America, the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Boeing, and J.P. Morgan Chase also were affected, as were telecommunications providers, such as AT&T, WorldCom, China Telecom and BellSouth. One of the most telling reports, however, came from Microsoft, which was infected and affected by the Slammer Worm. As Rick Devenuti, Microsoft's chief information officer stated in an interview on Monday, January 27, 2003, "[W]e are not sure how the virus got into our network.... It just takes one machine to get it going." (CNET News.com, "Microsoft Fails Slammer's Security Test," January 27, 2003.)

B. EFFECT ON VERIZON'S SYSTEMS AND VERIZON'S RESPONSE

At 1:00 AM EST Saturday, January 25, 2003, Verizon Network Management detected network flooding. Verizon Network and Information Security teams immediately convened and began trouble-shooting the incident. Soon thereafter, the technical teams had identified traffic on what is known as the "1434 port" as the source of the traffic generation and began defensive actions to isolate and block port 1434 traffic on routers and firewalls. The internal data networks were isolated and quarantined into segments (North, Mid-Atlantic and West).

Later that morning, Verizon observed that its connections to the Internet were becoming flooded with very high utilization. This was highly irregular and gave Verizon technical teams evidence that Verizon was being attacked from the Internet. Given this alarming situation, and without the benefit of clear information from industry or government on the precise nature of the attack, Verizon determined that an external quarantine process was necessary to ensure the safety of its own and its partners'

^{(...} continued)

⁴ A port is a special purpose memory location to which communications messages are written and read.

networks and systems. At that time, the wholesale interfaces (Corba, EDI, LSI (aka WEB GUI), EBI) were brought down to speed isolation and recovery from the infection. Verizon provided contemporaneous notification to CLECs of this event through normal communication channels (e-mail) on January 25, 2003. Because the Internet was still congested by the Slammer Worm, Verizon also notified by telephone the one CLEC that was attempting to exchange transactions with Verizon at that time. Verizon subsequently issued an updated bulletin with projected interface restoral times via the standard e-mail notification at approximately 10:00 PM, on Saturday, January 25, 2003.

From early morning Saturday, January 25, 2003 through late afternoon Sunday, January 26, 2003, Verizon proceeded to meticulously inspect, identify and remove infected devices, and where appropriate patch, test, and reconnect devices, thus incrementally restoring network segments. By 6:00 PM EST Sunday, January 26, 2003, internal networks and external interfaces were restored to business as usual.⁵

The attack, which affected many other large businesses and telecommunications carriers, had an impact on Verizon's operations, and created a situation that was beyond Verizon's control. In particular, the downtime required to effect and assure a thorough recovery had an adverse impact on many elements of Verizon's business operations that utilize the internal data network and OSS and, therefore, on wholesale pre-order metrics that measure the performance of these business functions. Directly affected were the performance measures for OSS Interface Availability, ⁶ as Verizon proactively

⁻

⁵ The FBI's National Infrastructure Protection Center has not yet identified who might be responsible for the release of the Slammer Worm.

⁶ The OSS Interface Availability metrics are the PO-2-02 metrics in the PAP and Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines.

and defensively removed the interfaces from operation during prime time hours on Saturday,

January 25, 2003 to aid in problem isolation and corrective action. As known by information security

experts, this approach (blocking and monitoring network ingress and egress points) helps pinpoint

compromised hosts and limit denial-of-service conditions based on bandwidth utilization.

Due to the network congestion caused by the Slammer Worm, individuals and systems attempting to perform transactions across the network were also affected. Dial tone service for Verizon retail and the CLECs purchasing services from Verizon was not affected.

C. VERIZON'S COMPUTER SECURITY PRACTICES

Verizon's computer security practices in the past have detected and helped mitigate the effects of other malicious virus or worm attacks. These practices enabled Verizon to quickly detect the Slammer Worm and begin defensive and recovery activities. In fact, Verizon was the first telecommunications company to report the incident to the National Communications Center – Information Sharing and Analysis Center ("NCC-ISAC"), an industry/government organization whose membership includes the major telecommunications carriers and the National Communications System. According to industry reports, the Slammer Worm "open[ed] a new era of fast-spreading viruses on the Internet... [it] doubled in size every 8.5 seconds when it first appeared..." compared to the Code Red worm in 2001 which doubled in size every 37 minutes. (CNET News.com, "Week in Review: Worm's Wrath," February 7, 2003.)

Verizon has an extensive security network in place to protect both its physical plant and its cyber assets, and one of the security practices employed by Verizon is participation in industry and government security information-sharing forums, such as the NCC-ISAC and the Computer Emergency Response Team Coordination Center at Carnegie Mellon University. Verizon also has engaged the

services of a third-party firm specializing in software security, which proactively notifies Verizon of impending cyber attacks. None of these external groups provided Verizon with advance warning of the Slammer Worm.

Verizon's normal practices of maintaining the software infrastructure also include the process for obtaining, evaluating, testing and then deploying "fixes" or improvements to software components across its various systems. This is not a trivial function. When a security vulnerability or other software defect is discovered either by the supplier of a software component or users of the software, the software supplier undertakes the development of a "fix" for the defect. At the discretion of the supplier, the fix may be released to users either as part of a package of changes in a new software version or upgrade or may be released as a discrete repair to be applied to an existing version of the software. A discrete repair is also known as a "patch." Given the large amount of software in Verizon's computing infrastructure and the frequency with which patches and upgrades are released by vendors, patch management is a complex and time-consuming function.

Because application of a patch for a specific problem, such as a security vulnerability, can adversely impact the operation of other functions or software components within a specific system or application, testing of patches is normally prudent. In fact, a rush to install a patch that has not had a significant amount of interoperability testing and broad-based user experience can result in unexpected consequences, since the patch may be later revoked by the supplier as ineffective or damaging, and may be superceded by a subsequent patch. Further, a security patch for a given software component may require, as a pre-condition to deployment, the installation of prior patches or intermediate releases having nothing at all to do with security, and/or it may require the installation or upgrade of a companion software component (for example, a given version of MS SQL Server will require a given version of

Windows NT). Finally, the downtime associated with the application of a specific patch (and any related upgrade or other patches) can be substantial and must be efficiently managed, especially in a business such as Verizon's with thousands of systems, and the large number of wholesale customers that interface with Verizon's systems. Because of the complex interdependence between various patches and software release levels, the possibility of an adverse impact on the target system, downtime and a number of other factors, patch management represents a very serious challenge for most large businesses. Unfortunately, this already substantial challenge increases exponentially when a supplier issues "patches," even security patches, on a frequent basis. As Microsoft's CIO Devenuti stated in his January 27, 2003 interview, "At any given point in time, it is hard to be 100% patched with any machine."

Unfortunately, when the Slammer Worm hit, there were servers in Verizon and many other organizations and corporations that had not yet received a patch to fend off the Slammer Worm, which attacked a security vulnerability in MS SQL Server 2000 and MSDE 2000. In fact, many media accounts about the Slammer Worm described the challenges of patch management and Verizon's experience was fairly typical of the way many large businesses were affected. While Microsoft had released security patches that addressed the specific vulnerability exploited by the Slammer Worm, it is only in hindsight that the specific patches to address the problem can be identified. In just the past 12 months alone, Microsoft has released 72 security patches to its various products. Among the latest was a patch issued in December 2002 for a vulnerability in its Windows NT 4.0, Windows 2000 and Windows XP products. This patch, however, was recently revoked on February 3, 2003 when it was determined that the patch for NT 4.0 machines would, under certain configurations, cause the operating

system to fail. Moreover, recently Microsoft has released new patches for the Slammer Worm which it believes are much more user friendly than those originally released.

II. VERIZON IS ENTITLED TO A WAIVER FOR PERFORMANCE ON THREE PRE-ORDER MEASURES WITH ABSOLUTE STANDARDS DURING JANUARY 2003 DUE TO THE SLAMMER WORM

A. THE PAP STANDARD

Section II(J) of the PAP provides that:

Recognizing that C2C service quality data may be influenced by factors beyond [Verizon's] control, [Verizon] may file Exception or Waiver petitions with the Commission seeking to have the monthly service quality results modified on three generic grounds.

. . . .

The third ground . . . relates to situations beyond [Verizon's] control that negatively affect its ability to satisfy only those measures with absolute standards. The performance requirements dictated by absolute standards establish the quality of service under normal operating conditions, and do not necessarily establish the level of performance to be achieved during periods of emergency, catastrophe, natural disaster, severe storms, work stoppage, or other events beyond [Verizon's] control.

(PAP at 22, 23-24; see also PAP Appendix D (procedural schedule).)

The NY PAP, on which the VA PAP is based, has been in existence in New York since

January 2000, and the New York Public Service Commission ("PSC") has recognized that events

beyond Verizon's control entitle Verizon to waivers of the NY PAP's service quality standards. The

New York PSC granted Verizon waivers of certain monthly service performance after a work stoppage

in August 2000.⁷ In addition, under the retail Performance Regulation Plan that existed in New York

(continued . . .)

⁷ Case 99-C-0949, et al., Petition of Bell Atlantic - New York for Approval of a Performance Assurance Plan and Change Control Assurance Plan, filed in C 97-C-0271, "Order Granting in

between 1995 and 2002,8 the New York PSC granted Verizon waivers for work stoppages, arson and severe weather events.9

The Slammer Worm is an event similar to those waiverable events. It was an event beyond Verizon's control "that negatively affect[ed] its ability to satisfy . . . those measures with absolute standards." The Slammer Worm struck Verizon and numerous other companies that rely on Microsoft products without warning in the early hours of January 25, 2003. Verizon worked around-the-clock to resolve the problems the Slammer Worm created. Prior to the Slammer Worm attack, Verizon took

(... continued)

Part and Denying in Part Requests for Waivers of Service Quality Targets" (issued June 7, 2001) ("The Commission finds that the August work stoppage was an extraordinary event beyond the control of Verizon justifying the granting of waivers from the service quality requirements of the PAP"). Although the PAP has been in effect in New York since January 2000, the work stoppage waivers are the only waivers Verizon has requested under the PAP. After the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, the Commission, *sua sponte*, suspended the operation of the PAP for three months. To date, PAP waiver requests have not been filed with any other state commissions.

⁸ See Case 92-C-0665, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Investigate Performance-Based Incentive Regulatory Plans for New York Telephone Company, "Opinion and Order Concerning Performance Regulatory Plan," Opinion No. 95-13 (issued August 16, 1995).

⁹ See Case 98-C-1415, et al., Petition of Bell Atlantic - New York for a Waiver of Certain Performance Standards Measured Under the Performance Regulatory Plan of the Month of August 1998, filed in C 92-C-0665, "Order Granting In Part and Denying In Part Request for Waivers of Service Quality Targets" (issued November 22, 1999) at 4 (the 1998 waiver request for the work stoppage related only to retail measures under the Performance Regulation Plan since the PAP had not yet been adopted); Case 99-C-1193, et al., Petition of Bell Atlantic - New York for a Waiver of the Requirements of Certain Performance Results Measured Under the Performance Regulatory Plan for July 1999, Filed in Case 92-C-0665, "Ordering Granting Waiver" (issued August 28, 2000) (waiver justified under particular circumstances for cable outage caused by arson); and Case 99-C-1500, et al., Petition of Bell Atlantic - New York for a Waiver of the Requirements of Certain Performance Results Measured Under the Performance Regulatory Plan for September 1999, filed in C 92-C-0665, "Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Request for Waivers of Service Quality Targets" (issued July 20, 2000) ("BA-NY has demonstrated that Tropical Storm Floyd was an extraordinary event, as evidenced by Governor Pataki's request for Federal Disaster Aid, and the documentation submitted in support of the petition.").

reasonable precautions to protect its computer systems from attack. In fact, Verizon's detection, isolation and recovery from the attack in approximately 40 hours was made possible by Verizon's ongoing business practices and its management of a secure, heterogeneous and complex computing infrastructure. Verizon's use of secure access infrastructure utilizing firewalls, ongoing security vigilance to detect and repudiate attacks, 24x7 network traffic monitoring, and 24x7 network device, server and system availability monitoring for critical systems, allowed Verizon to restore functions and operations incrementally and fully emerge from the crisis by Sunday night. The Slammer Worm and other malicious incidents demonstrate the inherent vulnerability of shared and interconnected data networks. The collective information technology industry, including Verizon's Information Technology organization, and government continue to work together to further protect and secure this shared resource.

Some parties may argue that Verizon should not be granted the waiver because it should have had patches in place to prevent the Slammer Worm from infecting its systems. Any such arguments should be rejected. The threshold question is not whether Microsoft patches existed to prevent the Slammer Worm from infecting Microsoft systems, but whether Verizon exercised reasonable, prudent judgment, in operating and protecting its cyber facilities. The PAP states that Verizon must demonstrate "[w]hy the Company's normal reasonable preparations for difficult situations proved inadequate...." (PAP at 24.) Verizon has made that showing.

Indeed, the record demonstrates that Verizon acted in a prudent, reasonable manner. As outlined above, Verizon has sophisticated and extensive procedures for the operation and protection of its cyber facilities, including the OSS available for CLECs. Moreover, patch management is an extremely complex task. Many other well-respected and well-run companies were also infected by the Slammer Worm and Verizon's experience appears to have been typical of these companies. Verizon

operated and protected its system in a reasonable fashion, similar to other large corporations. In fact, in determining whether Verizon's actions in defending its systems from being infected by the Slammer Worm were reasonable, the Commission need look no further than Microsoft, the developer of the infected systems and the associated security

patches. The fact that Microsoft, itself, was infected by the Slammer Worm speaks volumes about the difficulties of being "100% patched" at all times.

In the days following the Slammer Worm attack, the press included a number of articles addressing the challenges related to patch management, and a number of security experts opined on the difficulties of patch management. For example, Bruce Schneier, chief technology officer for network protection firm Counterpane Internet Security stated "[The Slammer Worm] shows that the notion of patching doesn't work. Publicly, they [Microsoft] are saying it's not our fault, because you should have patched. But Microsoft's own actions show that you can't reasonably expect people to be able to keep up with patches." (CNET News.com, "Microsoft Fails Slammer's Security Test," by Robert Lemos, January 27, 2003). Mr. Schneier also pointed out that "numerous software patches are released every week. Systems managers are thus expected 'to patch their systems about once a day, for ever'. This is unrealistic. And even if most systems are patched, an unpatched minority can wreak havoc." (The Economist (US) February 1, 2003, v366). One article noted that "Microsoft released a service pack that would have fixed the problems the week before Slammer hit. But not only are there too many patches to keep up with, people are reluctant to install them for fear they will interfere with their systems. Microsoft admits making a mistake with the SQL fix and has 'egg on our face' over being hit by the worm, 'What this demonstrates and what we [Microsoft] readily acknowledge is the patch

management process is too complex'.... 'Microsoft is committed to reorganizing [its] patch system and delivering high-quality patches in a streamlined way." (CNN.com, "Experts: Microsoft Security Gets an 'F'," February 1, 2003). *See also* CNET News.com, *supra*, "Week in Review: Worm's Wrath." ("The worm's most significant casualty may be the perception that companies can remain secure by keeping up with software patches and other protective updates. Instead, security experts say, companies need to begin treating such attacks as inevitable and focus on limiting their damage, rather than expending every effort trying to create an ironclad perimeter.")

In short, Verizon acted reasonably under the circumstances. 20-20 hindsight should not be used to find otherwise. Thus, Verizon should be entitled to a waiver of the absolute service standards that it could not satisfy as a result of the Slammer Worm.

B. THE PO-2-02 METRICS

For the purposes of this waiver, Verizon has identified three specific measures with absolute standards that the Commission should waive: (1) PO-2-02-6020 "OSS Interface Availability – Prime – EDI"; (2) PO-2-02-6030 OSS Interface Availability – Prime – Corba"; and (3) PO-2-02-6080 "OSS Interface Availability – Prime – Web GUI." These measures, which measure activity in prime time (6:00 AM to 12:00 AM EST Monday through Saturday, (excluding major holidays)) have a standard of equal to or greater than 99.5%. Each measure is included in the UNE and Resale MOEs of the PAP, as well as in Critical Measure No. 1.

As demonstrated in the tables below, prior to the Slammer Worm attack, Verizon satisfied each of these measures on a regular, monthly basis.

Performance on PO-2-02 Metrics

Eight Month View (%)

	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
PO-2-02-6020 (EDI)	100	100	99.89	99.98	99.99	99.9	99.98	97.44
PO-2-02-6030 (Corba)	100	100	99.96	100	100	99.96	100	98.65
PO-2-02-6080 (Web GUI)	99.75	100	99.71	100	99.78	99.87	100	96.94

But for the Slammer Worm, Verizon would have been able to provide satisfactory service on these measures. As noted above, the interfaces, including EDI and Corba, were brought down Saturday to speed the isolation and recovery from the Slammer Worm. In addition, the Web GUI, which operates via the Internet, was affected by the Internet flooding that the Slammer Worm caused. Accordingly, the Commission should waive the service quality results recorded under the PO-2-02 measures and allow Verizon to adjust the service quality results for these measures using the process outlined below.

III. THE MONTHLY DATA SHOULD BE ADJUSTED BY EXCLUDING THE AFFECTED TIME PERIOD

The PAP is silent on how the service data affected by an abnormal event should be treated in calculating a revised monthly report. For example, there is no indication whether the affected data should be excluded completely from the report or whether a normalization methodology should be used to adjust the data. A normalization methodology would take out the influence of the Slammer Worm on the data and use the adjusted data along with the unadjusted data for the remaining measures to

calculate the amount of bill credits due to CLECs under the PAP. This is the methodology that Verizon proposed be used for the New York August 2000 Work Stoppage Waivers. In that case, the abnormal event occurred over numerous days. Here, only the performance on one day, Saturday January 25, 2003, is relevant to the calculation of the monthly data for the affected metrics. A more appropriate method in this case would be to exclude the affected day. Accordingly, Verizon proposes that Saturday, January 25, 2003 be excluded from the calculation of the PO-2-02 metrics for the January performance month, and the reports annexed as part of Exhibit 2 reflect these exclusions.

IV. NO PARITY MEASURES WERE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE SLAMMER WORM

The PAP provides that "[t]his waiver process shall not be available for those metrics for which [Verizon's] wholesale performance is measured by comparison to retail performance (parity metrics)." (PAP at 24.) The PAP, however, requires Verizon to "... include an analysis of the extent to which the parity metrics (retail and wholesale) were affected by the subject event ...". (*Id.* at 24.) In this case, the Slammer Worm attack did not prevent Verizon from providing parity service to the CLECs. In fact, Verizon has been providing excellent service to its wholesale customers.

V. CONCLUSION

Despite its best efforts, Verizon was unable due to the Slammer Worm to satisfy the service quality standards for the PO-2-02 metrics in the PAP for January 2003. Accordingly, Verizon should be granted a waiver for the performance on the PO-2-02 metrics.

-

15

¹⁰ The Slammer Worm also affected Sunday, January 26, 2003, but Sunday is not a prime time day and is not covered by the PO-2-02 metrics.

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signed by LRP

Lydia R. Pulley

600 East Main Street, 11th Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219

804-772-1547

Attorney for

Verizon Virginia Inc.

Of Counsel Paul A. Rich William D. Smith

Dated: March 17, 2003

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of March, 2003, a copy of the 'Petition of Verizon Virginia Inc. for a Waiver of Certain Service Quality Results Measured Under the Performance Assurance Plan for January 2003" in Case No. PUC-2001-00226 was sent as stated below:

Don R. Mueller, Esquire State Corporation Commission Office of the General Counsel Post Office Box 1197 Richmond, Virginia 23218 (Hand-delivered)

C. Meade Browder, Esquire Office of Attorney General 2nd Floor 900 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (U.S. Mail)

Performance Standards/Remedy Plans Subcommittee of the Collaborative Committee (E-Mail)

Original signed by LRP

Lydia R. Pulley

Verizon VA	271 Backslide Report							Janua	ary-03		
	Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC		UN	F		Diff.	Perf. Score	Wgt.	Wgtd. Score
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI	0.23	2.89		UIN	_		2.66	0	15	0.000
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	0.23	1.15					0.92	0	5	0.000
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - WEB GUI	0.23	2.54					2.31	0	5	0.000
PO-1-02-6020	Due Date Availability - EDI	1.16	5.12					3.96	0	5	0.000
PO-1-02-6030	Due Date Availability - CORBA	1.16	2.38					1.22	0	2	0.000
PO-1-02-6050	Due Date Availability - WEB GUI	1.16	3.90					2.74	0	2	0.000
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation -EDI	4.47	6.32					1.84	0	5	0.000
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	4.47	4.91					0.44	0	2	0.000
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - WEB GUI	4.47	5.79					1.32	0	2	0.000
PO-1-04-6020	Product and Service Availability - EDI	9.53	NA						0	0	0.000
PO-1-04-6030	Product and Service Availability - CORBA	9.53 9.53	NA 12.64					0.44	0	0	0.000
PO-1-04-6050	Product and Service Availability - WEB GUI Telephone Number Availability and Reservation - EDI	9.53	12.64					3.11 3.45	0	2 5	0.000
PO-1-05-6020 PO-1-05-6030	TN Availability and Reservation - CORBA	5.47	8.92				-	1.06	0	2	0.000
PO-1-05-6050	TN Availability and Reservation - CORBA TN Availability and Reservation - WEB GUI	5.47	8.04				-	2.57	0	2	0.000
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5.47	97.44				L	2.31	-2	20	-0.067
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	-	98.65						-1	10	-0.017
PO-2-02-6080	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI	I	96.94						-2	10	-0.033
PO-3-02-3000	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering	-	91.84						0	10	0.000
PO-3-04-3000	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Repair		87.44						0	10	0.000
OR	Ordering			Obser	vations						
OR-1-02-3320	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs		98.17	ſ	37,645			j	0	20	0.000
OR-1-04-3100	% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS		95.85	ŀ	5,838				0	5	0.000
OR-1-04-3200	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specials		100.00	Ī	38				0	5	0.000
OR-1-06-3320	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS		98.17	Ī	438				0	5	0.000
OR-1-06-3200	% On Time LSRC /ASRC -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials		97.74	Ī	133				0	5	0.000
OR-2-02-3320	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS		99.10		8,074				0	15	0.000
OR-2-04-3320	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS		99.29		4,202				0	5	0.000
OR-2-04-3200	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specials		100.00	L	3				0	5	0.000
OR-2-06-3320	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	_	95.90	L	195				0	5	0.000
OR-2-06-3200	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	_	96.88	L	32				0	5	0.000
OR-4-09-3000	% SOP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Business Days	_	99.35	L	18,762				0	15	0.000
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS & Specials		96.10	L	39,664	VZ Standard			0	20	0.000
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Sampling Error	Stat. Score		i	
PR-3-08-3142	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines-No Disp.)-UNE-P/Other	99.03	99.81	79,795	5,143		0.14	5.5178	0	10	0.000
PR-3-09-3142	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines-Dispatch)-UNE-P/Other	94.48	99.35	13,195	155		1.85	2.6432	0	5	0.000
PR-4-01-3200	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	11.01 28.09	0.82 7.69	981 235	122		3.00	3.3910	0	10	0.000
PR-4-01-3510	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL	28.09	7.69	235	13		12.80	1.5926	0	10	0.000
PR-4-01-3530 PR-4-02-3100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - IOF Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	4.29	0.00	2.497	50 50	13.23	0.00 1.89	0.0000 1.1071	0	10 10	0.000
PR-4-02-3100 PR-4-02-3200	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS Average Delay Days - Total - Specials	4.29 8.59	1.00	2,497 108	50	25.78	25.90	0.2932	0	10	0.000
PR-4-04-3140	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform	7.42	4.82	20,755	353	25.70	1.41	1.8511	0	10	0.000
PR-4-04-3113	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - New Loop	7.42	3.74	20,755	749		0.97	3.7764	0	10	0.000
PR-4-05-3140	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - Platform	0.76	0.01	125,391	16.646		0.07	10.4623	0	20	0.000
PR-5-01-3100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS	1.40	0.09	20,755	1,110		0.36	3.6148	0	10	0.000
PR-5-01-3200	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Specials	2.04	0.76	489	132		1.39	0.9269	0	10	0.000
PR-5-02-3100	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS *	0.15	0.36	20,755	1,110		0.12	-1.2831	-1	5	-0.008
PR-5-02-3200	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Specials	0.20	0.00	489	132		0.44	0.4610	0	5	0.000
PR-6-01-3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS Other	1.38	0.85	262,162	19,886		0.09	6.1313	0	15	0.000
PR-6-01-3200	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Specials	1.80	2.55	1,778	196		1.00	-0.7508	0	15	0.000
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut		1.19		927				0	15	0.000
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut		95.81		477				0	20	0.000
MR	Maintenance & Repair							Diff.			
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	5.82	2.50					-3.32	0	5	0.000
MR-1-03-2000	Average Response Time - Modify Trouble	5.79	2.79					-3.00	0	5	0.000
MR-1-04-2000	Average Response Time - Request Cancellation of Trouble	6.82	0.42					-6.40	0	5	0.000
MR-1-06-2000	Average Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)	52.09	57.34					5.24	-1	5	-0.008
MD 0 04 0000	Natural Tarible Board Bata Cassials	0.49	4.05	93 379	0.050		0.40	Stat. Score	0	40	0.000
MR-2-01-3200 MR-2-02-3112	Network Trouble Report Rate - Specials Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop (POTS)	0.49	1.35	93,379	3,856 267 765		0.12 0.02	-7.4048 16.2813	-2 0	10 10	-0.033 0.000
		11.32	6.30	2,841,064	267,765						
MR-3-01-3112 MR-3-02-3100	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop % Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office	11.32 6.24	6.30	21,597	1,270		0.91 2.74	5.4893 0.4739	0	20 5	0.000
MR-4-01-3200	Mean Time to Repair - Specials	5.62	5.63	2,149 462	52	5.80	0.85	-0.0118	0	20	0.000
MR-4-02-3112	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble	19.06	13.66	21 597	1 270	30.70	0.89	6.0804	0	15	0.000
MR-4-03-3100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	8.67	8.67	2,149	81	14.12	1.60	0.0004	0	5	0.000
MR-4-08-3100	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS	18.71	11.74	12,505	971	11.12	1.30	5.3667	0	20	0.000
MR-4-08-3200	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials	1.52	0.00	461	45		1.91	0.7955	Ö	10	0.000
MR-5-01-3100	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	12.71	14.05	23,746	1,359		0.93	-1.4467	-1	15	-0.025
MR-5-01-3200	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Specials	15.15	13.46	462	52		5.24	0.3223	0	15	0.000
<u>BI</u>	Billing	•		•							
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days	Γ	99.75						0	10	0.000
	"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development						7	Totals	-10	599	-0.192

"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development

Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

* Performance Score determined through permutation testing

	A 271 Backslide Report							Jan	uary-03		
	Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC		RESA	LE		Diff.	Perf. Score	Wgt.	Wgtd.
-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI	0.23	2.89		,			2.66	0	15	
-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	0.23	1.15					0.92	0	5	
-6050	Customer Service Record - WEB GUI	0.23	2.54					2.31	0	5	
-6020	Due Date Availability - EDI	1.16	5.12					3.96	0	5	
-6030	Due Date Availability - CORBA	1.16	2.38					1.22	0	2	
2-6050	Due Date Availability - WEB GUI	1.16	3.90					2.74	0	2	
3-6020	Address Validation -EDI	4.47	6.32				İ	1.84	0	5	
3-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	4.47	4.91				İ	0.44	0	2	
3-6050	Address Validation - WEB GUI	4.47	5.79				İ	1.32	0	2	
4-6020	Product and Service Availability - EDI	9.53	NA						0	0	
4-6030	Product and Service Availability - CORBA	9.53	NA				•		0	ō	
4-6050	Product and Service Availability - WEB GUI	9.53	12.64				•	3.11	0	2	
5-6020	Telephone Number Availability and Reservation - EDI	5.47	8.92				ŀ	3.45	0	5	
5-6030	TN Availability and Reservation - CORBA	5.47	6.53				ŀ	1.06	0	2	
5-6050	TN Availability and Reservation - WEB GUI	5.47	8.04					2.57	0	2	
2-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	0.47	97.44				ı	2.01	-2	20	
2-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	-	98.65					•	-1	10	
2-6080	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI	-	96.94					•	-2	10	
2-2000	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering	-	91.84					-	0	10	
4-2000	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering % Answered within 30 Seconds - Repair	-	87.44					-	0	10	
		L	07.44	Observa	tions			L	U	10	
<u>R</u>	Ordering		07.47	Observa					•	1	
2-2320	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs	_	97.47		9,050				0	20	
04-2100	% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS	_	97.35		1,282				0	5	
04-2200	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Special	ls	100.00		7				0	5	
06-2320	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS	_	100.00		73				0	5	
06-2200	% On Time LSRC /ASRC -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	_	100.00		6				0	5	
02-2320	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS	_	98.88		1,704				0	15	
04-2320	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS	_	99.81		521				0	5	
04-2200	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specia	als	50.00		6				-2	5	
06-2320	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS		100.00		50				0	5	
06-2200	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials		100.00		6				0	5	
9-2000	% SOP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Business Days		99.66		5,363	VZ Standard			0	15	
03-2000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS & Specials		97.31		9,304		Sampling Error		0	20	
'R	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Sampling Life	Stat. Score			
08-2100	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines - No Dispatch) - POTS	99.03	99.69	79,795	1,937		0.23	2.9431	0	10	
09-2100	% Completed w/n 5 Days (1-5 lines - Dispatch) - POTS	94.48	99.35	13,195	1,071		0.73	6.7103	0	5	
01-2200	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	11.01	0.00	981	11		9.49	1.1600	0	10	
02-2100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	4.29	1.66	2,497	47	13.23	1.95	1.3515	0	10	
02-2200	Average Delay Days - Total - Specials	8.59	NA	108		25.78		NA	0	0	
04-2100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS	7.42	3.30	20,755	1,332		0.74	5.5563	0	10	
05-2100	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	0.76	0.10	125,391	2,924		0.16	4.0606	0	20	
01-2100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS	1.40	0.68	20,755	1,332		0.33	2,1764	0	10	
01-2200	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Specials	2.04	0.00	489	2		10.03	0.2039	0	10	
02-2100	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS	0.15	0.00	20,755	1.332		0.11	1.3667	0	5	
02-2200	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Specials	0.20	0.00	489	2		3.20	0.0638	0	5	
01-2100	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS	1.38	3.03	262,162	4 090		0.18	-8.9748	-2	15	
01-2200	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Specials	1.80	0.00	1,778	19		3.07	0.5870	0	15	
<u>//R</u>	Maintenance & Repair	1.00	0.00	1,770	10		3.07	Diff.		10	
01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	5.82	2.50				Ī	-3.32	0	5	
03-2000	Average Response Time - Modify Trouble	5.79	2.79					-3.00	0	5	
04-2000	Average Response Time - Request Cancellation of Trouble	6.82	0.42					-6.40	0	5	
06-2000	Average Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)	52.09	57.34				L	5.24 Stat. Score	-1	5	
01-2200	Network Trouble Report Rate - Specials	0.49	0.46	93.379	1 947		0.16	0.2023	0	10	
			0.46	93,379 2.841.064	1,947				0		
02-2100	Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop (POTS)	0.76			100,002		0.03	15.8526	-	10	
01-2100	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop	11.32	9.89	21,597	354		1.70	0.8446	0	20	
	% Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office	6.24	0.00	2,149	12		7.00	0.8908	0	5	
	Mean Time to Repair - Specials	5.62	4.59	462	9	5.80	1.95	0.5276	0	20	
02-2100		19.06	14.35	21,597	354	30.70	1.65	2.8626	0	15	
01-2200 02-2100	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble			2.149	12	14.12	4.09	0.7509	0	5	
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	8.67	5.60								
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100 08-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS	8.67 18.71	14.24	12,505	288		2.32	1.9260	0	20	
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials	8.67 18.71 1.52		12,505 461	288 7		2.32 4.66	1.9260 0.3262		20 10	
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100 08-2100 08-2200 01-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials % Repeat Reports win 30 days - POTS	8.67 18.71 1.52 12.71	14.24 0.00 10.38	12,505 461 23,746			4.66 1.75	0.3262 1.3264	0 0	20 10 15	
1-2200 2-2100 3-2100 8-2100 8-2200 1-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials	8.67 18.71 1.52	14.24 0.00	12,505 461	7		4.66	0.3262	0	20 10	
1-2200 2-2100 3-2100 8-2100 8-2200 1-2100 1-2200	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Specials	8.67 18.71 1.52 12.71	14.24 0.00 10.38	12,505 461 23,746	7 366		4.66 1.75	0.3262 1.3264	0 0	20 10 15	
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100 08-2100 08-2200 01-2100 01-2200	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	8.67 18.71 1.52 12.71	14.24 0.00 10.38 22.22	12,505 461 23,746	7 366		4.66 1.75	0.3262 1.3264	0 0	20 10 15	
01-2200 02-2100 03-2100 08-2100	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Specials	8.67 18.71 1.52 12.71	14.24 0.00 10.38	12,505 461 23,746	7 366		4.66 1.75 12.07	0.3262 1.3264	0 0 0	20 10 15 15	

Totals

271 Backslide Report							Jan	uary-03	
Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC		DS	21		Diff.	Perf. Score	Wgt.
Facility Available/Loop Qualification - EDI	15.61	5.55			<i>,</i> _		-10.06	0	5
Facility Available/Loop Qualification - WEBGUI	15.61	4.65					-10.95	0	5
% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification		88.78						-2	5
% On Time - Engineering Record Request		NA	Observat	tions				0	0
Ordering	<u> </u>			CLEC		-			
% On Time LSRC /ASRC- No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT)-2 Wire Digital		100.00		23				0	2
% On Time LSRC/ASRC- NoFacil Ck(E-No FT)-2Wire xDSL		98.65		74				0	10
% On Time LSRC/ASRC- NoFacil Ck(E-No FT)-Line Share		100.00		54				0	10
% On Time LSRC /ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -2Wire Digital		NA						0	0
% On Time LSRC/ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -2Wire xDSL		NA						0	0
% On Time LSRC/ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -Line Share		NA						0	0
% On Time LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E No FT) -2Wire Digital		100.00		5				0	2
% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E- No FT)-2Wire xDSL		100.00		23				0	10
% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E- No FT)- Line Share		100.00		16				0	10
% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)-2Wire Digital		NA						0	0
% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)-2Wire xDSL		NA						0	0
% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)- Line Share		NA			VZ Standard			0	0
Provisioning		•	•		Deviation	Sampling Error	Stat. Score		•
% Comp. w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines No Disp.)- Ln. Share		95.60		250				0	10
% Comp. w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines No Disp.)-Ln. Share	79.58	95.60	6,494	250		2.60	6.1657	U	10
% Comp. w/in 6 Days(1-5 lines) Tot 2Wire xDSL		96.48		199				0	10
Average Delay Days - Total - 2Wire Digital	5.15	7.00	143	4	10.79	5.47	-0.3374	0	2
Average Delay Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL	10.01	1.50	75	14	30.66	8.93	0.9538	0	10
Average Delay Days - Total - Line Share*	1.43	6.75	185	8	1.37	0.49	-2.7860	-2	10
% Missed Appointment - Dispatch - 2Wire Digital	16.84	2.90	671	69		4.73	2.9469	0	2
% Missed Appointment- Dispatch - 2 Wire xDSL		2.85		281				0	20
% Missed Appointment - Dispatch - DSL Line Share	3.45	1.32	1,448	76		2.15	0.9950	0	5
% Missed Appt No Disp Line Share	1.40	0.86	7,812	347		0.64	0.8252	0	20
% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - 2Wire Digital	5.21	3.80	25,431	79		2.50	0.5641	0	2
% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - 2Wire xDSL	5.21	4.19	25,431	334		1.22	0.8322	0	10
% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - Line Share	1.27	3.50	9,323	428		0.55	-4.0516	-2	10
Maintenance & Repair									•
Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - 2Wire Digital	0.75	0.32	2,888,984	5,248		0.12	3.5909	0	2
Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - 2Wire xDSL	0.75	0.29	2,888,984	23,583		0.06	8.1428	0	5
Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - Line Share	0.24	0.28	50,033	4,299		0.08	-0.4777	0	5
Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - 2Wire Digital	0.08	0.06	2,888,984	5,248		0.04	0.5539	0	2
Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - 2Wire xDSL	0.08	0.03	2,888,984	23,583		0.02	2.9016	0	5
Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - Line Share**	0.07	0.12	50,033	4,299		0.04	-1.2339	0	5
% Missed Repair Appt Loop - 2Wire Digital	11.46	5.88	21,747	17		7.73	0.7221	0	2
% Missed Repair Appt Loop - 2Wire xDSL	11.46	6.25	21,747	80		3.57	1.4611	0	20
% Missed Repair Appt Loop - Line Share	43.02	4.76	179	21		11.42	3.3498	0	20
% Missed Repair Appt CO - 2Wire Digital	7.13	0.00	2,271	3		14.87	0.4797	0	2
% Missed Repair Appt CO - 2Wire xDSL	7.13	11.11	2,271	9		8.60	-0.4628	0	10
% Missed Repair Appt CO - Line Share	26.88	36.36	93	11		14.14	-0.6708	0	10
Mean Time To Repair - Loop - 2Wire Digital	19.07	15.66	21,747	17	30.67	7.44	0.4580	0	2
Mean Time To Repair - Loop - 2Wire xDSL	19.07	11.50	21,747	80	30.67	3.44	2.2034	0	20
Mean Time To Repair - Loop - Line Share	28.28	19.02	179	21	23.33	5.38	1.7197	0	20
Mean Time To Repair - CO - 2Wire Digital	8.96	2.29	2,271	3	14.74	8.52	0.7831	0	2
Mean Time To Repair - CO - 2Wire xDSL	8.96	11.41	2,271	9	14.74	4.92	-0.4982	0	10
Mean Time To Repair - CO - Line Share	27.37	12.59	93	11	24.02	7.66	1.9304	0	10
% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - 2Wire Digital	12.74	15.00	24,018	20	21.02	7.46	-0.3030	0	2
% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - 2Wire xDSL	12.74	7.87	24,018	89		3.54	1.3768	0	10
% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Line Share	39.71	31.25	272	32		9.14	0.9247	0	10
"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development	55.71	01.20	LIL	U.E.		3.14	0.0247	-6	

Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

* Performance Score determined through permutation testing

** An absolute difference in performance of <0.1% results in a performance score of 0.

INTERCONNECTION (TRUNKS)

OR	Ordering		CLEC		Obs.				Perf. Score	Wgt.	Wgtd. Score
OR-1-12-5020	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations		100.00		5				0	15	0.000
OR-1-13-5020	% On Time Design Layout Record		100.00		45				0	10	0.000
OR-2-12-5000	% On TimeTrunk ASR Reject		100.00		3				0	10	0.000
				Observa	ations	VZ Standard	Sampling				
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning	VZ		VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Error	Stat. Score			
PR-4-01-5000	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total	0.04	0.00	5,357	10,448		0.03	1.1449	0	20	0.000
PR-4-02-5000	Average Delay Days - Total	0.04	NA	0,001	10,440		0.00	1.1445	0	0	0.000
PR-4-07-3540	% On Time Performance - LNP only		98.50		1.465				0	20	0.000
PR-5-01-5000	% Missed Appointment - Facilities	0.00	0.00	5,357	6,080		0.00	0.0000	0	10	0.000
PR-5-02-5000	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 Days	0.00	0.00	5,357	6,080		0.00	0.0000	0	10	0.000
PR-6-01-5000	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	0.02	0.00	5,357	10,448		0.02	0.8132	0	15	0.000
MR	Maintenance & Repair			,							
MR-4-01-5000	Mean Time to Repair - Total	1.85	1.02	17	27	2.71	0.84	0.9892	0	20	0.000
MR-5-01-5000	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days	5.88	3.70	17	27		7.28	0.2993	0	10	0.000
<u>NP</u>	Network Performance			•			•				
NP-1-03-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months		0						0	20	0.000
NP-1-04-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months		0						U	20	0.000
								Totals	0	160	0.000
								•			
	Collocation	Danfannan	D	an Cuitiaal M						12	
	Conocation	Periormar	ice Report i	or Critical M	easure #						
<u>NP</u>	Network Performance		CLEC		Obs.					Wgt.	
NP-2-01-6701	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New		NA							0	
NP-2-01-6702	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - Augment		100.00		3					10	
NP-2-02-6701	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - New		NA							0	
NP-2-02-6702	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - Augment		NA							0	
NP-2-05-6701	% On Time - Physical Location -New		NA							0	
NP-2-05-6702	% On Time - Physical Location -Augment		100.00		18					20	
NP-2-06-6701	% On Time - Virtual Location - New		NA							0	
NP-2-06-6702	% On Time - Virtual Location - Augment		NA							0	
NP-2-07-6701	Average Delay Days - Physical - New		NA							0	
NP-2-07-6702	Average Delay Days - Physical -Augment		NA							20	
NP-2-08-6701	Average Delay Days - Virtual - New		NA		,					0	
NP-2-08-6702	Average Delay Days - Virtual - Augment		NA							0	
										50	

"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development

Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

l la	nuary-03	Verizon Virginia			Resale			JNE		Trunks	Call	ocation		OSL	Total
Ja	iliuai y-05	CRITICAL MEASURES		%	Resale	\$	<u>"</u>	<u> </u>	%	\$	<u>Con</u>	\$	%) <u> </u>	\$
		PRE-ORDERING		76		•	%		%	•	%	, ,	76		
1	metric O	SS Interface			58%	67,593	58%	150,20	6				0%	0	217,798
·		tomer Service Record - EDI		x			x								,
		tomer Service Record - CORBA		X			X	-							
	PO-1-01 Cust	tomer Service Record - WEB GUI		X			X	-							
	PO-1-06 Facil	lity Availibility (Loop Qualification) - EDI											X	-	
	PO-1-06 Facil	lity Availibility (Loop Qualification) - WEB GUI											X	-	
	PO-2-02 OSS	Interface Availability - Prime - EDI		X		36,049	X	80,11							
	PO-2-02 OSS	Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA		X		13,519	X	30,04							
	PO-2-02 OSS	Interface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI		X		18,025	X	40,05	5						
		ORDERING						ı		1					
2	%	On Time Ordering Notification			0%	0	0%		0				0%	0	0
	OR-1-02 % O	In Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs		X		-	X	-							
	OR-1-04 % O	T LSRC/ASRC-No Facil Ck (ENo FT)-POTS		X		-	X	-							
	OR-1-04 % O	T LSRC/ASRC-No Facil Ck(ENo FT)-2Wire xDSL											X	-	
	OR-1-04 % O	T LSRC/ASRC-No Facil Ck(ENo FT)-Line Share											X	-	
		T LSRC /ASRC-Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS		X		-	X	-							
	OR-2-02 % O	In Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS		X		-	X	-							
	OR-2-04 % O	T LSR/ASR Rej. (ElecNo Flow Through)-POTS		X		-	Х	-							
		T LSR/ASR Rej. (ElecNo FT)-2 Wire xDSL											Х	-	
		T LSR/ASR Rej. (ElecNo FT)-Line Share											X	-	
		T LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS		X		-	X	-							
	OR-4-09 % S	OP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Bus. Days		x		-	X	-							
		PROVISIONING													
3	%	Completed											0%	0	0
	PR-3-03 % Co	omp.w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines-No Disp) Line Share											х	-	
		comp. w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot 2Wire xDSL											X	-	
	PR 1 24 07 8	Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL					0%							L	
4a									U						
4b		Missed Appointment			0%	0	0%		0 0%	0			17%	13,948	13,948
		lissed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials		X		-	X	-							
		lissed Appointment - VZ - Total - Trunks							X	-					
	PR-4-02 Aver	rage Delay Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL											X		
		rage Delay Days - Total - DSL Line Share											X	13,948	
	PR-4-04 % M	lissed Appointment - VZ - Total - Dispatch - POTS		х		•	V								
	PR-4-04 % M	lissed Appt VZ - Total - Dispatch - New Loops lissed Appointment- Dispatch - 2Wire xDSL					Х						х		
		lissed Appointment- Dispatch - 2Wire XDSL lissed Appt VZ - Total - No Dispatch - POTS		x									^		
		lissed Appt V2 - Total - No Dispator - POTS lissed Appt No Disp DSL Line Share		^									х		
													^	-	_
5		Missed Appt VZ - No Disp Platform					0%		0						0
6	He	ot Cut Performance					0%		0						0
	PR-9-01 % O	T - Hot Cut (adj. for missed appts. due to late LSRC)					X								
	PR-6-02 % Tr	roubles within 7 Days - Hot Cut					X								
7	PP-4-07 % C	On Time Performance - UNE LNP						!	0%	. 0					
	11.401/100	MAINTENANCE							0.0	,, ,		1	1		
8	M	lissed Repair Appts.											0%	0	
٦	MR-3-01 % M	lissed Repair Appts.											X 0%		l
		lissed Repair Appt. (Loop) - 24Ville XBSE											x		
														-	
9	M	lean Time To Repair			0%	0	0%	1	0%	0			0%	0	0
	MR-4-01 Mean	n Time To Repair - Specials		х		-	Х	-	V						
	MP-4-01 Mean	in Time To Repair - Trunks in Time To Repair - Loop - 2Wire xDSL							Х				X		
	MP-4-02 Mean	in Time To Repair - Loop - 24vire xDSL in Time To Repair - Loop - Line Share											ı î		
	MR-4-02 Mea	in Time To Repair - Loop Trouble		x			X						^	-	
	MR-4-03 Mear	n Time To Repair - Central Office		x		-	x	-							
	MR-4-08 % O	lut Of Service > 24 Hours - POTS		X		-	X	-							
10					0%		43%	110.65					0%	0	110.652
10	MR-5-01 % Re	Repeat Reports within 30 Days epeat Reports Win 30 Days - POTS		x	υ%	0	43% X	110,65 110,65					0%	0	110,652
		epeat Reports win 30 Days - POTS epeat Reports win 30 Days - Specials		×		-	×	110,65							
	MR-5-01 % Re	epeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Specials epeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL											×		
	MR-5-01 % R	epeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Total - 2Wire XDSL epeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Tot Line Share											x	_	
\vdash	2 21 70 10	NETWORK PERFORMANCE												L	
	1							1	1 000	. 0		1		1	
11		al Trunk Groups Blocked							0%	9					
	NP-1-03 Block	oked 2 months							X						
	NP-1-04 Block								X						
12		ollocation									0%	0			0
	NP-2-01/2 % Or	In Time Response to Request for Collocation									x	-			
	NP-2-05/6 % Or	In Time - Collocation									х	-			
	NP-2-07/8 Aver	rage Delay Days									х	-			
						67,593					1				l
		# of full share measures in category	Total					260,857		0		0		13,948	342,398

Verizon Virginia Backslide Report

Verizon Vi	ryiiia						backsilde Report	
Special Provi	sion - UNE Ordering						Ja	anuary-0
					% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.	
DR-1-04-3100	% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil C	k(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POT	'S		95.85	5,838	\$	-
DR-1-06-3320	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil	Ck(Electronic) - POTS			98.17	438	\$	-
DR-2-04-3320	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil (Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-PC	OTS		99.29	4,202	\$	-
P-2-06-3320	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -F	Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	3		95.90	195	\$	-
					Total Market Adj.*		\$	-
					* For allocation, any UNE Orde	ring market adjustment is combine	ed with the MOE UNE market adjustment	ent allocation.
Special Provi	sion - UNE Flow Through							
DR-5-01-3000	% Flow Through - Total - PC	OTS & Specials		OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Ach	ieved - POTS & Specials		
<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations		<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Observations</u>	-	
Jan 03	85.46	Gross # 44,600	Flow-thru 38,116	Jan 03	96.	Gross # 10 39,664	<u>Flow-thru</u>	38,11
Over		44,600		Overall				38,11
				Maniert Adirector and			•	
				Market Adjustment		gh market adjustment is combined	\$ I with the MOE UNE market adjustment	nt allocation.
Special Provis	sion - Hot Cut - Loop Per	rformance						
				% On Time Current Mo.	Observations	% On Time Prior Month	Observations	
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - H	lot Cut		95.81	477	97.44	390	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			%Troubles	417	%Troubles Prior Month		
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles with	in 7 days - Hot Cut		1.19	927	0.36	1101	
				Greater of -	Tier I (2 mo) (or Tier II (1mo)	Total	
			Market Adj	justment *	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
			* For allocation purposes,	any Hot Cut market adjustment is	s combined with the Critical mea	sure market adjustment allocation.		
Special Provi	sion - Electronic Data In	terface Measures						
						% On Time	Observations	
O-9-01	% Missing Notifier Trouble	Ticket PONS Cleared withir	n 3 Bus. Days			100.00		21
ND 2 02	9/ Posubmission Not Paint	tod				% Not Rejected	Observations	
DR-3-02	% Resubmission Not Reject	ieu				100.00		1
			Market Adj	ustment			\$	-
					% On Tim-	Observations	Market A -1:	
					% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.	

OR-4-09

% SOP to Bill Completion within 3 Business Days

Total Market Adj.* \$
* For allocation, any EDI market adjustment is allocated to all CLEC's using the EDI interface based on the number of lines in service.

24,125 \$

99.42

Verizon Virginia Backslide Report

January-03

Change Control Assurance Plan

		% On Time	Observations	Mrkt Adj.
PO-4-01	% Change Management Notices sent on Time (type 3,4,5)	100.00	20	\$ -
	* Cumlative number of delay days greater than 8 standard	Delay Days*	Observations	
PO-4-03	Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days (type 1-5)	NA	NA	\$ -
		% Test Deck Wgt. Failure	Test Deck Wgt.	
PO-6-01	% Software Validation	R3	R3	\$ -
	* Cumlative number of delay hours greater than 48 hour standard	Delay Hours*	Observations	
PO-7-04	Delay Hours - Failed/Rejected Test Deck Transactions Transactions failed, no workaround	R3		\$ -

Total Market Adjustment		\$ -
Resale allocation	18%	\$ -
UNE allocation	82%	\$ -

Verizon Virginia PAP/CCAP Market Adjustment Summary January-03 Weighted Market **Score** Adjustment MODE OF ENTRY Resale -0.219 141,820 **Unbundled Network Elements** -0.192 527,200 **Trunks** 0.000 **Digital Subscriber Lines** -0.145 **Mode of Entry Total** 669,020 CRITICAL MEASURES 1 **OSS Interface** \$ 217,798 2 % On Time Ordering Notification 3 % Completed 4a % Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL 4b **% Missed Appointment** 13,948 5 % Missed Appt. - VZ - No Disp.- Platform 6 **Hot Cut Performance** 7 % On Time Performance - UNE LNP 8 Missed Repair Appts. 9 **Mean Time To Repair** 10 % Repeat Reports within 30 Days 110,652 11 **Final Trunk Groups Blocked** 12 Collocation **Individual Rule Payment Total:** (Included in Final Monthly Report) **Critical Measure Total** 342,398 SPECIAL PROVISIONS **UNE Ordering UNE Flow Through (Quarterly) UNE Hot Cut Loop EDI Measures Special Provision Total**

Under the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

Grand Total

1,011,417

CHANGE CONTROL

	VA 271 Backslide Report				_		•	Perf.		Wgt
	Pre-Ordering	VZ CLEC		UN	Ε		Diff.	Score	Wgt.	
	Customer Service Record - EDI	0.23 2.89		_			2.66	0	15	
	Customer Service Record - CORBA	0.23 1.15					0.92	0	5	
	Customer Service Record - WEB GUI	0.23 2.54					2.31	0	5	
6020	Due Date Availability - EDI	1.16 5.12					3.96	0	5	
6030	Due Date Availability - CORBA	1.16 2.38					1.22	0	2	
6050	Due Date Availability - WEB GUI	1.16 3.90					2.74	0	2	
6020	Address Validation -EDI	4.47 6.32					1.84	0	5	
	Address Validation - CORBA	4.47 4.91					0.44	0	2	
	Address Validation - WEB GUI	4.47 5.79					1.32	0	2	
	Product and Service Availability - EDI	9.53 NA					1.02	0	0	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.53 NA						0	ő	
	Product and Service Availability - CORBA						0.44			
	Product and Service Availability - WEB GUI	9.53 12.64					3.11	0	2	
	Telephone Number Availability and Reservation - EDI	5.47 8.92					3.45	0	5	
	TN Availability and Reservation - CORBA	5.47 6.53					1.06	0	2	
6050	TN Availability and Reservation - WEB GUI	5.47 8.04					2.57	0	2	
6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	100.00						0	20	
6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	99.93						0	10	
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI	100.00						0	10	
	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering	91.84						0	10	
		87.44						0	10	
	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Repair	07.44	01					U	10	
	Ordering		Obser	vations			ı		a	
	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs	98.17		37,645				0	20	
3100	% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS	95.85		5,838				0	5	
3200	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specials	100.00		38				0	5	
3320	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS	98.17		438				0	5	
	% On Time LSRC /ASRC -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	97.74		133				0	5	
	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS	99.10		8,074				0	15	
		99.29							5	
	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS			4,202				0		
	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specials	100.00		3				0	5	
	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	95.90		195				0	5	
3200	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	96.88		32				0	5	
3000	% SOP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Business Days	99.35		18,762				0	15	
3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS & Specials	96.10		39,664	VZ Standard			0	20	
	Provisioning	VZ CLEC	VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Sampling Error	Stat Score		-	
	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines-No Disp.)-UNE-P/Other	99.03 99.81	79,795	5,143	Deviation	0.14	5.5178	0	10	
		94.48 99.35		155				0	5	
	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines-Dispatch)-UNE-P/Other		13,195			1.85	2.6432			
	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	11.01 0.82	981	122		3.00	3.3910	0	10	
	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL	28.09 7.69	235	13		12.80	1.5926	0	10	
3530	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - IOF	0.00 0.00	15	4		0.00	0.0000	0	10	
3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	4.29 2.20	2,497	50	13.23	1.89	1.1071	0	10	
3200	Average Delay Days - Total - Specials	8.59 1.00	108	1	25.78	25.90	0.2932	0	10	
3140	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform	7.42 4.82	20,755	353		1.41	1.8511	0	10	
	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - New Loop	7.42 3.74	20,755	749		0.97	3.7764	0	10	
	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - Platform	0.76 0.01	125,391	16,646		0.07	10.4623	0	20	
		1.40 0.09						0	10	
	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS		20,755	1,110		0.36	3.6148			
	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Specials	2.04 0.76	489	132		1.39	0.9269	0	10	
	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS *	0.15 0.36	20,755	1,110		0.12	-1.2831	-1	5	
3200	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Specials	0.20 0.00	489	132		0.44	0.4610	0	5	
3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS Other	1.38 0.85	262,162	19,886		0.09	6.1313	0	15	
3200	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Specials	1.80 2.55	1,778	196		1.00	-0.7508	0	15	
	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut	1.19	, ,	927				0	15	
	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut	95.81		477				0	20	
		90.01		7//			Diff.	J	J -0	
	Maintenance & Repair	500							п -	
	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	5.82 2.50					-3.32	0	5	
	Average Response Time - Modify Trouble	5.79 2.79					-3.00	0	5	
	Average Response Time - Request Cancellation of Trouble	6.82 0.42					-6.40	0	5	
2000	Average Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)	52.09 57.34					5.24	-1	5	
							Stat. Score		-	
3200	Network Trouble Report Rate - Specials	0.49 1.35	93,379	3,856		0.12	-7.4048	-2	10	
	Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop (POTS)	0.76 0.47	2,841,064	267,765		0.02	16.2813	0	10	
	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop	11.32 6.30	21,597	1,270		0.91	5.4893	0	20	
	% Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office	6.24 4.94	2,149	81		2.74	0.4739	0	5	
					E 00					
	Mean Time to Repair - Specials	5.62 5.63	462	52	5.80	0.85	-0.0118	0	20	
	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble	19.06 13.66	21,597	1,270	30.70	0.89	6.0804	0	15	
	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	8.67 8.67	2,149	81	14.12	1.60	0.0000	0	5	
3100	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS	18.71 11.74	12,505	971		1.30	5.3667	0	20	
	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials	1.52 0.00	461	45		1.91	0.7955	0	10	
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	12.71 14.05	23,746	1,359		0.93	-1.4467	-1	15	
	% Repeat Reports with 30 days - Specials	15.15 13.46	462	52		5.24	0.3223	0	15	
		10.10 10.40	402	52		5.24	0.3223	J	1 .2	
	Billing									
	% DUF in 4 Business Days	99.75						0	10	

Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

* Performance Score determined through permutation testing

Pre-Ordering	VZ CLEC		RESA	LE		Diff.	Perf. Score	Wgt.
020 Customer Service Record - EDI	0.23 2.89				Ī	2.66		15
030 Customer Service Record - CORBA	0.23 1.15					0.92	0	5
050 Customer Service Record - WEB GUI	0.23 2.54				l l	2.31	0	5
020 Due Date Availability - EDI	1.16 5.12				l l	3.96	0	5
030 Due Date Availability - CORBA	1.16 2.38					1.22	0	2
					-			
Due Date Availability - WEB GUI	1.16 3.90				-	2.74		2
020 Address Validation -EDI	4.47 6.32				ļ.	1.84	0	5
030 Address Validation - CORBA	4.47 4.91				_	0.44	0	2
Address Validation - WEB GUI	4.47 5.79				L	1.32	0	2
020 Product and Service Availability - EDI	9.53 NA				L		0	0
030 Product and Service Availability - CORBA	9.53 NA						0	0
050 Product and Service Availability - WEB GUI	9.53 12.64					3.11	0	2
O20 Telephone Number Availability and Reservation - EDI	5.47 8.92					3.45	0	5
TN Availability and Reservation - CORBA	5.47 6.53					1.06	0	2
050 TN Availability and Reservation - WEB GUI	5.47 8.04					2.57	0	2
020 OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	100.00				_		0	20
030 OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	99.93						0	10
080 OSS Interface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI	100.00						0	10
000 % Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering	91.84	1					0	10
000 % Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordening	87.44	1					0	10
Ordering	07.44	I Observa	tione					, . · ·
	07.47	J CUSEIVA					0	20
% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs	97.47	Į	9,050				0	20
% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS	97.35	Į	1,282				0	5
200 % OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Special		Į <u> </u>	7				0	5
% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS	100.00		73				0	5
200 % On Time LSRC /ASRC -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	100.00		6				0	5
320 % On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS	98.88		1,704				0	15
320 % OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-POTS	99.81		521				0	5
200 % OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Through)-Specia	ls 50.00		6				-2	5
320 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	100.00		50				0	5
200 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) - Specials	100.00		6				0	5
000 % SOP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Business Days	99.66	1	5,363				0	15
000 % Flow Through - Achieved - POTS & Specials	97.31		9.304	VZ Standard			0	20
Provisioning	VZ CLEC	J VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Sampling Error	01-1 0		1 20 1
100 % Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines - No Dispatch) - POTS	99.03 99.69	79,795	1,937		0.23	Stat. Score 2.9431	0	10
	94.48 99.35	13,195	1,937		0.23	6.7103	0	5
% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	11.01 0.00	981	11	40.00	9.49	1.1600	0	10
Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	4.29 1.66	2,497	47	13.23	1.95	1.3515	0	10
200 Average Delay Days - Total - Specials	8.59 NA	108		25.78		NA	0	0
100 % Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS	7.42 3.30	20,755	1,332		0.74	5.5563	0	10
100 % Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	0.76 0.10	125,391	2,924		0.16	4.0606	0	20
100 % Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS	1.40 0.68	20,755	1,332		0.33	2.1764	0	10
200 % Missed Appointment - Facilities - Specials	2.04 0.00	489	2		10.03	0.2039	0	10
100 % Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS	0.15 0.00	20,755	1,332		0.11	1.3667	0	5
200 % Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Specials	0.20 0.00	489	2		3.20	0.0638	0	5
100 % Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS	1.38 3.03	262,162	4,090		0.18	-8.9748		15
% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Specials	1.80 0.00	1,778	19		3.07	0.5870		15
Maintenance & Repair	2.00	.,	.0			Diff.		1
000 Average Response Time - Create Trouble	5.82 2.50	1			П	-3.32	0	5
000 Average Response Time - Greate Trouble Average Response Time - Modify Trouble	5.79 2.79	1			H	-3.00	0	5
,		1			ŀ			5 5
		1			ŀ	-6.40		5
000 Average Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)	52.09 57.34	J			L	5.24 Stat. Score	-1	l o
200 Network Trouble Report Rate - Specials	0.49 0.46	93,379	1 047		0.40	0.2023	0	140
the state of the s			1,947		0.16			10
Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop (POTS)	0.76 0.33	2,841,064	106,892		0.03	15.8526		10
100 % Missed Repair Appointments - Loop	11.32 9.89	21,597	354		1.70	0.8446		20
100 % Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office	6.24 0.00	2,149	12		7.00	0.8908		5
200 Mean Time to Repair - Specials	5.62 4.59	462	9	5.80	1.95	0.5276		20
100 Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble	19.06 14.35	21,597	354	30.70		2.8626		15
100 Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	8.67 5.60	2,149	12	14.12	4.09	0.7509	0	5
100 % Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS	18.71 14.24	12,505	288		2.32	1.9260	0	20
200 % Out of Service > 24 Hours - Specials	1.52 0.00	461	7		4.66	0.3262		10
100 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	12.71 10.38	23,746	366		1.75	1.3264	0	15
200 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Specials	15.15 22.22	462	9		12.07	-0.5859		15
Billing		.32	<u> </u>			2.0000		
								1
	00.75	1						
30 % DUF in 4 Business Days "NA" - no activity "UD" - under development	99.75]				Totals	-5	10 524

Verizon '	VA 271 Backslide Report								uary-03		
	Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC		D	SL		Diff.	Perf. Score	Wgt.	Wgtd. Score
PO-1-06-6020	Facility Available/Loop Qualification - EDI	15.61	5.55		D.	JL		-10.06	0	5	0.000
PO-1-06-6050	Facility Available/Loop Qualification - WEBGUI	15.61	4.65				•	-10.95	0	5	0.000
PO-8-01-2000	% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification		88.78						-2	5	-0.029
PO-8-02-2000	% On Time - Engineering Record Request		NA	Observa	ations				0	0	0.000
<u>OR</u>	Ordering				CLEC		•				
OR-1-04-3341	% On Time LSRC /ASRC- No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT)-2 Wire Digital		100.00		23				0	2	0.000
OR-1-04-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC- NoFacil Ck(E-No FT)-2Wire xDSL		98.65		74				0	10	0.000
OR-1-04-3340	% On Time LSRC/ASRC- NoFacil Ck(E-No FT)-Line Share		100.00		54				0	10	0.000
OR-1-06-3341	% On Time LSRC /ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -2Wire Digital		NA						0	0	0.000
OR-1-06-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -2Wire xDSL		NA						0	0	0.000
	% On Time LSRC/ASRC- Facility Check(Electronic) -Line Share		NA						0	0	0.000
OR-2-04-3341	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E No FT) -2Wire Digital		100.00		5				0	2	0.000
OR-2-04-3342	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E- No FT)-2Wire xDSL		100.00		23				0	10	0.000
	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facil Ck(E- No FT)- Line Share		100.00		16				0	10	0.000
	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)-2Wire Digital		NA						0	0	0.000
	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)-2Wire xDSL		NA			VZ			0	0	0.000
OR-2-06-3340	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check(Electronic)- Line Share		NA			Standard	Sampling		0	0	0.000
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning		l l		L J	Deviation		Stat. Score		,	
PR-3-03-3343	% Comp. w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines No Disp.)- Ln. Share		95.60		250				0	4.	0.000
	% Comp. w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines No Disp.)-Ln. Share	79.58	95.60	6,494	250		2.60	6.1657	0	10	0.000
PR-3-10-3342	% Comp. w/in 6 Days(1-5 lines) Tot 2Wire xDSL		96.48		199				0	10	0.000
PR-4-02-3341	Average Delay Days - Total - 2Wire Digital	5.15	7.00	143	4	10.79	5.47	-0.3374	0	2	0.000
PR-4-02-3342	Average Delay Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL	10.01	1.50	75	14	30.66	8.93	0.9538	0	10	0.000
PR-4-02-3343	Average Delay Days - Total - Line Share*	1.43	6.75	185	8	1.37	0.49	-2.7860	-2	10	-0.058
	% Missed Appointment - Dispatch - 2Wire Digital	16.84	2.90	671	69		4.73	2.9469	0	2	0.000
PR-4-04-3342	% Missed Appointment- Dispatch - 2 Wire xDSL		2.85		281				0	20	0.000
	% Missed Appointment - Dispatch - DSL Line Share	3.45	1.32	1,448	76		2.15	0.9950	0	5	0.000
	% Missed Appt No Disp Line Share	1.40	0.86	7,812	347		0.64	0.8252	0	20	0.000
	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - 2Wire Digital	5.21	3.80	25,431	79		2.50	0.5641	0	2	0.000
	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - 2Wire xDSL	5.21	4.19	25,431	334		1.22	0.8322	0	10	0.000
	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days - Line Share	1.27	3.50	9,323	428		0.55	-4.0516	-2	10	-0.058
MR	Maintenance & Repair			,						,	
	Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - 2Wire Digital	0.75	0.32	#######	5,248		0.12	3.5909	0	2	0.000
	Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - 2Wire xDSL	0.75	0.29	#######	23.583		0.06	8.1428	0	5	0.000
	Network Trouble Report Rate - Loop - Line Share	0.24	0.28	50,033	4,299		0.08	-0.4777	0	5	0.000
	Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - 2Wire Digital	0.08	0.06	#######	5,248		0.04	0.5539	0	2	0.000
	Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - 2Wire xDSL	0.08	0.03	#######	23.583		0.02	2.9016	0	5	0.000
MR-2-03-3343	Network Trouble Report Rate - CO - Line Share**	0.07	0.12	50,033	4,299		0.04	-1.2339	0	5	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt Loop - 2Wire Digital	11.46	5.88	21,747	17		7.73	0.7221	0	2	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt Loop - 2Wire xDSL	11.46	6.25	21,747	80		3.57	1.4611	0	20	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt Loop - Line Share	43.02	4.76	179	21		11.42	3.3498	0	20	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt CO - 2Wire Digital	7.13	0.00	2,271	3		14.87	0.4797	0	2	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt CO - 2Wire xDSL	7.13	11.11	2,271	9		8.60	-0.4628	0	10	0.000
	% Missed Repair Appt CO - Line Share	26.88	36.36	93	11		14.14	-0.6708	0	10	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - Loop - 2Wire Digital	19.07	15.66	21,747	17	30.67	7.44	0.4580	0	2	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - Loop - 2Wire xDSL	19.07	11.50	21,747	80	30.67	3.44	2.2034	0	20	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - Loop - Line Share	28.28	19.02	179	21	23.33	5.38	1.7197	0	20	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - CO - 2Wire Digital	8.96	2.29	2.271	3	14.74	8.52	0.7831	0	2	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - CO - 2Wire xDSL	8.96	11.41	2,271	9	14.74	4.92	-0.4982	0	10	0.000
	Mean Time To Repair - CO - Line Share	27.37	12.59	93	11	24.02	7.66	1.9304	0	10	0.000
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - 2Wire Digital	12.74	15.00	24,018	20	24.02	7.46	-0.3030	0	2	0.000
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - 2Wire xDSL	12.74	7.87	24,018	89		3.54	1.3768	0	10	0.000
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Zwire xDSL % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days - Line Share	39.71	31.25	24,016	32		9.14	0.9247	0	10	0.000
WIIN-0-01-0043	10 Nepeat Reports Willi 30 Days - Line Share	JJ./ I	31.23	212	32		9.14	0.9247	U	10	0.000

-0.145

"NA" - no activity Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

* Performance Score determined through permutation testing

** An absolute difference in performance of <0.1% results in a performance score of 0.

"UD" - under development

12

Verizon Virginia 271 Backslide Report

INTERCONNECTION (TRUNKS)

OR	Ordering		CLEC		Obs.				Perf. Score	Wgt.	Wgtd. Score
OR-1-12-5020	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations		#####		5				0	15	0.000
OR-1-13-5020	% On Time Design Layout Record		#####		45				0	10	0.000
OR-2-12-5000	% On TimeTrunk ASR Reject		#####		3				0	10	0.000
		_			rvation	VZ	Samplin	·-			
	Provisioning	VZ			s CLEC	Standard	g Error	Stat.			
<u>PR</u>						Deviation		Score		1 1	
	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total	0.04		5,357	#####		0.03	1.1449	0	20	0.000
PR-4-02-5000	Average Delay Days - Total		NA						0	0	0.000
PR-4-07-3540	% On Time Performance - LNP only		98.50		1,465				0	20	0.000
PR-5-01-5000	% Missed Appointment - Facilities	0.00	0.00	5,357	6,080		0.00	0.0000	0	10	0.000
PR-5-02-5000	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 Days	0.00	0.00	5,357	6,080		0.00	0.0000	0	10	0.000
PR-6-01-5000	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	0.02	0.00	5,357	#####		0.02	0.8132	0	15	0.000
<u>MR</u>	Maintenance & Repair										
MR-4-01-5000	Mean Time to Repair - Total	1.85	1.02	17	27	2.71	0.84	0.9892	0	20	0.000
MR-5-01-5000	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days	5.88	3.70	17	27		7.28	0.2993	0	10	0.000
<u>NP</u>	Network Performance									-	
NP-1-03-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months		0						0	20	0.000
NP-1-04-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months		0						0	20	0.000
								Totals	0	160	0.000
								,	-	•	
	•							Totals	0	160	

Collocation Performance Report for Critical Measure

<u>NP</u>	Network Performance	CLEC	Obs.	Wgt.
NP-2-01-6701	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New	NA		0
NP-2-01-6702	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - Augment	#####	3	10
NP-2-02-6701	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - New	NA		0
NP-2-02-6702	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - Augment	NA		0
NP-2-05-6701	% On Time - Physical Location -New	NA		0
NP-2-05-6702	% On Time - Physical Location -Augment	#####	18	20
NP-2-06-6701	% On Time - Virtual Location - New	NA		0
NP-2-06-6702	% On Time - Virtual Location - Augment	NA		0
NP-2-07-6701	Average Delay Days - Physical - New	NA		0
NP-2-07-6702	Average Delay Days - Physical -Augment	NA		20
NP-2-08-6701	Average Delay Days - Virtual - New	NA		0
NP-2-08-6702	Average Delay Days - Virtual - Augment	NA		0

"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development

Under the provisions of the Plan, the -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

.Jan	uary-03	Verizon Virginia		Resale			INE		Trunks	Colle	cation	-	SL	Total
Jai	uai y-03	CRITICAL MEASURES	%	Resale	s	<u>.</u>	<u>INE</u> \$	%	\$	<u>COIIC</u>	s	% <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>10tai</u> \$
		PRE-ORDERING	%		•	%	•	%	•	%	•	%	•	•
1	metric OSS I	nterface	1	0%	0	0%	0					0%	0	0
•		Service Record - EDI	x	0,0		X						0,0	Ů	-
	PO-1-01 Customer	Service Record - CORBA	x		-	X	-							
		Service Record - WEB GUI	X			X								
	PO-1-06 Facility A	vailibility (Loop Qualification) - EDI										X	-	
	PO-1-06 Facility A	vailibility (Loop Qualification) - WEB GUI rface Availability - Prime - EDI	~									×		
	PO-2-02 USS Inte	rface Availability - Prime - EDI rface Availability - Prime - CORBA	X X		-	X X								
	PO-2-02 OSS Inte	rface Availability - Prime - WEB GUI	x			x	-							
		ORDERING				- "								
2	% On	Time Ordering Notification		0%	0	0%	0					0%	0	n
-		ne LSRC - Flow Through - POTS - 2hrs	x	0,0		×						0,0	Ů	•
	OR-1-04 % OT LS	RC/ASRC-No Facil Ck (ENo FT)-POTS	x			X								
	OR-1-04 % OT LS	RC/ASRC-No Facil Ck(ENo FT)-2Wire xDSL										X		
	OR-1-04 % OT LS	RC/ASRC-No Facil Ck(ENo FT)-Line Share										X	-	
	OR-1-06 % OT LS	RC /ASRC-Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	X			X	-							
	OR-2-02 % On Tin	ne LSR Reject - Flow Through - POTS	X		-	X	-							
	OR-2-04 % OT LS	R/ASR Rej. (ElecNo Flow Through)-POTS R/ASR Rej. (ElecNo FT)-2 Wire xDSL	Х			X						X		
		R/ASR Rej. (ElecNo FT)-2 Wire XDSL R/ASR Rej. (ElecNo FT)-Line Share										X		
	OR-2-06 % OT LS	R/ASR Reject -Facil Ck(Electronic) - POTS	x			x						ı ^	-	
		Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Bus. Days	x		-	x	-							
		PROVISIONING				1								
3	% Co	mpleted										0%	0	0
-		w/in 3 Days(1-5 lines-No Disp) Line Share										X	. "	
	PR-3-10 % Comp.	w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot 2Wire xDSL										×	-	
4a	DD 4 04 9/ Mino	ed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL				0%								
4a 4b				0%		0%		0%	0			17%	10.010	13.948
4D		ssed Appointment Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	x	0%	0	× 0%	U	0%	U			17%	13,948	13,940
		Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials Appointment - VZ - Total - Trunks	^			^		X						
		Delay Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL						^				X		
	PR-4-02 Average I	Delay Days - Total - DSL Line Share										X	13,948	
	PR-4-04 % Missed	Appointment - VZ - Total - Dispatch - POTS	X											
	PR-4-04 % Missed	Appt VZ - Total - Dispatch - New Loops				X								
	PR-4-04 % Missed	Appointment- Dispatch - 2Wire xDSL										X		
	PR-4-05 % Missed	Appt VZ - Total - No Dispatch - POTS	X											
		Appt No Disp DSL Line Share										Х	-	
5		ed Appt VZ - No Disp Platform				0%	0							0
6		ut Performance				0%	0							0
	PR-9-01 % OT - H	ot Cut (adj. for missed appts. due to late LSRC)				X								
	PR-6-02 % Trouble	es within 7 Days - Hot Cut				X								
7	PR-4-07 % On T	ime Performance - UNE LNP						0%	0					0
		MAINTENANCE												
8		ed Repair Appts.										0%	0	0
	MR-3-01 % Missed	Repair Appt. (Loop) - 2Wire xDSL										X	-	
		Repair Appt. (Loop) - DSL Line Share										Х	-	
9		Time To Repair	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0			0%	0	0
	MR-4-01 Mean Tin	ne To Repair - Specials	X			X		X						
	MR-4-01 Mean Tin	ne To Repair - Trunks ne To Repair - Loop - 2Wire xDSL						Х				v		
	MR-4-02 Mean Tim	ne To Repair - Loop - Line Share										â	-	
	MR-4-02 Mean Tim	ne To Repair - Loop Trouble	X			X								
	MR-4-03 Mean Tin	ne To Repair - Central Office	X		-	X	-							
		Service > 24 Hours - POTS	X		-	x	-							
10	% Re	peat Reports within 30 Days		0%	0	43%	110,652					0%	0	110,652
	MR-5-01 % Repea	Reports w/in 30 Days - POTS	х		-	X	110,652							
	MR-5-01 % Repea	t Reports w/in 30 Days - Specials	X			X								
	MR-5-01 % Repea	t Reports w/in 30 Days - Total - 2Wire xDSL t Reports w/in 30 Days - Tot Line Share										X X	-	
	ик-о-и г % Кереа											^	-	
		NETWORK PERFORMANCE							ol					
11	Final T	runk Groups Blocked						0%	0					0
1	NP-1-03 Blocked 2 NP-1-04 Blocked 3							X X	-					
								^						
		cation								0%	0			0
12										X	-			
12	NP-2-01/2 % On Tim	ne Response to Request for Collocation								Y	_			
12	NP-2-01/2 % On Tir NP-2-05/6 % On Tir	ne - Collocation								X				
12	NP-2-01/2 % On Tim	ne - Collocation								X X	-			

Verizon Virginia Backslide Report

Verizon Vi	ryiiia						backsilde Report	
Special Provi	sion - UNE Ordering						Ja	anuary-0
					% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.	
DR-1-04-3100	% OT LSRC/ASRC -No Facil C	k(ElecNo Flow Thru)-POT	'S		95.85	5,838	\$	-
DR-1-06-3320	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facil	Ck(Electronic) - POTS			98.17	438	\$	-
DR-2-04-3320	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facil (Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru)-PC	OTS		99.29	4,202	\$	-
P-2-06-3320	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject -F	Facil Ck (Electronic) - POTS	3		95.90	195	\$	-
					Total Market Adj.*		\$	-
					* For allocation, any UNE Orde	ring market adjustment is combine	ed with the MOE UNE market adjustment	ent allocation.
Special Provi	sion - UNE Flow Through							
DR-5-01-3000	% Flow Through - Total - PC	OTS & Specials		OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Ach	ieved - POTS & Specials		
<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations		<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Observations</u>	-	
Jan 03	85.46	Gross # 44,600	Flow-thru 38,116	Jan 03	96.	Gross # 10 39,664	<u>Flow-thru</u>	38,11
Over		44,600		Overall				38,11
				Maniert Adirector and			•	
				Market Adjustment		gh market adjustment is combined	\$ I with the MOE UNE market adjustment	nt allocation.
Special Provis	sion - Hot Cut - Loop Per	rformance						
				% On Time Current Mo.	Observations	% On Time Prior Month	Observations	
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - H	lot Cut		95.81	477	97.44	390	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			%Troubles	417	%Troubles Prior Month		
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles with	in 7 days - Hot Cut		1.19	927	0.36	1101	
				Greater of -	Tier I (2 mo) (or Tier II (1mo)	Total	
			Market Adj	justment *	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
			* For allocation purposes,	any Hot Cut market adjustment is	s combined with the Critical mea	sure market adjustment allocation.		
Special Provi	sion - Electronic Data In	terface Measures						
						% On Time	Observations	
O-9-01	% Missing Notifier Trouble	Ticket PONS Cleared withir	n 3 Bus. Days			100.00		21
NP 2 02	9/ Posubmission Not Paint	tod				% Not Rejected	Observations	
DR-3-02	% Resubmission Not Reject	ieu				100.00		1
			Market Adj	ustment			\$	-
					% On Tim-	Observations	Market A -1:	
					% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.	

OR-4-09

% SOP to Bill Completion within 3 Business Days

Total Market Adj.* \$
* For allocation, any EDI market adjustment is allocated to all CLEC's using the EDI interface based on the number of lines in service.

24,125 \$

99.42

Verizon Virginia Backslide Report

January-03

Change Control Assurance Plan

		% On Time	Observations	Mrkt Adj.
PO-4-01	% Change Management Notices sent on Time (type 3,4,5)	100.00	20	\$ -
	* Cumlative number of delay days greater than 8 standard	Delay Days*	Observations	
PO-4-03	Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days (type 1-5)	NA	NA	\$ -
		% Test Deck Wgt. Failure	Test Deck Wgt.	
PO-6-01	% Software Validation	R3	R3	\$ -
	* Cumlative number of delay hours greater than 48 hour standard	Delay Hours*	Observations	
PO-7-04	Delay Hours - Failed/Rejected Test Deck Transactions Transactions failed, no workaround	R3		\$ -

Total Market Adjustment		\$ -
Resale allocation	18%	\$ -
UNE allocation	82%	\$ -

Verizon Virginia PAP/CCAP Market Adjustment Summary January-03 Weighted Market **Score** Adjustment MODE OF ENTRY Resale -0.075 \$ **Unbundled Network Elements** -0.086 **Trunks** 0.000 **Digital Subscriber Lines** -0.145 **Mode of Entry Total** CRITICAL MEASURES 1 **OSS Interface** \$ 2 % On Time Ordering Notification 3 % Completed 4a % Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL 4b **% Missed Appointment** 13,948 5 % Missed Appt. - VZ - No Disp.- Platform 6 **Hot Cut Performance** 7 % On Time Performance - UNE LNP 8 Missed Repair Appts. 9 **Mean Time To Repair** 10 % Repeat Reports within 30 Days 110,652 11 **Final Trunk Groups Blocked** 12 Collocation **Individual Rule Payment Total:** (Included in Final Monthly Report) **Critical Measure Total** 124,599 **SPECIAL PROVISIONS UNE Ordering UNE Flow Through (Quarterly) UNE Hot Cut Loop EDI Measures Special Provision Total** CHANGE CONTROL

Under the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

Grand Total

124,599