Mr. Joel H. Peck, Clerk State Corporation Commission Document Control Center Post Office Box 2118 Richmond, Virginia 23216

Re: Docket No. PUC-2001-00226

Revisions to the Verizon Virginia Inc. Performance Assurance Plan

Dear Mr. Peck:

In accordance with the "Performance Assurance Plan Verizon Virginia Inc." ("VA PAP"), Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon VA") hereby gives notice to the Virginia State Corporation Commission ("Commission") that on January 24, 2003 the New York State Public Service Commission adopted an order approving revisions to the "Performance Assurance Plan Verizon New York Inc." ("NY PAP"). Petition Filed by Bell Atlantic-New York for Approval of a Performance Assurance Plan and Change Control Assurance Plan, filed in C 97-C-0271, Order Amending Performance Assurance Plan, NY PSC Case 99-C-0949 (1/24/03). On February 3, 2003, Verizon NY submitted to the New York PSC a revised NY PAP containing the New York PSC ordered revisions. On February 11, 2003, Verizon NY submitted to the New York PSC a few minor corrections to these revisions. A copy of the New York PSC's order and related documents can be found at http://www.dps.state.ny.us/Case\_99C0949.htm (for the order, select PSC File Room).

Attached is a draft of a revised VA PAP that incorporates the NY PAP revisions into the VA PAP. The draft also makes changes to the VA PAP in connection with identifying the effective date of the revisions, removes the Flow-Through "ramp-up" footnotes because the "ramp-up" period has expired, and makes a small number of corrections to the document, such as correcting spacing and formatting errors, conforming the titles of metrics to the titles in the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports," and correcting the statement of the standards for Metrics PR-4-04 and PR-6-02 in Appendix C.

For ease of review, both a red-line version and a plain text version of the draft revised VA PAP are being provided. Because Verizon VA has had only a short interval since the revised NY PAP was submitted to the New York PSC to review the revisions to the NY PAP and prepare the draft revised VA PAP, Verizon VA reserves the right to submit changes to the draft revised VA PAP to address any points it may have overlooked in preparing the draft revised VA PAP.

Verizon VA hereby requests that the Commission establish a comment-reply comment cycle that will allow Verizon VA and other interested parties to comment on whether the draft revisions should be incorporated into the VA PAP and to comment on a proposed implementation date for any revisions that may be incorporated into the VA PAP.

Verizon VA notes that the Commission's Staff has scheduled a Collaborative session on February 20, 2003 to review the draft revisions to the VA PAP. Verizon VA recommends that comments not be due until at least a week after that session, in order to allow interested parties to incorporate into their comments the results of the review.

If you have any questions about this matter, please call me.

Very truly yours,

Copy to: William Irby (letter only) Kathleen Cummings Service List

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 13th day of February, 2003, a copy of Revisions to Verizon Virginia Inc.'s Performance Assurance Plan in Case No. PUC-2001-00226 was sent as stated below:

Don R. Mueller, Esquire State Corporation Commission Office of the General Counsel Post Office Box 1197 Richmond, Virginia 23218 (Hand-delivered)

C. Meade Browder, Esquire Office of Attorney General 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor 900 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (U.S. Mail)

Performance Standards/Remedy Plans Subcommittee of the Collaborative Committee (E-Mail)

# PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.

[Insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect (First day of the second calendar month after the month in which the Commission approves the revised VA PAP)]

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		PAGE
I.	INT	RODUCTION1
	<b>A.</b>	The Virginia PAP1
		1. Measures and Standards1
		2. Methodology2
		3. Dollars at Risk4
		4. Accurate Reporting of Data6
II.	PRO	VISIONS OF THE PLAN1
	<b>A.</b>	Measures, Methods of Analysis and Standards1
		1. Measures1
		2. Methods of Analysis1
		3. Standards
	В.	Distribution Of The MOE and Critical Measures Credits
		1. Distribution of Bill Credits3
		2. Reallocation of Potential Bill Credits3
	C.	MOE Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations4
		1. Scoring4
		2. Bill Credit Calculations5
		3. The Domain Clustering Rule7
	D.	Critical Measures Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations8
		1. Scoring8
		2. Bill Credit Calculations8
	F	Spacial Provisions 0

	1. Flow Through Measures For UNEs10
	2. UNE Ordering Performance10
	3. Additional Hot Cut Performance Measures11
F.	The Change Control Assurance Plan12
G.	Monthly Reports12
Н.	Bill Credits Payment14
I.	Term Of Performance Assurance Plan15
J.	Exceptions and Waiver Process15
К.	Annual Review, Updates And Audits18
	1. Annual Review And Updates18
	2. Changes to the New York Plan18
	3. Other Changes to the Virginia PAP26
	4. Annual Audit19
	APPENDICES TO PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN
APPENDIX A	– MODE OF ENTRY – MEASURES, WEIGHTS, ANNUAL AND MONTHLY DOLLARS AT RISK AND MOE BILL CREDIT TABLES
APPENDIX I	- CRITICAL MEASURES - MEASURES AND MONTHLY DOLLARS AT RISK
APPENDIX (	- PERFORMANCE SCORING FOR MEASURES WITH ABSOLUTE STANDARDS
APPENDIX I	- STATISTICAL METHODOLOGIES AND EXCEPTIONS PROCESS
APPENDIX I	– MODE OF ENTRY PERFORMANCE SCORING AND BILL CREDIT CALCULATION
APPENDIX I	- CRITICAL MEASURES PERFORMANCE SCORING AND BILL CREDIT CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX (	- SAMPLE MONTHLY REPORT
APPENDIX I	- SPECIAL PROVISIONS

APPENDIX I – CHANGE CONTROL ASSURANCE PLAN (CCAP)	

#### PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN

INTRODUCTION

The Virginia Performance Assurance Plan ("Virginia PAP") is a self-executing remedy plan that will ensure Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon VA") provides quality wholesale services to competitive carriers after Verizon VA has gained entry into the long distance market pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Virginia PAP is in compliance with orders issued by the Virginia State Corporation Commission ("Commission"). The Change Control Assurance Plan ("CCAP") contained in Appendix I is also in compliance with these orders.

# A. The Virginia PAP

The Virginia PAP has three major components: (1) the metrics used to report performance; (2) the methodology used to determine billing credits, including service segmentation, scoring method, and other rules described in the plan document; and (3) the dollars at risk. Each of these components is summarized below and is discussed in more detail in the following sections and Appendices.

#### 1. Measures and Standards

The Commission has adopted the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports" ("C2C") for evaluating Verizon VA's wholesale performance. The C2C measures include hundreds of individual data points that track and report on performance. Some metrics are compared with analogous Verizon retail services to ensure parity of service and others, where no retail analog exists, are reviewed on the basis of absolute standards. As in New York, where the C2C measures and standards were incorporated into the PAP, the Virginia PAP incorporates the same C2C measures and standards.

#### 2. Methodology

#### (a) Service Segmentation

The Virginia PAP includes three service segmentations: Mode of Entry ("MOE"), Critical Measures, and Special Provisions.

The MOE segment measures the overall level of service on an industry-wide basis for each method or mode by which carriers can enter the local exchange market under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, *i.e.* Resale, Unbundled Network Elements – Platform ("UNE-Platform"), Unbundled Network Elements – Loop ("UNE-Loop"), Interconnection ("Trunks") and Digital Subscriber Line ("DSL"). Any bill credits generated in any one of these modes are allocated to competitors purchasing those types of services. The MOE component of the Virginia PAP is fully described in Section II.C. and in Appendices A and E.

The Critical Measures component measures performance in critical areas that have been identified as most important to the provision of quality service. These measures are a subset of the measures included in the MOE segment measurements for Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Trunks and DSL, and include additional measures for Collocation, Specials, and Resolution Process. Additional bill credits will be provided for performance on these measures that fail to meet the standards. This segment provides a mechanism to assure that carriers are receiving non-discriminatory service on an individual basis. The complete list of Critical Measures is enumerated in Appendix B and scoring/credit calculations are in Appendix F.

The Special Provisions segment focuses on a number of measures that are viewed as measuring key aspects of Verizon VA's performance. This segment establishes targets that Verizon VA must achieve for flow-through, order processing, hot-cuts, Local Service Request confirmations, and reject notices. Verizon VA will provide bill credits to those carriers who

received service below target levels. The Special Provisions measures are described in Section II.E. and Appendix H.

#### (b) Change Control Assurance

Verizon is also subject to a separate Change Control Assurance Plan ("CCAP"). Change Control is designed to measure Verizon's performance in implementing revisions to OSS interfaces and business rules that affect CLECs. The Change Control process is common to carriers operating in Virginia and New York. Under the Change Control Assurance Plan, \$7.03 million in bill credits will be available to all CLECs in Virginia for unsatisfactory performance on four Change Control metrics. Change Control credits are described in Section II. B.2.

#### (c) Statistical Test

The Virginia PAP uses statistical methodologies as one means to determine if "parity" exists between Verizon VA's wholesale and retail performance. The statistical methodology is described in Appendix D.

#### (d) Scoring

Each of the measures within the MOE segment is graded with a 0, -1, or -2 based on the statistical analysis and the magnitude of its Z-statistic for the month. The performance score for each metric is then weighted. These weights were developed to reflect the importance of that metric in determining that markets are open to competition. Critical Measures performance is scored against sliding scales based on the statistical score and the magnitude of the difference between wholesale service and the applicable standards. Special Provisions are scored against absolute standards of performance. Each of the scoring, weighting, and credit distribution processes is contained in the Appendices.

#### (e) Self-executing aspects

Verizon VA will report its performance on the Virginia PAP on a monthly basis. Within 30 days of the close of the second month after the month in which performance is being reviewed, PAP credits will be processed for each CLEC.

As used in this paragraph and Footnote 1, the term "Agreement" means and includes an agreement under 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252, any other agreement for interconnection, network elements, or services, and an amendment to any of the foregoing agreements. With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, if the Virginia PAP and the Agreement both grant a carrier bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, Verizon VA shall receive a credit against the amount due to the carrier under the Virginia PAP as a result of Verizon VA's acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to the carrier under the Agreement as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to

The Virginia PAP first went into effect October 1, 2002. This revised version of the Virginia PAP dated [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect] will go into effect [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect].

#### 3. Dollars at Risk

\_

With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, the Commission has elected not to address at the time the Virginia PAP is initially being adopted, the questions of whether such an Agreement should include provisions that grant the CLEC service quality, warranty or performance related bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA, and, if such provisions are to be included, what the provisions should be. These questions may be raised by Verizon VA or CLECs at a later time in the Commission's Virginia PAP proceeding. These questions may also be raised by Verizon VA or CLECs in the arbitration of Agreements, or in other appropriate proceedings.

The structure of the Virginia PAP includes three credit categories: Mode of Entry, Critical Measures, and Special Provisions. Each category has a Virginia-specific credit schedule and cap which are presented in greater detail in the Appendices. The Virginia PAP contains a maximum dollar amount at risk. The total cap for Verizon VA is \$205.96 million which is made up of a Virginia PAP cap of \$198.93 million and a CCAP cap of \$7.03 million. The distribution of dollars is as follows:

	<b>Dollars at Risk (millions)</b>
Mode of Entry	\$52.72
Doubling of MOE	\$52.72
Critical Measures	\$69.59
Special Provisions	
Flow Through	\$7.03
Hot Cut Performance	\$16.87
PAP Total	\$198.93
CCAP	\$7.03
Verizon Total	\$205.96

Conditions for doubling of the MOE dollars at risk are explained fully in Section II.C.2. In addition, there is an additional category for Special Provisions associated with ordering that provides for an additional \$16.87 million, paid from the MOE dollars at risk, if Verizon VA does not meet service standards and has not reached the cap level for MOE. If Verizon VA's performance results in payments that reach the overall monetary cap, the Commission, at its discretion, may open a proceeding to resolve the underlying service problem. The Commission retains the discretion to investigate extraordinary wholesale service performance issues and to take appropriate corrective action.

# 4. Accurate Reporting of Data

The validation of Verizon VA's performance reporting was included as part of the independent, third-party OSS testing conducted by KPMG. Going forward, the Virginia PAP reporting of results will be subject to an annual audit. The first audit will begin 6 months after long distance entry.

#### A. Measures, Methods of Analysis and Standards

#### 1. Measures

The measures and standards in the Virginia PAP have been taken directly from the current version of the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports (the "Guidelines"), which were initially developed in Commission Case No. PUC-2001-00206 and cover the areas of Pre-order, Ordering, Provisioning, Maintenance and Repair, Billing and Network Performance" The Commission has adopted the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports" for evaluating Verizon VA's compliance with the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The measures and standards in the Guidelines have been revised by the Commission since their initial adoption, and it is expected that further revisions will be adopted to reflect the needs of the competitive marketplace.2. Methods of Analysis

Verizon VA will use two interrelated methods to monitor wholesale performance to CLECs on the performance measurements. The first method is designed to measure Verizon VA's overall Section 271 performance in five categories that correspond to the methods or modes CLECs use to enter the local exchange market: Resale; UNE-Platform; UNE-Loop; Trunks; and DSL. This is referred to as the Mode of Entry ("MOE") Measurements method, and a total of \$52.72 million in annual bill credits, with potential for doubling per the provisions in Section II.C.2, will be available to CLECs if Verizon VA provides the maximum allowable unsatisfactory performance in all five MOE categories. (*See* Appendix A.) The MOE measurements provide a mechanism to measure the overall level of Verizon VA's service to the entire CLEC industry in the five areas.

The second method, referred to as the Critical Measures measurements, measures Verizon VA's performance in critical areas, on both a CLEC-specific and a CLEC-aggregate basis. The Critical Measures are also grouped by the five categories used in MOE and, in addition, include measures for Specials, Collocation and the Resolution Process.<sup>2</sup> These measures are a subset of the measures included in the MOE segment measurements for Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Trunks and DSL, and include additional measures for Collocation, Specials and Resolution Process. A total of \$69.59 million in annual bill credits will be available to CLECs if Verizon VA provides the maximum allowable out of parity performance on all Critical Measures. (See Appendix B.) The Critical Measures cover Verizon VA's service in areas critical to the CLECs and provide a mechanism to assure that CLECs on an individual basis are receiving non-discriminatory service.

In addition, this Plan contains a "Special Provisions" segment that focuses on a number of UNE measures that measure key aspects of Verizon VA's performance after it gains entry into the InterLATA long distance market. In order to assure that Verizon VA will provide satisfactory service in these key areas, *e.g.*, flow through and hot cuts, \$23.90 million is made available in addition to the \$122.31 million available under the MOE and Critical Measures for bill credits for measures in MOE and Critical Measures. In addition, \$16.87 million will be available for certain UNE ordering measures, to be paid from the MOE dollars at risk, if Verizon VA does not meet service standards and has not reached the cap level for MOE. (*See* Section II.E. *infra*.)

The Resolution Process includes measures for the resolution of PON related-trouble tickets and billing claims.

#### 3. Standards

Each measure will be evaluated according to one of two standards. For the measures where a Verizon VA retail analog exists, a "parity" standard will be applied.<sup>3</sup> For those measures where no retail analogs are available, an absolute standard has been specified as a surrogate to determine whether Verizon VA is providing non-discriminatory service to the CLECs. The metrics with absolute standards are displayed in Appendix C.

#### B. Distribution Of The MOE and Critical Measures Credits

#### 1. Distribution of Bill Credits

Annual bill credits totaling \$52.72 million are attributed to the MOE measures and are distributed to each of the MOE categories in amounts that reflect the importance of that MOE to the local exchange competition. These amounts can double to \$105.44 million in annual bill credits. (*See* section II.C.2 below.) Each month one-twelfth (1/12) of the annual amount will be available for bill credits. (*See* Appendix A.) An analogous principle has been applied to the \$69.59 million associated with Critical Measures bill credits. (*See* Appendix B.)

#### 2. Reallocation of Potential Bill Credits

The Commission will have the authority to reallocate the monthly distribution of bill credits between and among any provisions of the Plan and the Change Control Assurance Plan, which is discussed below hereto. The Commission will give the Company 15 days notice prior to the beginning of the month in which the reallocation will occur. Any reallocation will be done pursuant to Commission order.

# C. MOE Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations

# 1. Scoring

The measures and standards for the MOE measurements have been placed into five categories: Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Interconnection (Trunks) and DSL. Since the 1996 Act requires that Verizon VA provide interconnection "that is at least equal in quality" to that provided to itself, and "nondiscriminatory access" to unbundled elements, each month Verizon VA will apply statistical tests, which are described in Appendix D, to Verizon VA and CLEC performance data to develop t scores  $\alpha$  equivalent permutation or Fisher's Exact Test scores for the measures.<sup>4</sup> These statistical scores will be converted into a performance score for each MOE measure as follows:

Statistical Score	Performance Score	
Z <= -1.645	-2	
-1.645 < Z <= -0.8225	-1	
-0.8225 < Z	0	

For small sample sizes of measures with a parity standard, the Permutation Test will be applied to obtain the statistical scores, which will be converted into a performance score. (See Appendix D.) For small sample sizes of measures with absolute standards, a small sample size table will be applied to obtain the performance scores. Measures with absolute standards

8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

The parity measures in the Plan fall into two categories: Measured variables and Counted variables. Measured variables are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair. Counted variables are metrics of proportions such as percent measures.

The statistical methodologies set forth in Appendix D were taken from the New York State Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports in Case 97-C-0139.

will be given a performance score of 0, -1, or -2 depending on the performance for that measure. (See Appendix C.)

Thus, for each of the measures within the five MOE categories, Verizon VA's performance will be graded 0, -1, or -2. Each measure with a performance score of -1 in a given month will be subject to change, depending upon the score for that measure in the next two months. Should Verizon VA maintain a performance score of 0 for the next two months, then the score in the original month will be changed from -1 to 0.5 The 0 would then be used in conjunction with all of the other metrics in that MOE category to determine an aggregate score. A score of -2 in a given month will not be subject to change based upon performance in subsequent months. The performance score for each metric will then be weighted, based upon the importance of the metric in determining whether that MOE is open to competition. (*See* Appendix A, which lists the weights for the MOE measurements.) The weighted scores will then be aggregated (averaged) by each MOE category (Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Interconnection and DSL), producing an overall weighted score for each of the five categories.

#### 2. Bill Credit Calculations

If Verizon VA's overall (aggregate) performance score in the five categories falls below a minimum score in any given month, wholesale price reductions in the form of bill credits will be implemented and remain in effect for one month.<sup>6</sup> If an overall score falls to the maximum score or below, the maximum wholesale price reduction will be implemented. Scores between

\_

If there is no activity or insufficient sample for evaluation of a metric in either or both of the two subsequent months, the performance score from the previous month or scores from the previous 2 months will be used in that order to obtain two scores to determine the outcome of the -1 in the month under evaluation. If two scores cannot be obtained from the four months (2 forward and 2 back), the -1 in the month under evaluation will be changed to a 0.

The intent is that the minimum score for each MOE category corresponds to the threshold at which there is a 95% certainty that parity does not exist.

the minimum and maximum scores will also be entitled to credits pursuant to a credit table for each MOE category. Credit Tables with the range of scores between the minimum and maximum and the applicable rates appear in Appendix A. The bill credits payable to the CLECs will be determined each month by dividing the amount from the table in Appendix A by the actual monthly volumes of the CLEC units in service. The measurement units for each of the MOEs is as follows:

- 1. UNE Loop Lines in service at end of month;
- 2. UNE Platform Lines in service at end of month;
- 3. Resale Lines in service at end of month;
- 4. Interconnection (Trunks) Minutes of use in month; and
- 5. DSL Lines in service at end of month.

The maximum scores represent the maximum allowable out of parity condition. The minimum and maximum performance scores and the start point percentages are as follows:

1. Lines in service for UNE <u>Platform</u> means UNE-Platform lines.

-

For the purpose of the Plan:

<sup>2.</sup> Lines in service for UNE-Loop means, all types of UNE 2--Wire analog loops and IOF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>32.</u> Lines in service for Resale means Resale <u>POTS</u> lines <u>plus circuits</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>43</u>. Trunks – minutes of use per month.

<sup>54.</sup> Lines in service for DSL means DSL UNEResale 2-Wire Digital Services, UNE 2-Wire Digital loops, UNE 2-Wire xDSL loops, and UNE Line Split loops.

	<u>Minimum</u> Market Adj.	<u>Maximum</u> Market Adj.	% Market Adj. at Minimum <sup>8</sup>
<b>UNE - Platform</b>	-0.25292	-0.67000	20%
UNE - Loop	-0.24862	-0.67000	20%
Resale	-0.24715	-0.67000	20%
Interconnection	-0.21429	-1.0000	20%
DSL	-0.23024	-0.67000	20%

If an aggregate MOE score is less than one half the difference (*i.e.*, below the midpoint) between the minimum and maximum scores in any one of the five MOE categories for three consecutive months, the amounts in the credit tables in Appendix A for that same three-month period will be doubled for the applicable MOE category. (The midpoints for the MOEs are delineated in Appendix A.) The amounts in Appendix A will remain doubled until such time as Verizon VA achieves a score of one quarter (or greater) the difference between the minimum and maximum scores in that category in any given month. Appendix E provides a detailed step-by-step description of how the MOE performance scores and bill credits will be calculated and distributed to the CLECs.

#### 3. The Domain Clustering Rule

Domain Clustering will provide CLECs with an additional layer of protection under the MOE mechanism. The term Domain refers to four service quality measures, *(i.e.*, Pre-Order, Ordering, Provisioning, and Maintenance and Repair)<sup>9</sup> that are included in the UNE – Platform, UNE-Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs. Under the Domain Clustering Rule, each Domain will be reviewed each month. If 75% or more of the respective Ordering, Provisioning, or Maintenance

The "% Market Adj. At Minimum" indicates the amount of monthly bill credits that will be due to CLECs if Verizon VA trips the minimum score. For example, if Verizon VA were to score -.253173 on the UNE <u>Platform MOE</u> in a month, 20% of the \$2,636,000 monthly amount would be due. (*See* Appendix A.)

The domains do not include billing.

and Repair Domain weights are tripped, the higher of the clustering overlay or overall market score will be used to determine the market adjustments for the UNE – Platform, UNE - Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs. The same rule will apply to the Pre-Ordering Domain, except that the clustering overlay would be effective if all Pre-Ordering response time measures failed at the -2 level, in which case 75% would be used in the overlay calculations. The Domain Clustering methodologies are set forth in detail in Appendix E.

#### D. Critical Measures Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations

# 1. Scoring

Verizon VA's performance in these measurement categories is critical to the CLECs' ability to compete in the Virginia local exchange market. Should Verizon VA performance miss the applicable performance standards for even *one* of these categories, eligible CLECs will be entitled to bill credits. (*See* Appendix B.) The statistical tests and performance scoring mechanism described in the MOE section also apply to these measures.<sup>10</sup>

#### 2. Bill Credit Calculations

For each Critical Measure, Verizon VA's performance for all CLECs during a given month will be averaged. Should the resulting performance score in any one category fall to -1 or below ("sub-standard performance"), 11 50% of the maximum bill credits for that measure will be payable to eligible CLECs. The eligible CLECs are all those CLECs that received Sub-Standard Performance during that month (the "Aggregate Rule"). In addition, should any CLEC receive sub-standard performance for two consecutive months, bill credits for that CLEC will be implemented for the two month period,

To the extent that a Critical Measure contains more than one measure, the weights from Appendix A will be used to determine the amount of bill credits available for the individual measure.

The Ppermutations Test will be used to derive Z and t scores for measures with small sample sizes as described in the Guidelines and Appendix D.

notwithstanding the fact that all CLECs on average may have received satisfactory performance during the two months (the "Individual Rule"). 12

Bill credits will increase by ten incremental amounts for performance scores between -1 and -2, or Z or t scores between -0.8225 and -1.645. The amounts payable to each CLEC will be in direct proportion to the amount of service that CLEC receives from Verizon VA compared to the other CLECs who received sub-standard performance pursuant to the critical measure. For example, under Critical Measure % Repeat Reports within 30 days, the percent of bill credits for an unsatisfactory score would be calculated by determining the number of lines a CLEC had compared to other CLECs that received sub-standard performance. <sup>13</sup> If a score falls to the maximum level, the maximum bill credits will be implemented for the Critical Measure in question.

Appendix F provides a detailed step-by-step description of how the Critical Measures scores and bill credits will be calculated and distributed to the CLECs.

# **E.** Special Provisions – UNE Measures

A number of key measures have been identified that measure aspects of Verizon VA's performance on service quality items that are viewed as essential for CLECs to ensure their ability to effectively compete in the local service market. Accordingly, additional funds will be made available for these measures under the subparagraphs described below.

If all CLECs on average received an aggregate score below -1 for both months, the individual CLEC with the below average score would be entitled to bill credits for the Critical Measure in question under the Aggregate Rule. Likewise, if all CLECs on average received an aggregate score below -1 for the first of the two months and an aggregate score above -1 for the second month, the individual CLEC with substandard performance during both months would be entitled to receive bill credits pursuant to the Aggregate Rule for the first month and pursuant to the Individual Rule for the second month. A CLEC is only entitled to receive Bill Credits under the Individual Rule if it receives a score of -1 or less in a Critical Measure category and the CLEC group on average received a score greater than -1 for the Critical Measure.

For Collocation – bill credits distribution will be determined by the cages completed during month, *i.e.*, collocation arrangements completed: all arrangements including (a) physical, (b) virtual and (c) other collocation arrangements provided under tariff.

#### 1. Flow Through Measures For UNEs

Verizon VA will make an additional \$7.03 million available for potential bill credits, which will be paid on a calendar quarterly basis, for the following flow through UNE metrics measured on a cumulative calendar quarterly basis: OR-5-01 "% Flow Through - Total" and OR-5-03 "% Flow Through Achieved." A performance standard of 80% will apply to OR-5-01, and a performance standard of 95% will apply to OR-5-03. If at the end of any calendar quarter Verizon VA has not achieved one of these two performance standards, it will distribute one-quarter of the annual amount available under this subsection in bill credits. The bill credits will be available to all CLECs purchasing UNEs. Any amounts due will be credited based on the CLEC's lines in service. The scoring methodology for this measure is set forth in more detail in Appendix H.

# 2. UNE Ordering Performance

An additional \$1,405,833 per month, or \$16.87 million annually, will be made available for bill credits for four non-flow through UNE performance measures:

OR-1-04 % On Time LSRC/ASRC – No Facility Check (Electronic – No Flow Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP; OR-1-06 % On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check (Electronic – No Flow Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP; OR-2-04 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – No Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP; and,

The definition of "% Flow Through Achieved" and the appropriate exclusions for this measure will be as set out in the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports."

For the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, bill credits under this Section II.E.1 will be calculated based upon the performance for the calendar month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and the remaining calendar months (if any) in the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective. Any bill credits due for such calendar quarter will be pro-rated based on the duration of the measurement period (i.e., if the measurement is based on one month of performance data, the amount that would be due would be one-third of the full quarterly amount that would have been due had Verizon VA's measured performance for that month been Verizon VA's measured performance for a full calendar quarter).

Lines in service will equal: UNE-Platform, and UNE Loops, IOF, and EEL Loops.

OR-2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP.

Funding for these additional bill credits will come from any unused MOE funds in a month or the six prior months. \$351,458 in bill credits per metric will be distributed under this section to all CLECs ordering UNEs based on the CLEC's lines in service if performance is less than 90% on the respective measures. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. (*See* Appendix H.)

#### 3. Additional Hot Cut Performance Measures

An additional \$16.87 million for bill credits will be made available for service quality related to two Hot Cut Performance Measures: PR-9-01 "Missed Appointment - % on Time Performance - Hot Cut" and PR-6-02 "Installation Quality - % Installation Troubles Reported Within 7 Days." Bill credits will be paid under this section if either of two events occurs:

- (a) If for any two consecutive months, Verizon VA fails to achieve either 90% on-time performance for Hot Cuts or has greater than a 3.00% rate for installation troubles within 7 days for hot cuts, Verizon VA will distribute \$702,917 in bill credits to the affected CLECs. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. If Verizon VA fails to meet either of these measures in the first month, but meets them in the second month, no bill credits will be due.
- (b) If for any one month, Verizon VA fails to achieve 85% ontime performance for Hot Cuts or scores greater than a 4.00% rate for installation troubles within 7 days for hot cuts, Verizon VA will distribute \$1,405,833 in bill credits to the affected CLECs for that month. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. (See Appendix H.)

# F. The Change Control Assurance Plan

A total of \$7.03 million will be placed at risk for the Change Control Process for those CLECs operating in Virginia. The credits will be made available using the same methodology used in New York. The Change Control process that is currently in place is common to systems in Virginia and New York. A copy of the currently effective CCAP is attached as Appendix I.

#### **G.** Monthly Reports

In order to ensure that there is timely information regarding Verizon VA's performance, Verizon VA will report its performance on a monthly basis. Each month, a report will be made available to all CLECs providing service in Virginia.

A sample copy of the report appears in Appendix G. The first five pages will provide information regarding the MOE measures and will include:

- 1. Verizon VA actual performance to its retail customers where such measures exist and to CLECs for each metric;
- 2. The number of observations for Verizon VA and the CLECs for each measure (where applicable);
- 3. The Verizon VA standard deviation (where applicable);
- 4. The sampling error (where applicable);
- 5. The appropriate statistical scores (where applicable)<sup>17</sup> or the difference between Verizon VA's and the CLECs' actual performance on the measure (where applicable);
- 6. A performance score for each measure;
- 7. The weight for each measure;
- 8. The weighted performance score; and

8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

Refer to Appendix D for a discussion of the appropriate statistical tests. A Permutations Test will be applied to small sample sizes to obtain a probability. The probability will be converted to a Z or t score, which in turn will be converted to a performance score as described in the Guidelines and Appendix D.

9. An aggregation of the performance scores, weighted performance scores, and aggregate bill credits, if any, due under each MOE.

The sixth and seventh pages will list the Critical Measures and the bill credits, if any, that are due for these measures on an aggregate CLEC basis. The eighth page will include performance details for Critical Measures for Network Performance, Specials and Resolution Processes. The ninth page will include Special Provisions. The tenth page will include a summary of the CCAP measures and the bill credits due, if any. The eleventh page will provide a summary of the total bill credits, if any, due the CLEC industry. In addition, CLEC specific reports will include bill credit amounts, if any, due to the individual CLEC for the MOE, Critical Measures and Special Provisions.<sup>18</sup> The monthly report will be provided within 29 days of the end of each month.<sup>19</sup>

Verizon VA will continue to provide a separate report on all measures established in the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports," allowing for additions, deletions and other modifications ordered by the Commission. In addition, to the extent allowed by law, Verizon VA will make available CLEC-specific C2C electronic reports enabling those receiving the reports to evaluate performance at greater levels of detail. The C2C reports will be made available to any CLEC requesting the reports.

\_

The computer model that will be used to calculate the MOE and Critical Measures bill credits will be posted on Verizon VA's Wholesale Website after the Plan becomes effective.

If the 29<sup>th</sup> day is a weekend or holiday, the monthly reports will be provided by the first subsequent business day.

A two-year statute of limitation on challenges to PAP performance will be adopted and effective July 29, 2003 for the June 2003 performance report. The initiation of this provision is contingent upon Verizon VA providing the algorithms, in a structured format, related to the PAP metrics to the Commission Staff prior to July 29, 2003. Verizon VA will provide notice to CLECs receiving PAP reports that it has satisfied this obligation.

# H. Bill Credits Payment

As used in this paragraph and Footnote 1, the term "Agreement" means and includes an agreement under 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252, any other agreement for interconnection, network elements, or services, and an amendment to any of the foregoing agreements. With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, if the Virginia PAP and the Agreement both grant a carrier bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, Verizon VA shall receive a credit against the amount due to the carrier under the Virginia PAP as a result of Verizon VA's acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to the carrier under the Agreement as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to

Credit amounts will be applied to an appropriate CLEC bill within 30 days of the close of the second month after the month under review.

If the bill credits exceed the balance due Verizon VA on the CLEC's bill, the net balance will be carried as a credit on to the CLEC's next month's bill.

Verizon VA will issue checks in lieu of outstanding bill credits to CLECs that discontinue taking service from Verizon VA. Verizon VA may, however, exercise ordinary commercial means to ensure that it will not issue such a check prior to receipt of a CLEC's undisputed payments due Verizon VA.

-

See Footnote 1, above.

#### I. Term Of Performance Assurance Plan

The Plan first went into effect October 1, 2002. This revised version of the Plan dated [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect] will go into effect [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect]. The Commission will reevaluate the appropriateness of the Plan when Verizon VA eliminates its Section 272 affiliate. Until such time as a replacement mechanism is developed or the Plan is rescinded, the Plan will remain in effect, as it may be modified from time to time by the Commission.

# J. Exceptions and Waiver Process

Recognizing that C2C service quality data may be influenced by factors beyond Verizon VA's control, Verizon VA may file Exception or Waiver petitions with the Commission seeking to have the monthly service quality results modified on three generic grounds. The first involves the potential for "clustering" of data, and the effect that such clustering has on the statistical models used in this Plan. The requirements of the clustering exception are set forth in Appendix D.

The second ground for filing an exception relates to CLEC behavior. If performance for any measure is impacted by unusual CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will bring such behavior to the attention of the CLEC and attempt to resolve the problem. Examples of CLEC behavior which may influence performance results include:

- poor order quality, such as missing codes, incorrect codes or misspelled directory listings;
- 2. actions that cause excessive missed appointments, such as wrong addresses, wrong due dates or offered intervals shorter than the standard interval;
- 3. actions resulting in excessive multiple dispatch and repeat reports, such as incorrect dispatch information or inadequate testing by a CLEC;

- inappropriate coding on orders, such as where extended due dates are desired and are not coded as such;
- 5. delays in rescheduling appointments when Verizon VA has missed an appointment.

If such action negatively influences Verizon VA's performance on any metric, Verizon VA will be permitted to petition for relief. The petition, which will be filed with the Commission and served on the CLEC, will provide appropriate, detailed documentation of the events, and will demonstrate that the CLEC behavior has caused Verizon VA to miss the service quality target. Verizon VA's petition must include all data that demonstrates how the measure was missed. It should also include information that excludes the data affected by the CLEC behavior. CLECs and other interested parties will be given an opportunity to respond to any Verizon VA petition for an Exception. If the Commission determines that the service results were influenced by inappropriate CLEC behavior, the data will be excluded from the monthly reports.

The third ground for filing a waiver relates to situations beyond Verizon VA's control that negatively affect its ability to satisfy only those measures with absolute standards. The performance requirements dictated by absolute standards establish the quality of service under normal operating conditions, and do not necessarily establish the level of performance to be achieved during periods of emergency, catastrophe, natural disaster, severe storms, work stoppage, or other events beyond Verizon VA's control.

Verizon VA may petition the Commission for a waiver of specific performance results for those metrics that have performance targets dictated by absolute standards, if Verizon VA's performance results do not meet the specific standard. This waiver process shall not be available

for those metrics for which Verizon VA's wholesale performance is measured by comparison to retail performance (parity metrics).

Any petition pursuant to this provision must demonstrate clearly and convincingly the extraordinary nature of the circumstances involved, the impact that the circumstances had on Verizon VA's service quality, why Verizon VA's normal, reasonable preparations for difficult situations proved inadequate, and the specific days affected by the event. The petition must also include an analysis of the extent to which the parity metrics (retail and wholesale) were affected by the subject event, and must be filed within 45 days from the end of month in which the event occurred.

The Commission will determine which, if any, of the daily and monthly results should be adjusted in light of the extraordinary event cited, and will have full discretion to consider all available evidence submitted. Insufficient filings may be dismissed for failure to make a *prima* facie showing that relief is justified.

# K. Annual Review, Updates And Audits

# 1. Annual Review And Updates

Each year the Commission and Verizon VA will review the Performance Assurance Plan to determine whether any modifications or additions should be made. During this review, the Commission and Verizon VA can determine, among other things, whether: (1) measures and weights should be modified, added or deleted; (2) modifications should be made to the distribution of dollars at risk among the five MOE and Critical Measures categories; (3) geographic deaveraging should be adopted for reporting metric results; (4) the clustering and CLEC behavior exceptions included in Appendix D should be modified; (5) small sample size procedures should be modified; and (6) the methodologies used to calculate the bill credits should be modified. All aspects of the Plan, however, will be subject to review. The annual review process may be initiated no more than six months before the anniversary date of Verizon VA's entry into the long distance market pursuant to Section 271. Any modifications to the Plan will be implemented as soon as is reasonably practical after Commission approval of the modifications.

#### 2. Changes to the New York Plan

Changes to the New York Plan adopted by the New York PSC will be submitted to the Commission by Verizon VA within 10 days of their filing with the New York PSC for consideration by the Commission for inclusion in the Virginia PAP. Verizon VA and all other interested persons shall have an opportunity to submit comments to the Commission on whether

\_

In particular, during the first annual review, the methodology used to calculate amounts due to CLECs under the Individual Rule for bill credits under the Critical Measures category will be analyzed to determine whether the rule provides for an appropriate distribution of bill credits.

the changes to the New York Plan should be included in the Virginia PAP. Changes to the New York Plan will be included in the Virginia PAP only upon the Commission's approval.

#### 3. Other Changes to the Virginia PAP

In addition to changes to the Virginia PAP that may be proposed for consideration by the Commission pursuant to Sections II.K.1 and 2, Verizon VA and any other interested person may at any time submit proposed changes to the Virginia PAP to the Commission for its consideration. Verizon VA and all other interested persons shall have an opportunity to submit comments to the Commission on whether the proposed changes should be included in the Virginia PAP. Changes will be included in the Virginia PAP only upon the Commission's approval.

#### 4. Annual Audit

Each year the Commission will audit Verizon's data and reporting, with the first audit beginning 6 months after Verizon VA enters the Long Distance market in Virginia. The audits shall be performed, at the Commission's discretion, by either the Commission Staff or an independent auditor, selected by the Commission and paid for by Verizon. The first audit will include an examination of data reliability issues. Subsequent audits will include an examination of data reliability issues at the Commission's discretion. For at least the first six months after the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, the Commission Staff will replicate Verizon VA's performance reports to assure that the data in the reports accurately reflects the service quality being provided to the CLECs. The Commission may elect to continue the replication for as long as it deems necessary.

# VERIZON VIRGINIA INC. APPENDIX A

[Effective Date]

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1. Measures and Weights
- 2. Assignment of Dollars at Risk to MOE Categories on Monthly and Annual Basis
- 3. Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Table

# APPENDIX A - MODE OF ENTRY

# 1. Measures and Weights

Table A-1-1: Resale

**Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements – Platform** 

Table A-1-3: Unbundled Network Elements - Loop

**Table A-1-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

Table A-1-5: DSL

Note: BOLD indicates Critical Measure

# **Table A-1-1: Resale - Mode of Entry Weights**

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record – EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-02-2320	% On Time LSRC -Flow Thru -POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex -2hrs	10
OR-2-02-2320	% On Time LSR Rej - Flow Thru - POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex	5
OR-4-11-2000	% Completed Orders with neither a PCN or BCN Sent	5
OR-4-16-2000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	5
OR-4-17-2000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	5
OR-5-03-2000	% Flow Through - Achieved – POTS	10
OR-6-03-2000	% Accuracy – LSRC	10
OR-1-04-2100	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No Flow Thru)-POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	5
OR-1-06-2320	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2
OR-2-04-2320	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2
OR-2-06-2320	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-3-01-2100	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines - No Disp) - POTS Total	5
PR-4-05-2100	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	20
PR-4-04-2100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS	10
PR-4-02-2100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	15
PR-5-01-2100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS	5
PR-5-02-2100	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS	5
PR-6-01-2100	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS	15
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-1-06-2000	Average Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	2
MR-3-01-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.	10
MR-3-02-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Bus.	10
MR-4-02-2110	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Bus.	5
MR-4-03-2110	Mean Time To Repair - CO Trouble - Bus.	5
MR-4-06-2110	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-2110	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-4-08-2110	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-3-01-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.	10
MR-3-02-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Res.	10
MR-4-02-2120	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Res.	5
MR-4-03-2120	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Res.	5
MR-4-06-2120	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS – Res.	5
MR-4-07-2120	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Res.	5
MR-4-08-2120	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Res.	5
MR-5-01-2100	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	10
BI	Billing	
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days	5
	Total Weights For R	Resale MOE 263
l	Total Weights Fol P	Coult HOL

Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements - Platform - Mode of Entry Weights

PO	Pre-Ordering Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record – EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	2
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	2
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	3
OR-1-02-3143	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Platform - 2hrs	10
OR-2-02-3143	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thu - Platform	5
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	5
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	5
OR-4-17-3000 OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	5
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS	5
OR-6-03-3143	% Accuracy - LSRC - Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143	% Actuacy - Lake - Hatomi % OT LSRC -No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143 OR-1-06-3143	% OT LSRC -No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
OR-1-00-3143 OR-2-04-3143	% OT LSR C/ASRC -Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	
OR-2-04-3143 OR-2-06-3143	1 7	2
	% OT LSR/ASR RejFacil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
PR 2 01 21 40	Provisioning	_
PR-3-01-3140	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 Lines - No Disp) - Platform	5
PR-4-05-3140	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - Platform	20
PR-4-04-3140	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform	10
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	15
PR-5-01-3140	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Platform	5
PR-5-02-3140	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Platform	5
PR-6-01-3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Platform	10
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-1-06-2000	Avg. Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	2
MR-3-01-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Platform - Bus	10
MR-3-02-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO Platform - Bus	10
MR-4-02-3144	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-03-3144	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-06-3144	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-3144	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Platform - Bus.	5
MR-4-08-3144	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Platform - Bus	5
MR-3-01-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop -Platform - Res	10
MR-3-02-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Platform - Res	10
MR-4-02-3145	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-03-3145	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-06-3145	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform – Res.	5
MR-4-07-3145	% Out of Service > 12 Hours – Platform - Res.	5
MR-4-08-3145	% Out of Service > 24 Hours – Platform - Res	5
MR-5-01-3140	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Platform	10
BI	Billing	
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days	5
	Total Weights For UNE Platform MOE	257

Table A-1-3: Unbundled Network Elements – Loop - Mode of	of Entry	Weights
--	----------	---------

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weigh
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation -EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	2
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	2
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-02-3331	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Loop/Pre-Qual - 2hrs	10
OR-2-02-3331	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thu - Loop/Pre-Qual	5
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	2
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	2
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	2
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS	5
OR-6-03-3331	% Accuracy - LSRC - Loop	5
OR-1-04-3331	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	5
OR-1-06-3331	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
OR-2-04-3331	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
OR-2-06-3331	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	5
PR-4-04-3113	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New	20
PR-5-01-3112	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Loop	5
PR-5-02-3112	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Loop	5
PR-6-01-3112	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Loop	10
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut	15
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut	
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-3-01-3550	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Loop	10
MR-4-02-3550	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Loop	5
MR-4-07-3550	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Loop	5
MR-4-08-3550	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Loop	5
MR-5-01-3550	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Loop	10
MR-3-02-3550	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Loop	10
MR-4-03-3550	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Loop	5
	Total Weights For UNE Loop MOE	181

23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go

## APPENDIX A Page 6

<b>APPEN</b>	NDIX	A
	Page	7

_	

## **Table A-1-4: Interconnection - Mode of Entry Weights**

OR	Ordering	Weight
OR-1-12-5020	% OT Firm Order Confirmations (<=192 Forecasted Trunks)	5
OR-1-13-5020	% On Time Design Layout Record	10
OR-1-19-5020	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192)	5
OR-2-12-5000	% On Time Trunk ASR Reject	5
PR	Provisioning	
PR-4-07-3540	% On Time Performance - LNP only	20
PR-4-15-5000	% On Time Provisioning Trunks	20
PR-5-01-5000	% Missed Appointment – Facilities	5
PR-5-02-5000	% Orders Held for Facilities >15 Days	5
PR-6-01-5000	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	10
PR-8-01-5000	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days	5
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-4-01-5000	Mean Time to Repair – Total	5
MR-4-05-5000	% Out of Service > 2 Hours	5
MR-4-06-5000	% Out of Service > 4 Hours	5
MR-4-07-5000	% Out of Service > 12 Hours	5
MR-4-08-5000	% OOS > 24 Hours	5
MR-5-01-5000	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days	10
NP	Network Performance	
NP-1-03-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months	5
NP-1-04-5000	NP-1-04-5000 # of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months	
	Total Weights For Interconnection MC	<b>DE</b> 140
		1

**Table A-1-5: DSL - Mode of Entry Weights** 

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-06-6020	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI	5
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-06-6030	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA	5
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	2
PO-1-06-6050	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI	5
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	2
PO-8-01-2000	% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification	2
PO-8-02-2000	% On Time - Engineering Record Request	2
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-04	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-04	% On Time LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-1-04-3342	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	5
OR-1-06-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops	5
OR-2-04-3342	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-2-06-3342	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-1-04-3340	% OT LSRC -No Facility Check (E –No FT) -Line Share/Split	5
OR-1-06-3340	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -Line Share/Split	5
OR-2-04-3340	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2
OR-2-06-3340	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	2
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	2
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-6-01 PR-8-01	% Install. Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W Digital Loops -UNE/Resale  Open Orders In Hold Status >30 Days -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2 2
PR-3-10-3342	% Comp w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-4-02-3342	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-4-14-3342	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-6-01-3342	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	15
PR-8-01-3342	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	5
PR-3-03	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines) No Disp -Line Share/Split (**benchmark/parity)	10
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	10
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split	5
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split	10
PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split	15
PR-8-01	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -Line Share/Split	5
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-3-02	% Missed Repair Appt -CO -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-02	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-03	Mean Time To Repair -CO Trouble -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-07	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2w Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-3-01-3342	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-3-02-3342	% Missed Repair Appointment -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-02-3342	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-03-3342	Mean Time To Repair -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-04-3342	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-07-3342	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-5-01-3342	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appointment -Loop -Line Share/Split	5
MR-3-02	% Missed Repair Appointment -CO -Line Share/Split	5
MR-4-02	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -Line Share/Split	5

 $\frac{8/23/02}{Draft}$   $\frac{2/13/03}{Draft}$   $\frac{2/$ 

MR-4-07 MR-5-01	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Line Share/Split % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split		10
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split		10
	The LAY	VIII P POT MOT	201
	Total W	eights For DSL MOE	291
<u> </u>			
		<u> </u>	
		<del></del>	
1		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	

## 2. Mode of Entry: Dollars At Risk – \$52,720,000

	Resale	UNE-Platform	UNE-Loop	Trunks	DSL
Monthly	\$292,889	\$2,636,000	\$585,778	\$292,889	\$585,778
Annual	\$3,514,667	\$31,632,000	\$7,029,333	\$3,514,667	\$7,029,333

#### 3. Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables:

Table A-3-1: Resale

Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements-Platform

**Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements-Loop** 

**Table A-3-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

Table A-3-5: DSL

Table A-3-1: Resale

- Maximum of <u>\$3,514,667</u> per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" =  $\frac{-0.67000}{}$
- Minimum threshold = -0.24715
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum =  $\frac{-0.45858}{1}$

Score 1	<b>Monthly Dollars:</b>	
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.24715	\$0
-0.24715	-0.26941	\$58,578
-0.26941	-0.29166	\$70,910
-0.29166	-0.31392	\$83,242
-0.31392	-0.33617	\$95,574
-0.33617	-0.35843	\$107,906
-0.35843	-0.38068	\$120,239
-0.38068	-0.40294	\$132,571
-0.40294	-0.42519	\$144,903
-0.42519	-0.44745	\$157,235
-0.44745	-0.46970	\$169,567
-0.46970	-0.49196	\$181,899
-0.49196	-0.51421	\$194,232
-0.51421	-0.53647	\$206,564
-0.53647	-0.55872	\$218,896
-0.55872	-0.58098	\$231,228
-0.58098	-0.60323	\$243,560
-0.60323	-0.62549	\$255,892
-0.62549	-0.64774	\$268,225
-0.64774	-0.67000	\$280,557
-0.67000		\$292,889

**Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements-Platform** 

- Maximum of \$31,632,000 per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold = -0.25292
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.46146

Score Range		Monthly Dollars:
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.25292	\$0
-0.25292	-0.27487	\$527,200
-0.27487	-0.29682	\$638,189
-0.29682	-0.31877	\$749,179
-0.31877	-0.34073	\$860,168
-0.34073	-0.36268	\$971,158
-0.36268	-0.38463	\$1,082,147
-0.38463	-0.40658	\$1,193,137
-0.40658	-0.42853	\$1,304,126
-0.42853	-0.45048	\$1,415,116
-0.45048	-0.47244	\$1,526,105
-0.47244	-0.49439	\$1,637,095
-0.49439	-0.51634	\$1,748,084
-0.51634	-0.53829	\$1,859,074
-0.53829	-0.56024	\$1,970,063
-0.56024	-0.58219	\$2,081,053
-0.58219	-0.60415	\$2,192,042
-0.60415	-0.62610	\$2,303,032
-0.62610	-0.64805	\$2,414,021
-0.64805	-0.67000	\$2,525,011
-0.67000		\$2,636,000

Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements-Loop

- Maximum of <u>\$ 7,029,333</u> per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold = -0.24862
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45931

Score	Range	Monthly Dollars:
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.24862	\$0
-0.24862	-0.27080	\$117,156
-0.27080	-0.29298	\$141,820
-0.29298	-0.31515	\$166,484
-0.31515	-0.33733	\$191,149
-0.33733	-0.35951	\$215,813
-0.35951	-0.38169	\$240,477
-0.38169	-0.40387	\$265,142
-0.40387	-0.42604	\$289,806
-0.42604	-0.44822	\$314,470
-0.44822	-0.47040	\$339,135
-0.47040	-0.49258	\$363,799
-0.49258	-0.51475	\$388,463
-0.51475	-0.53693	\$413,127
-0.53693	-0.55911	\$437,792
-0.55911	-0.58129	\$462,456
-0.58129	-0.60347	\$487,120
-0.60347	-0.62564	\$511,785
-0.62564	-0.64782	\$536,449
-0.64782	-0.67000	\$561,113
-0.67000		\$585,778

**Table A-3-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

- Maximum of \$3,514,667 per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" =  $\frac{-1.00000}{}$
- Minimum threshold = -0.21429
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.60715

Score Rar	nge	Monthly Dollars:
<	And 3	
	-0.21429	\$0
-0.21429	-0.27473	\$58,578
-0.27473	-0.33517	\$76,602
-0.33517	-0.39561	\$94,626
-0.39561	-0.45605	\$112,650
-0.45605	-0.51649	\$130,674
-0.51649	-0.57693	\$148,697
-0.57693	-0.63736	\$166,721
-0.63736	-0.69780	\$184,745
-0.69780	-0.75824	\$202,769
-0.75824	-0.81868	\$220,793
-0.81868	-0.87912	\$238,817
-0.87912	-0.93956	\$256,841
-0.93956	-1.00000	\$274,865
-1.00000		\$292,889

Table A-3-5: DSL

- Maximum of \$7,029,333 per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold = -0.23024
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45012

Score Ra	nge	Monthly Dollars:
<	And 3	
	-0.23024	\$0
-0.23024	-0.25339	\$117,156
-0.25339	-0.27653	\$141,820
-0.27653	-0.29968	\$166,484
-0.29968	-0.32282	\$191,149
-0.32282	-0.34597	\$215,813
-0.34597	-0.36911	\$240,477
-0.36911	-0.39226	\$265,142
-0.39226	-0.41540	\$289,806
-0.41540	-0.43855	\$314,470
-0.43855	-0.46169	\$339,135
-0.46169	-0.48484	\$363,799
-0.48484	-0.50798	\$388,463
-0.50798	-0.53113	\$413,127
-0.53113	-0.55427	\$437,792
-0.55427	-0.57742	\$462,456
-0.57742	-0.60056	\$487,120
-0.60056	-0.62371	\$511,785
-0.62371	-0.64685	\$536,449
-0.64685	-0.67000	\$561,113
-0.67000		\$585,778

## **APPENDIX B**

[Effective Date]

## **Critical Measures Table B-1**

	CRITICAL MEASURES	UNE-Platform	UNE-Loop	Resale	DSL	Trunks	Specials	Other	Total
	PRE-ORDERING		22.2 200p		-~2		- J		
1	OSS Interface	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444				\$1,139,331
PO-1-0	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI	4020,000	7-01,110	7-10,111	48,815				+=,=== ,===
PO-1-0	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA				48,815				
PO-1-0	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI		ĺ		48,815	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ
PO-2-0	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	219,665	62,483	73,222					
PO-2-0	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	219,665	62,483		ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	
PO-2-0	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	219,665	62,483	73,222					
	ORDERING								
2	% On Time Ordering Notification	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$28,652		\$1,308,569
	% On Time LSRC -Flow Through	439,331	156,207	97,629	ļ	ļ			
	04 %OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl				16,272				
	04 %OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				40,679				
	04   %OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E -No FT)-Ln Share/Split		ļ		40,679		ļ		ļ
	12 % On Time FOC					35,146			
	3 % On Time Design Layout Record		ļ			70,293	ļ		
	% OT RespReq. for Inbound Aug. (<=192)					35,146			
	04   %OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl		ļ		16,272		ļ		
	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				16,272				
	04   %OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT) - Ln Share/Split		ļ		16,272		ļ		
OR-4-1	6 % On Time PCN - 1 Bus. Day	219,665		48,815					
			31,241						
	04   %OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All Spcls-UNE/Rsl		ļ				9,551		
	%OT LSRC/ASRC-Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All Spcls-UNE/Rsl						9,551		
	04 %OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-UNE/Resale		ļ				4,775		
OR-2-0	%OT LSR/ASR Rej-Fac Ck (Elec) –UNE/Resale						4,775		
	PROVISIONING	φ.cπο.οο.c	φ4.0 <b>=</b> 440	<b>** ** ** * * * * * * </b>	<b>**</b>	Φ4.40. <b>2</b> 0.6	<b>↑</b> 4.00.0 <b>=</b> 0		φ4.200. <b>π</b> 0. <b>π</b>
3	Installation Performance	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$108,878		\$1,388,795
PR-3-0	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines No Disp.)	54,916		11,265					
	2 Average Delay Days - Total	164,749	26,778	33,795					
PR-4-0			-,	,	3,529				
	2 Average Delay Days - Total - 2W xDSL Loop	İ	į		17,644	İ	į		
PR-4-0					17,644				
i i		100.022		22.522	,	į	į		į
PR-4-0	Missed Appointments –Dispatch	109,833	107,113	22,530					
PR-4-0		ĵ	1		3,529				
PR-4-(		j	į		8,822	j	į		į
PR-4-0	11 1	219,665	1	45,060	*				
PR-4-0	11 1		1	- ,	3,529	j	į	İ	
	% Missed Appt -No Disp -Line Share/Split				17,644				
	4 % Completed On Time - 2W xDSL Loops	j	j		17,644	j	į		j
	5 % On Time Provisioning – Trunks				.,	93,724			
PR-6-0		109,833	53,557	33,795	j	46,862	į		j
PR-6-0	2		,	,	3,529	-,			
PR-6-0			į		26,466	į	į		į
PR-6-0					26,466				
PR-4-01	•				,		4,775		
PR-4-01	11	j	j		j	j	4,775		j
PR-4-01	**						4,775		
PR-4-01		j	į	j	j	j	4,775	İ	į

ļ		Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale	ļ <u> </u>	ļ	ļ			4,775	ļ
	PR-5-01	% Missed Appointment - Facilities –UNE/Resale						10.101	
	PR-5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale						19,101	
	DD 6 01	O( I , II , II , II , II , II , II )						19,101	
	PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale Open Orders in Hold Status>30 Days-UNE/Resale						9,551	
ļ	PR-8-01 PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL	}		ŀ			4,775 9,551	1
	PR-4-01 PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – EEL						4,775	
ł	PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -EEL	}	ł	ŀ			4,773	ł
	FK-6-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -EEL						1,910	
	PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - IOF						9,551	
İ	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - IOF	i	i	i			4,775	İ
	PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -IOF						.,	
								1,910	
Ī	4 PR-4-07	% On Time Performance - LNP					\$140,586		\$140,58
Ī	5	Hot Cut Performance		\$187,448			,		\$187,44
		2 % Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut							
Į	PR-9-01	1 % On Time Performance - Hot Cut							
L		MAINTENANCE		Ţ	-				
	6	Maintenace Performance	\$ 658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$38,203	\$1,318,12
	MR-3-01	Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.	164,749		36,611				
	N 0 0 0 1	M. ID IA II D	164,749		26.611				
		Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.	·	74.070	36,611				
ļ		Missed Repair Appointments - Loop	 	74,979	-	6 267	ļ		
	MR-3-01	1 % Missed Repr Appt -Loop-2W Digtl-UNE/Resale				6,367			
	MR-3-01	1 % Missed Repr Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops				15,918			
	MD 2 01	1% Missed Repair Appoint -Loop -Line Share/Split				15,918			
	MR-4-04	% Cleared(all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W Dig-UNE/Resale				6,367			
ł	1	// Cicarcu(an nois) w/m 24m3-2 w Dig-ONL/Resaic	 		ŀ	0,507	ł	ł	
	MR-4-04	% Cleared (all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W xDSL Loops				15,918			
	MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split				15,918			
		Out of Service >24Hrs Bus.	82,375		18,305	13,910	46,862		
		Out of Service >24Hrs Res.	82,375		18,305		70,002		
i		Out of Service > 24Hrs Total	==,575	37,490		j	j	ť	
		% Repeat Reports within 30 Days	164,749	74,979			93,724		
					36,611				
	MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days-2w Digital-UNE/Resale				6,367			
ļ		% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	<u> </u>			31,836			
		% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split				31,836			
		Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale						4,775	
ļ		Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale			ļ	ļ	ļ	4,775	
	MR-4-06	% Out of Service>4 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 - UNE/Resale						4,775	
ļ		%Out of Service>24 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	!		ļ	ļ	ļ i	4,775	
		% Out of Service > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale						4,775	
ł		% Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale			ļ	}	}	4,775 9,551	
	1VIX-3-01	, , ,	ı l		ļ	ļ	ļ	9,331	l
ļ	7 NP-1-04	NETWORK PERFORMANCE Final Trunk Groups Blocked	T	I	T	1	\$140,586	1	\$140,58
+	/ 111-1-04	NETWORK PERFORMANCE	1				ф <b>14</b> 0,300		J \$140,50
L		MEI WORKI ERFORMANCE							

8		Collocation							\$117,155	\$117,155
	NP-2-01/2	% OT Response to Request for Collocation - Total							51,838	
	NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total							60,133	
	NP-2-07/8	Average Delay Days - Total							5,184	
		RESOLUTION PROCESS								
9		Resolution Process							\$58,577	\$58,577
	OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days							32,568	
	OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days							13,027	
	BI-3-04	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknwldgd w/ 2 Bus Days							1,222	
	BI-3-05	%CLEC Billng Claims Rslvd w/in 28 Cal. Days after Ack.							11,760	
		Month Total	\$2,635,985	\$937,239	\$585,774	\$585,774	\$702,929	\$175,732	\$175,732	\$5,799,167
		Annual Total	\$31,631,818	\$11,246,869	\$7,029,293	\$7,029,293	\$8,435,152	\$2,108,788	\$2,108,788	\$69,590,000

Under the provisions of the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

Note B: All bill credits in this section are at risk each month. Any bill credits assigned to a sub-metric that has no activity or is under development will be divided proportionately among the sub-metrics in the respective critical measures.

Note C. For Critical Measure No. 5 "Hot Cut Performance." No allocation of available bill credits is made between the sub-measures. If one sub-measure warrants an adjustment, the market adjustment percentage is applied to the entire amount of bill credits available. If both sub-measures indicate that bill credits are due to CLECs, the lower score will be used to calculate the bill credits due.

#### CRITICAL MEASURES TABLE B-2

Weights for Network Performance, Resolution Timeliness and Specials

Network Perfe	Weight				
Maximum of	Maximum of \$1,405,859 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)				
NP-2-01/2	% OT Response to Request for Collocation – Total	5			
NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation – Total	20			
NP-2-07/8	Average Delay Days – Total	10			
	Total	35			

Resolution Tim	Weight	
Maximum of \$7		
OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days	5
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days	2
BI-3-04	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days	2
BI-3-05	% CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Ack.	20
	Total	29

Specials		Weight
Maximum of \$2,	108,788 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)	
	Ordering	
OR-1-04	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale	10
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facil Ck(E - No FT) - All Specials - UNE/Resale	10
OR-2-04	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT) -UNE/Resale	5
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) -UNE/Resale	5
	Provisioning	
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS1 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -Other -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale	5
PR-5-01	% Missed Appointment - Facilities -UNE/Resale	20
PR-5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale	20
PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status > 30 Days -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01-3510	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – EEL	10
PR-4-02-3510	Average Delay Days - Total – EEL	5
PR-8-01-3510	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –EEL	2
PR-4-01-3530	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – IOF	10
PR-4-02-3530	Average Delay Days – IOF	5

8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

PR-8-01-3530	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –IOF	2
	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
	Total	184

# **APPENDIX C**

[Effective Date]

## Performance Scores for Measures with Absolute Standards: Table C-1

Metric #'s	Measure	0	-1	-2
PO-1 and	OSS Response Time Measures	≤ 4 second difference	$>$ 4 and $\leq$ 6 second	> 6 second difference
MR-1 <sup>23</sup>	Excluding WEB GUI		difference	
PO-1 <sup>24</sup>	OSS Response Time Measures for WEB GUI	≤ 7 second difference	> 7 and ≤ 9 second difference	> 9 second difference
PO-2-02	OSS System Availability – Prime	≥ 99.5%	$\geq$ 98 and < 99.5%	< 98%
See Table	Metrics with 95% standards	≥ 95%	≥ 90 and < 95%	< 90%
PO-3	% Answered within 30 Seconds – Ordering & Repair	≥ 80%	≥ 75 and < 80%	< 75%
OR-4-11	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	≤0.25%	>0.25% and ≤ 1%	>1%
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Business Days	≥ 99%	≥ 94% and < 99%	< 94%
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ – Dispatch - 2 Wire xDSL	≤ 5%	> 5% and ≤10%	> 10%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot Cuts	≤ 2%	> 2% and ≤3%	> 3%
NP-2-07	Collocation – Average Delay Days	≤ 6 Days	> 6 and ≤ 15 Days	> 15 Days
NP-2-08	- Total	-	-	
NP-1-03	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked for	Final Interconnection	Any individual Final	Any individual Final
NP-1-04	2 and 3 Months	Trunks meeting or	Interconnection Trunk	Interconnection Trunk
		exceeding blocking	group exceeding	group exceeding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06, M R-1-01, MR-1-03, MR-1-04 and MR-1-06 for EDI and CORBA interfaces

Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06 for the WEB GUI interface

The list of Metrics with a 95% Standard appears on the following page in Table C-2.

	standard for one month	blocking standard for 2	blocking standard for 3
		months in a row	months in a row

Example: If Verizon VA were to perform at 97.0% for PO-2-02- OSS System Availability – Prime, in a month, then the performance score would be –2 for that measure.

## **Table C-2: Performance Metrics with 95% Performance Standard:**

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering			
8-01	Average Response Time – Manual Loop Qualification			
8-02	Average Response Time – Engineering Record Response			
<u>OR</u>	Ordering			
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex – 2hrs			
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – Platform – 2hrs			
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – Loop/Pre-qualified – 2hrs			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Platform			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Loop/LNP			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Specials			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital-UNE/Resale			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL Loops			
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – POTS/Prequalified Complex			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Platform			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Loop/LNP			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Specials			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – 2 Wire Digital-UNE/Resale			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – 2 Wire xDSL-Loops			
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Line Share/Line Split			
1-12	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations			
1-13	% On Time Design Layout Record			
1-19	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192)			
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex			
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Platform			
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Loop/Pre-qualified			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Platform			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Loop/LNP			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Specials			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital - UNE/Resale			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL-Loops			
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split			
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – POTS/Prequalified Complex			
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) – Platform			
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) – Loop/LNF			

- 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) Specials
- 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) 2 Wire Digital UNE/Resale
- 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) 2 Wire xDSL Loops
- 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) Line Share/Line Split
- 2-12 % On Time Trunk ASR Reject
- 4-09 % SOP to Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days
- 4-11 % Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent
- 4-16 % On time PCN 1 Business Day
- 4-17 % On time BCN 2 Business Days
- 10-01 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Business Days
- 5-03 % Flow Through Achieved POTS
- 6-03 % Accuracy LSRC POTS
- 6-03 % Accuracy LSRC Platform
- 6-03 % Accuracy LSRC Loop

#### **PR** Provisioning

- 3-03 % Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) Total Line Share/Line Split
- 3-10 % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) Total 2 Wire xDSL Loops
- 4-07 % On Time Performance LNP only
- 4-14 % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops
- 9-01 % On Time Performance Hot Cut

#### **BI** Billing

- 1-02 % DUF in 4 Business Days
- 3-04 % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days
- 3-05 % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement.

#### NP Network Performance

- 2-01 % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation New
- 2-01 % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation Augment
- 2-02 % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation New
- 2-02 % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation Augment
- 2-05 % On Time Physical Location New
- 2-05 % On Time Physical Location Augment
- 2-06 % On Time Virtual Location New
- 2-06 % On Time Virtual Location Augment

## Small Sample Size Scoring Procedures for Counted Variable Performance Measures with Absolute Standards for Use on CLEC Aggregate Results

#### A. Allowable Misses:

For counted variables with benchmark standards, it is possible to have small sample sizes, such that just a single missed transaction within a report period can cause the measure to miss its benchmark. The plan recognizes that without an allowance for a single miss, the plan would effectively require perfection to avoid bill credits, which would be above the designated benchmark for the measure. Also, a single missed transaction does not demonstrate that the measure's performance warrants a performance score of either a "-1" or a "-2". Thus a "zero weight" will be assigned in any single miss situations as specified by the criteria below. This deems the measure as neither a "pass" nor a "miss" for the purposes of bill credit calculations. In addition, if there are only 2 missed transactions in any small sample situation described below, a performance score of –1 will be assigned to the measure, again due to the minimal number of missed transactions.

For Counted Variables with Benchmark Standards that have a small number of observations in a data month, the following scoring procedures will be used at the CLEC aggregate level only:

For counted variable metrics where higher performance is better ("HIB"), e.g., 95% on-time, or a 0.95 standard:

- for any HIB counted variable metric where  $n < \{1/[1\text{-standard}]\}$ , (for example, for a 95% standard, n < (1/[1-0.95]) or n < 20)

0 misses is a "0" performance score

1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score

2 misses is a "-1" performance score

more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score

For counted variable metrics where lower performance is better ("LIB"), e.g., 5% missed appts, or a 0.05 standard:

- for any LIB counted variable metric where n <  $\{1/[standard]\}$ , (for example, for a 5% standard, n < (1/0.05) or n < 20)

0 misses is a "0" performance score 1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score 2 misses is a "-1" performance score more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score Examples of what should be reported in the performance scores column for measures with a 95% or a 5% standard are shown in the table below for different combinations of misses and sample sizes:

	Number of Misses			
Sample Size	0	1	2	3 or more
1	0	Blank, Zero weight	NA	NA
2	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	NA
3	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
4	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
5	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
6	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
7	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
8	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
9	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
10	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
11	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
12	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
13	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
14	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
15	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
16	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
17	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
18	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
19	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2

	_		

### **B.** CLEC Exception Process

Each month each CLEC will have the right to challenge the allowable misses or exclusions that Verizon VA may exercise pursuant to the small sample size table for performance measures with absolute standards. If a CLEC exercises this right, it must file a petition with the Commission demonstrating that the exclusion will have a significant impact on the operations of the CLEC's business and that Verizon VA should not be allowed to exclude the event pursuant to the above table. Verizon VA will have a right to respond to any such challenge by the CLEC. The Timeline for CLEC Exceptions will be the same as the Timeline for Verizon VA Exceptions under the small sample size section in Appendix D. If a CLEC's Exception Petition is granted, the appropriate bill credits will be reflected on the CLEC's bill as soon as is practical.

## **APPENDIX D**

[Effective Date]

## **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

### A. Statistical Methodologies:

The Performance Assurance Plan uses statistical methodologies as one means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the wholesale service performance for CLECs is equivalent to the performance for Verizon VA (Incumbent LEC). Verizon VA may be required to use statistical methodologies as a means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the performance for competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) is equivalent to the performance for Verizon VA. For performance measures where "parity" is the standard and sufficient sample size exists, Verizon VA will use the "modified t statistic" proposed by a number of CLECs in LCUG (Local Competitors User Group) for measured variables. For the evaluation of parity metrics involving counted variables, the permutation test, also known as Fisher's exact test, will be used. The specific definitions and formulas are detailed below:

#### **Definitions and Formulas:**

Measured Variables are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair, or average interval.

Counted Variables are metrics of proportions, such as percent measures.

X denotes the average performance or mean of the sample

S denotes the standard deviation

n denotes the sample size

p denotes the proportion of failed performance, for percentages 10% translates to a 0.10 proportion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Values calculated for a Z-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or greater than 5.0000 will be displayed on monthly reports as 5.0000 and values for a Z-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or less than -5.0000 will be displayed as -5.0000.

A statistical score below –1.645 is associated with a 5% percent or less chance that the performance for the CLEC will be incorrectly judged as being inferior to Verizon VA, when, in fact, the performance for the CLEC is superior (Type I error). Note: For the purposes of the statistical evaluation of measured variable sample sizes of 30 or more, the standard normal Z distribution is used as reasonably approximating Student's t distribution.

Counted Variables: The statistical score equivalent for counted variables is the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as the significance probability of the permutation test (a.k.a., Fisher's exact test). Specifically, the statistical score equivalent refers to the inverse of the standard normal cumulative distribution associated with the following hypergeometric distribution probability of seeing the number of failures, or greater in the CLEC sample.

$$1 - \left\{ \sum_{i = \max(\ 0, \{[n_{inc}p_{inc} + n_{clec}p_{clec}] + [n_{clec}] - [n_{inc} + n_{clec}]\})} \frac{\binom{[n_{clec}p_{clec} + n_{inc}p_{inc}]}{i} \binom{[n_{clec} + n_{inc}] - [n_{clec}p_{clec} + n_{inc}p_{inc}]}{i} \binom{[n_{clec} + n_{inc}] - [n_{clec}p_{clec} + n_{inc}p_{inc}]}{\binom{[n_{clec}p_{clec} + n_{inc}]}{n_{clec}}} \right\}}{\binom{[n_{clec}p_{clec} + n_{inc}]}{n_{clec}}}$$

Measured Variables: The statistical score is the LCUG-t score

$$t = \frac{\overline{X}_{inc} - \overline{X}_{clec}}{\sqrt{S_{inc}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{n_{inc}} + \frac{1}{n_{clec}}\right)}}$$

Note: If the metric is one where a higher mean or higher percentage signifies better performance, the means (measured variables) in the numerator of the LCUG t formula should be reversed.

#### **B.** Sample Size Requirements:

#### SMALL SAMPLE SIZE

The assumptions that underlie the statistical models used here include the requirement that the two groups of data are comparable. With larger sample sizes, differences in characteristics associated with individual customers are more likely to average out. With smaller sample sizes, there may be an issue regarding whether or not the characteristics of the sample reasonably represent the population. In order to permit meaningful statistical analysis to be performed and confident conclusions to be drawn, the sample size must be sufficiently large to minimize the violations of the assumptions underlying the statistical model. This involves not only statistical considerations, but also requires some practical judgement. The following will indicate the minimum sample sizes below which parity metrics results (for both counted and measured variables) may not permit reasonable statistical conclusions.

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions:

If there are only 6 of one group (Verizon VA or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other is to be used for statistical evaluation.

A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Carrier Working Group for further evaluation. A statistical score will not be reported; however, the means (or proportions), number of observations, standard deviation (for means only) and sampling error will be reported.

#### MEASURED VARIABLES WITH SAMPLE SIZE LESS THAN 30

If either the CLEC or Verizon VA sample size is less than 30 for a measured variable and if the sample sizes exceed the minimum sample sizes described above, then the following statistical evaluation procedure will be used:

If the absolute performance for the CLEC is better than the Verizon VA performance, no statistical analysis is required. When a measured variable that is evaluated for parity does not require a permutation test because the number of Verizon or CLEC observations in a month is less than 30 and the CLEC performance is not worse than the corresponding Verizon retail performance, the LCUG-t scores will be displayed in the statistical score column.

- a.) If the performance is worse for the CLEC than for Verizon VA, Verizon VA may use the LCUG t score until such time as a permutation test can be run in an automated fashion.

  Once the permutation test can be run in an automated fashion, it should be performed for all measured variable statistical tests having a sample size of less than 30.
- b.) If the LCUG t score indicates an "out of parity" result, Verizon VA will run the permutation test.
- c.) If the permutation test shows an "out of parity" condition, Verizon VA may perform a root cause analysis to determine cause, or may be required by the Carrier Working Group to perform a root cause analysis. If the cause is the result of "clustering" within the data, Verizon VA will provide such documentation. The nature of the variables used in the performance measures is that they do not meet the requirements 100% of the time for any statistical testing. Individual data points are not independent. The primary example of such non-independence is a cable failure. If a particular CLEC has fewer than 30

troubles and all are within the same cable failure with long duration, the performance will appear out of parity. However, for all troubles, including Verizon VA's troubles, within that individual event, the trouble duration is identical. Another example of clustering is if a CLEC has a small number of orders in a single location, with a facility problem. If this facility problem exists for all customers served by that cable and is longer than the average facility problem, the orders are not independent and clustering occurs. Finally, if root cause shows that the difference in performance is the result of CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will identify such behavior and work with the respective CLEC on corrective action.

## Flow Chart of Log Gamma Based Hypergeometric Routine for PAP Report Counted Variable Metric Comparisons

START Collect Inputs						
$\downarrow$						
Incumbent Proportion (incprop)	CLEC Proportion (clecprop)	Incumbent Total Obs (inctotal))	CLEC Total Obs (clectotal			
1	(clecprop)	Obs (inctotal))	(clea			

Calculate: CLEC Failures (clecfail)

Incumbent Failures (incfail)

Total Failures (totfail)

Combined Total Observations (tottotal)

Total Proportion (totprop)

Note: If metric is one where a higher percentage is better, the number of failures is calculated as one minus the proportion multiplied by the number of observations instead of reported proportion x number of observations.

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions: If there are only 6 of one group (ILEC or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other ok for statistical evaluation. A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Carrier Working Group for further evaluation.

 $\downarrow$ 

#### Set "cumulative probability total" cell entry to 0

<del>|</del>

Loop: For i = max(0, [totfail + clectotal - tottotal]) to (clecfail - 1):

Use the natural logarithm of the gamma function to calculate the probability of getting exactly i failures in a sample the size of the CLEC total given the combined total failures and the combined total number of observations.

- i.e. = exp[ln gamma(totfail+1)]
  - +ln gamma(tottotal-totfail+1)
  - +ln gamma(tottotal-clectotal+1)
  - +ln gamma(clectotal+1)
  - -ln gamma(i+1)
  - -ln gamma(totfail-i+1)
  - -ln gamma(tottotal+i-totfail-clectotal+1)
  - -ln gamma(clectotal-i+1)

-ln gamma(tottotal+1)]

Add this probability to the entry in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

Ţ

The probability for the metric comparison is based upon the cumulative probability that exists in the "cumulative probability total" cell at the end of looping.

 $\downarrow$ 

Determine the C2C Report "Statistical Score Equivalent" as the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as one minus the probability in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

### C. Verizon Exceptions Process:

- 1. Another assumption underlying the statistical models used here is the assumption that the data are independent. In some instances, events included in the performance measures of provisioning and maintenance of telecommunication services are not independent. The lack of independence is referred to as "clustering" of data. Clustering occurs when individual items (orders, troubles, *etc.*) are clustered together as one single event. This being the case, Verizon VA will have the right to file an exception to the performance scores in the Performance Assurance Plan if the following events occur:
  - a.) Event Driven Clustering Cable Failure: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's troubles are in a single cable failure, Verizon VA may provide data demonstrating that all troubles within that failure, including Verizon VA troubles were resolved in an equivalent manner. Then, Verizon VA also will provide the repair performance data with that cable failure performance excluded from the overall performance for both the CLEC and Verizon VA and the remaining troubles will be compared according to normal statistical methodologies.
  - b.) Location Driven Clustering Facility Problems: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's missed installation orders and resulting delay days were due to an individual location with a significant facility problem, Verizon VA will provide the data demonstrating that the orders were "clustered" in a single facility shortfall. Then, Verizon VA will provide the provisioning performance with that data excluded.

Additional location driven clustering may be demonstrated by disaggregating performance into smaller geographic areas.

- c.) Time Driven Clustering Single Day Events: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of CLEC activity, provisioning or maintenance, occurs on a single day within a month, and that day represents an unusual amount of activity in a single day, Verizon VA will provide the data demonstrating the activity is on that day. Verizon VA will compare that single day's performance for the CLEC to Verizon VA's own performance. Then, Verizon will provide data with that day excluded from overall performance to demonstrate "parity."
- d.) CLEC Actions: If performance for any measure is impacted by unusual CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will bring such behavior to the attention of the CLEC to attempt resolution. Examples of CLEC behavior impacting performance results include order quality, causing excessive missed appointments, incorrect dispatch identification, resulting in excessive multiple dispatch and repeat reports, inappropriate X coding on orders, where extended due dates are desired, and delays in rescheduling appointments, when Verizon has missed an appointment. If such action negatively impacts performance, Verizon will provide appropriate detail documentation of the events and communication to the individual CLEC and the Commission.

#### 2. Documentation:

Verizon VA will provide all details, ensuring protection of customer proprietary

information, to the CLEC and Commission. Details include, individual trouble reports, and orders with analysis of Verizon VA and CLEC performance. For cable failures, Verizon VA will provide appropriate documentation detailing all other troubles associated with that cable failure.

## 3. Timeline for Exceptions Process:

The following is an example illustrating the timeline for the Exception Process.

Action	Date
January Performance Reports	February 29 <sup>th</sup>
Verizon Files Exceptions on January Performance	March 15 <sup>th</sup>
CLEC and other interested parties Files Reply to	April 1 <sup>st</sup>
Verizon Exceptions	
Commission Issues Ruling on Exceptions	April 15 <sup>th</sup>
February Performance Reports	March 29th
March Performance Reports	April 29 <sup>th</sup>
Credits Processed for January Performance	By May 1st

# **APPENDIX E**

[Effective Date]

## **Mode of Entry Bill Credit Mechanism**

The following are the steps that will be undertaken to determine whether Bill Credits are due to any CLECs for the MOE categories.

- 1. For each MOE measure with a "parity" standard: Calculate Z or t score or perform permutation test (for small samples). <sup>27</sup>
- 2. Convert Z, t or permutation equivalent score to performance score pursuant to the following table:

Statistical Score	Performance Score
£ -1.645	-2
£ -0.8225 and > -1.645	-1
> -0.8225	$0^{28}$

3. For each MOE measure with an absolute standard: Determine Performance Score using performance range for the applicable measure. For small sample sizes, the small sample size table for

When "no activity occurs" in a metric or when there is insufficient sample size for a metric as specified in Appendix D, the performance measure and its weight will be excluded from performance score. Measures and weights will not be excluded when there is a combination of no CLEC activity on an "Average Delay Day" measure, and activity with 0% performance on the corresponding CLEC "% Missed Appointment" measure (or 100% on a % On-Time measure) in the same report period. The Average Delay Day measure receives a "0" performance score and retains its assigned weight for the month when these combinations occur. The following tables lists the measure combinations:

		Average Delay Day Measures		% Missed Appointment or %Complete On-Time Measures
Resale	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	<ul><li>Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS</li><li>Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - POTS</li></ul>
<u>UNE -</u> <u>Platform</u>	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	<ul> <li>Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform</li> <li>Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - Platform</li> </ul>
UNE-Loop	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	<u>PR-4-04</u>	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New
2 Wire Digital	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	<ul> <li>Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale</li> <li>Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale</li> </ul>
2Wire DSL	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	PR-4-14	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops
Line Share/Split	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split % Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split
Collocation	<u>NP-2-</u> <u>07/8</u>	Average Delay Days - Total	<u>NP-2-</u> <u>05/6</u>	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total

For report rate measures – regardless of  $\underline{\mathbf{Z}}$  or t score – if absolute difference is less than 0.1%, the performance score is a 0.

measures with absolute standards is used. (See Appendix C.)

- 4. If the Aggregate Total Performance Score for a MOE is greater than the minimum value allowable for the applicable MOE (*See* Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A), no bill credits are due to the CLECs that received the particular MOE services in that month. If the value is equal to or less than a minimum value, CLECs will be paid Bill Credits pursuant to the Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A, which will be adjusted to reflect the monthly volumes or units being used by the CLECs.<sup>29</sup>
- 5. The MOE Bill Credit Table reflects (1) the range of the aggregate performance scores from the minimum to maximum, (2) the monthly dollars attributable to each score, (3) the aggregate CLEC monthly volumes for the measure, and (4) the corresponding monthly rate that will be paid to each CLEC if Verizon VA's performance is at that particular level. The individual CLEC's Bill Credit will be determined by multiplying the CLEC's monthly units in service by the applicable rate for the Aggregate MOE score.
- 6. For example, assume the first two steps of the UNE–Platform Bill Credit Table were as follows:

Score	Mon. \$	Mon. Vol.	Mon. Rate
-0.36268	\$1,082,147	100,000	\$10.82
-0.38463	\$1,193,137	100,000	\$11.93

Using the above Credit Table, if the Aggregate MOE score was -0.3700 and a CLEC had 5,000 UNE-Platform lines (at the end of the month), it would entitled to a \$54,100 Bill Credit ( $$10.82 \times 5,000 = $54,100$ ).

\_

The measurement units for UNEs-<u>Platform, UNE-Loop,</u> —and Resale are lines in service. For Interconnection, it is minutes in use. For <u>Collocation, it is collocation cages installed in the month.</u>

#### 8. The Domain Clustering Rule

The Mode of Entry measures are classified into four key domains: Pre-Order, Ordering, Provisioning and Maintenance. To ensure that competition is not negatively influenced by poor performance on measures in any one of these domains, a Domain Clustering Rule has been established under this Plan. The rule, which applies only to the UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs, enables the entire mode of entry performance score to be modified if 75% or more of the total weights for the measures in any of the domains is tripped. For the Pre-Order domain, this percentage is reduced to 66.7%. Under this rule, the lower of the overall MOE score or the Domain score will be used to determine whether any bill credits are due. The domain score will be calculated as follows: First, determine the % of weights tripped, e.g., if a domain contained a number of metrics with a total weight of 80, and 65 of the 80 weights were tripped, the domain percentage would be 81.2%. Since this is greater than 75%, the domain clustering rule will apply. Next, determine the difference between the minimum and maximum performance scores for the MOE, in which the domain appeared. For example, the minimum score for the UNE-Platform MOE is -0.25292 and the maximum score for the UNE- Platform MOE is -0.67000, therefore, the difference is -0.41708. This figure would be multiplied by the 81.2%. This equals -0.33867. This number (-0.33867) would be added to the minimum score and would result in a domain clustering score of -0.59159. If the MOE score were -0.388, the performance score for the MOE would be replaced with the domain clustering score of -0.59159 based on the Domain Clustering Rule.

# **APPENDIX F**

[Effective Date]

## **Critical Measures Performance Scoring**

- A. The following steps would be taken to determine which CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Aggregate Rule, *i.e.*, when aggregate CLEC performance falls below standard for a critical measure.
  - 1. Calculate the total dollars available for Bill Credits per critical measure per month.

An increment table will be developed for each critical measure to determine the Bill Credits available for unsatisfactory performance, *i.e.*, at or less than performance scores of -1. The tables will range from 50% of the maximum monthly amount for -1 performance to 100% of the maximum monthly amount for –2 performance. <sup>30</sup> A sample table appears below for Z and t and performance scores where the maximum monthly amount for the measure is \$140,586.

Table F-1-1
Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures
Measures with Statistical Evaluation Standards

Statistical Score		Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	> -0.8225	0	0%	\$0
≤ -0.8225	> -0.9048	-1	50%	\$70,293
≤ -0.9048	> -0.9870	-1	55%	\$77,322
≤ -0.9870	> -1.0693	-1	60%	\$84,352
≤ -1.0693	> -1.1515	-1	65%	\$91,381
≤ -1.1515	> -1.2338	-1	70%	\$98,410
≤ -1.2338	> -1.3160	-1	75%	\$105,439
≤ -1.3160	> -1.3983	-1	80%	\$112,469
≤ -1.3983	> -1.4805	-1	85%	\$119,498
≤ -1.4805	> -1.5628	-1	90%	\$126,527
≤ -1.5628	> -1.6450	-1	95%	\$133,557
≤ - 1.645		-2	100%	\$140,586

For Hot OT Cut Performance, if either metric is below standard, the entire critical measure is treated as below standard.

Table F-1-2 Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures Measures with 95% Standards <sup>31</sup>

% Perfe	ormance	Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	≥ 95.0	0	0%	\$0
< 95.0	≥ 94.5	-1	50%	\$70,293
< 94.5	≥ 94.0	-1	55%	\$77,322
< 94.0	≥ 93.5	-1	60%	\$84,352
< 93.5	≥ 93.0	-1	65%	\$91,381
< 93.0	≥ 92.5	-1	70%	\$98,410
< 92.5	≥ 92.0	-1	75%	\$105,439
< 92.0	≥ 91.5	-1	80%	\$112,469
< 91.5	≥ 91.0	-1	85%	\$119,498
< 91.0	≥ 90.5	-1	90%	\$126,527
< 90.5	≥ 90.0	-1	95%	\$133,557
< 90.0		-2	100%	\$140,586

# 2. The aggregate performance score would be used to determine the amount of Bill Credits available for CLECs who received unsatisfactory performance.

Pursuant to table F-1-1, \$70,293 would be available if the aggregate Z-score equaled -0.823 and the performance score equaled -1.32

#### 3. Determine which CLECs qualify for the market adjustment.

For measures where the statistical score is used, the cutoff point for qualification is Verizon VA's score on the critical measure +/- one sampling error (based upon the Verizon VA sampling error). Each CLEC's performance is compared to the cutoff point. Performance equal to or less than the cutoff qualifies for Bill Credits. For example, if

For Performance Measures with other % standards, the range of performance will be similarly distributed in 10 even increments.

When calculating a market adjustment for metrics that use absolute standards (generally a 95% standard) all CLECs at the -1 level or less would qualify. The calculation of the dollars is similar to the Zz-score method.

Verizon VA's performance score was .13 and the sampling error was .03, all CLECs with scores equal to or greater than .16 would qualify.

#### 4. Calculate the individual market adjustments for qualified CLECs.

- a. Determine each CLEC's allocated weight. Multiply the CLEC's score on the measure by the volume of its service to be credited.
- b. Determine each CLEC's weighted share. Aggregate the amounts from step "a" and divide each CLECs share by this total to determine each CLEC's weighted share.
- c. Determine each CLEC's dollar share. Multiply the CLEC's weighted share by the total amount available for market adjustment.
- B. The following steps will be taken to determine whether any CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Individual Rule, <u>i.e.</u>, for CLECs who receive a performance score  $\leq$  -1 for two consecutive months<sup>33</sup>:
  - 1. Determine if any CLECs qualify for Bill Credit Adjustment. CLECs qualify for a Bill Credit if they received a final score equal to or less than -.8225 for Z and t scores or equal to or less than -1 for absolute scores on any of the measures included in the critical measurements for the applicable month.
  - 2. Determine each CLEC's Bill Credit Adjustment base. The CLEC's individual Z or t or performance score is used as a starting point to determine the monthly amount available for bill credits to that CLEC.
  - 3. Calculate Bill Credit Adjustment to apply to the CLECs impacted. The monthly dollars available to the CLEC are converted to a rate

8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

For the individual rule, if a CLEC has a performance score of -1 or less in the current month where

Verizon passes a measure at the aggregate level and there is no activity in the previous month to determine
the CLEC's eligibility for payment under the individual rule, VZ will instead look back one additional
month for a performance score of -1 or less for the eligibility determination. If there is not activity in
either of the two previous months, the individual rule will not be triggered.

assuming that 1/3 of the market would receive a Z or t-score of -0.8225 or less or a performance score of -0.8225 or less. This rate is multiplied by the CLEC's qualified volume (0.9, lines in service) to determine the amount to be credited to the CLEC for that critical measure.

# **APPENDIX G**

[Effective Date]

# **APPENDIX H**

[Effective Date]

# **Special Provisions**

#### **UNE Ordering Performance Measures:**

Verizon VA will provide an additional \$1,405,833 in monthly bill credits for UNE Order Confirmation Performance based on four POTS metrics included in the MOE category. If on-time performance falls below 90% for any month, a credit of \$351,458 for each metric missing the standard will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures. Funding for these credits will be taken from funds that are unused in 6 previous months or from the current month. No new funds are available. The metrics and standards are as follows:

Metric #	POTS Electronically Submitted	Threshold
OR-1-04	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – No Facility	< 90%
	Check (Electronic – No Flow Through)	
	<ul> <li>Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified</li> </ul>	
	Complex/LNP	
OR-1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility	< 90%
	Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) –	
	Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified	
	Complex/LNP	
OR-2-04	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject – No	< 90%
	Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-	
	Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-	
	Qualified Complex/LNP	
OR-2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject – Facility	< 90%
	Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) –	
	Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified	
	Complex/LNP	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Any bill credit amounts due for Special Provisions UNE Ordering are to be allocated between UNE-Platform and UNE-Loop in the same proportions as the totals at risk for the two modes in MOE. Then, within each mode, the amounts are to be allocated corresponding to each CLEC's UNE-Platform lines as a proportion of total UNE-Platform lines and each CLEC's UNE-Loops as a proportion of total UNE-Loops.

#### Flow Through:

An additional \$7.03 million per year is available for flow through performance. Two performance measures for UNE from the Carrier to Carrier Performance Guidelines will be used to measure performance with the performance scores set forth below.

Metric #		Threshold
OR-5-01	% Flow Through – Total – UNE	$\geq 80\%^{35}$
OR-5-03	% Flow Through – Achieved – UNE	$\geq 95\%^{36}$

For each measure, the UNE scores will be combined and reviewed on a calendar quarterly basis. If the combined score meets either target, no additional credits are due. If the combined score meets neither metric target for that calendar quarter, then one-fourth (1/4) of the annual amount will be credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs based on the number of lines in service. Lines in service will equal: UNE-Platform and UNE Loops.<sup>37</sup>

While the standard for OR-5-01 is 80%, for the purpose of assessing bill credits under the Virginia PAP, a "ramp-up" period will apply to OR-5-01, with a performance threshold for the assessment of bill credits that increases in equal quarterly increments as follows: 53% for the second calendar quarter of 2002; 62% for the third calendar quarter of 2002; 71% for the fourth calendar quarter of 2002; and, 80% for the first calendar quarter of 2003. During the "ramp-up" period, this performance threshold will be used to determine whether bill credits are due. This performance threshold will apply to the month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and thereafter; Verizon VA is not obligated to provide bill credits for months or quarters prior to the month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective (see Appendix H, Note 3). The 80% standard will apply for the purpose of assessing bill credits under the Virginia PAP commencing with the first calendar quarter of 2003. If the Virginia PAP does not become effective until on or after January 1, 2003, the "ramp-up"

While the standard for OR-5-03 is 95%, for the purpose of assessing bill credits under the Virginia PAP, a "ramp-up" period will apply to OR-5-03, with a performance threshold for the assessment of bill credits that increases in equal quarterly increments as follows: 74% for the second calendar quarter of 2002; 81% for the third calendar quarter of 2002; 88% for the fourth calendar quarter of 2002; and, 95% for the first calendar quarter of 2003. During the "ramp-up" period, this performance threshold will be used to determine whether bill credits are due. This performance threshold will apply to the month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and thereafter; Verizon VA is not obligated to provide bill credits for months or quarters prior to the month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective (see Appendix H, Note 3). The 95% standard will apply for the purpose of assessing bill credits under the Virginia PAP commencing with the first calendar quarter of 2003. If the Virginia PAP does not become effective until on or after January 1, 2003, the "ramp-up" period will not apply.

period will not apply.

37 For the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, bill credits under this section "Flow Through" will be calculated based upon the performance for the calendar month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and the remaining calendar months (if any) in the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective. Any bill credits due for such calendar quarter will be pro-rated based on the duration of the measurement period (i.e., if the measurement is based on one month of performance data, the amount that would be due would be one-third of the full quarterly amount that 8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

The following table demonstrates the calculation of calendar quarterly flow through performance:

### **Quarterly Flow Through Performance:**

			Quarter
Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Total

**Total Orders that Flow Through** 

**UNE** 15000 18000 17000 50000

**Total Orders Processed** 

**UNE** 25000 21000 22000 68000

#### **Total % Flow Through - UNE Combined for Quarter:**

73.5%

**Total Orders Designed to Flow Through that** 

Flow Through

**UNE** 15000 | 18000 | 17000 | 50000

**Total Orders Designed to Flow Through:** 

**UNE** 18000 19000 18000 55000

**Total % Achieved Flow Through – UNE Combined for Quarter:** 

90.9%

In this example, neither metric met the performance threshold, therefore, \$1.76 million would have been credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs.

#### **Additional Hot Cut Loop Performance Measures:**

An additional \$16.87 Million per year is available for Hot Cut Loop performance. This measure will be composed of two performance metrics: PR-9-01 – "% On Time - Hot Cut Loop" and PR-6-02 – "% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot Cut Loop." If either one of these thresholds is missed, additional bill credits will be distributed to the CLECs.

would have been due had Verizon VA's measured performance for that month been Verizon VA's measured performance for a full calendar quarter).

These two measures are also included in the Critical Measurements method, and additional bill credits may be due if Verizon-VA does not satisfy that Critical Measure.

<sup>8/23/02[</sup>Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

This measure has two tiers of performance standards. Tiderwill be applied to a two month scenario, and TierII will be applied to a one month scenario. The Tier I threshold is measured based on two consecutive months of performance, while the Tier II threshold is measured based on an individual month's performance. The performance thresholds are contained in the table below:

Metric #		Tier I	Tier II
		Threshold	
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop <sup>39</sup>	< 90%	< 85%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot	≥ 3.00%	≥ 4.00%
	Cut Loop		

Under Tier I, if Verizon VA does not satisfy the above standards for two consecutive months, it will distribute \$702,917 to the affected CLECs. Under Tier II, if Verizon VA does not satisfy the above standards for a single month, it will distribute \$1,405,833 to the affected CLECs. Below is an example of how this measure would work.

#### Example:

Metric #		Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance
		For Month 1	for Month 2	for Month 3	for Month 4
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop	84%	91%	91%	91%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles	2%	3.5%	2%	3.5%
	Reported within 7 Days – Hot				
	Cut Loop				
	Credit for the Month	\$1,405,833	\$702,917	\$0	\$0

In month 1, Verizon VA did not satisfy the more stringent requirements of Tier II and \$1,405,833 in bill credits would be due.

In month 2, Verizon VA satisfied the performance standard under Tier II, but not the less severe standard under Tier I. Bill credits would be due, however, because Verizon VA failed to meet the Tier I standard two months in a row. (Month 1 counts against Verizon VA.) In month 3 both the Tier I and II standards were met, Verizon VA would owe nothing.

8/23/02[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

<sup>%</sup> On Time – Hot Cut Loop performance will be adjusted such that any missed appointment for customer reasons – due to late FOC will be counted as a miss.

In month 4, the Tier I performance standard was not met, but no bill credits would be due since Tier I requires Verizon VA to fail these performance standards two months in a row. Verizon VA service in month 3 was satisfactory. Month 5 would determine whether bill credits would be due under either Tier I or Tier II.

# **APPENDIX I**

# [Effective Date]

# CHANGE CONTROL ASSURANCE PLAN

# VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.

[Effective Date]

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS	2
III.	MONTHLY REPORTS	3
IV.	REVIEWS, UPDATES AND AUDITS	4
V.	EXCEPTION PROCESS	4
VI.	TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS	4
VII.	FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT	5
	TABLE I-A – Change Control Measures	

#### INTRODUCTION

To ensure that Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon VA"), will execute the Change Control process in an expeditious and non-discriminatory manner, Verizon VA will undertake the actions set forth in this Change Control Assurance Plan (the "CCAP"). A total of \$17.58 million in bill credits will be at risk to CLECs if Verizon VA provides unsatisfactory service for the four measures in this Plan.

#### THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS

The following measures are included in this Plan:

- 1. PO-4-01: % Change Management Notices Sent on Time;
- 2. PO-4-03: Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days;
- 3. PO-6-01: % Software Validation; and
- 4. PO-7-04: Delay Hours Failed/Rejected Test Transactions No Workaround.

Attached hereto as Appendix A is a chart that provides the standards that will be applied to each of the above measures and the total amount of bill credits associated with each standard. If a performance measure is missed according to its standards, bill credits will be paid to all CLECs purchasing Unbundled Network Elements ("UNEs") or resold services. CLECs will receive bill credits on a prorated basis of the total credit determined using Appendix A based on their lines in service. This Plan will use the same mechanisms set forth in the Performance Assurance Plan for determining "lines in service." (See PAP Section II (C)(2))

Under this Change Control Assurance Plan, Verizon VA will retain the right to

withdraw any proposed software release prior to the item being put into final production. If Verizon VA exercises this right, it will not be deemed to have violated the requirements set forth in PO-4-01, PO-4-03, PO-6-01 or PO-7-04 and will not be subject to the payment of bill credits under those measures.

The initial amount of annual bill credits for all CLECs will be \$7.03 million under this Plan. If, however, the bill credits due to the CLECs under this Plan exceed \$7.03 million in any year, <sup>40</sup> an additional amount of \$10.55 million will be at risk from the bill credit amounts allocated to the Mode of Entry Categories in the Performance Assurance Plan. Thus, a total of \$17.58 million will be available for bill credits for the Change Control measures. Bill credit payments for Change Control measures will be given priority over bill credits for the MOE categories.

The Commission will have the authority to reallocate the monthly distribution of bill credits between and among any provisions of the PAP and the CCAP. The Commission will give the Company 15 days notice prior to the beginning of the month in which the reallocation will occur. Any reallocation will be done pursuant to Commission order.

MONTHLY REPORTS

Each month Verizon VA will issue a report on its performance on the above measures to each CLEC providing service in Virginia.<sup>41</sup> The reports will be CLEC specific and will indicate the scores on the measures, the aggregate amount of bill credits, if any, that Verizon VA must provide pursuant to the standards set forth in Table I-A, and the specific amount of bill credits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> The "year" will be measured from the first day that the Virginia PAP <u>first went into effect (October 1, 2002)</u>becomes effective.

that will appear on the individual CLEC's bill. All CLECs with multiple bill accounts must inform Verizon VA as to which of their accounts should receive any bill credits for the Change Control measures.

REVIEWS, UPDATES ANDAUDITS

Annual reviews and updates will occur under this Plan until the Commission determines otherwise. However, Verizon VA and any other interested party, after consulting with Staff, may at any time recommend to the Commission modifications, additions, or deletions to the measures in this Plan or the bill credit allocations. Verizon VAÇLECs and any other interested parties will be given an opportunity to provide comments on any recommendations. In addition, Staff will have the right from time to time, on 60-days notice to Verizon VA, to conduct an audit of data reported in the monthly reports.<sup>2</sup>

**EXCEPTION PROCESS** 

Verizon VA will have the right to file a petition with the Commission seeking to have the standards contained in Tabla-A waived or modified either for future or past periods. The Commission shall grant such a request if it determines that the application of one or more of the standards contained in Table I-A would not serve the public interest. The application of one or more parts of Tablet-A would not serve the public inteest if Verizon VA could not, through any reasonable efforts, prevent results that do not satisfy the standards. Verizon VA's petition must include all information that demonstrates how the measure was missed. It shall also include a recalculation of the measure with the challenged information excluded from the calculations. CLECs and other interested parties will be given an opportunity to respond to any Verizon VA petition for an Exception. In the event the Commission rules in Verizon VA's favor, Verizon VA will have the right to offset any paid bill credits against any future bill credits that may come due for either the Change Control measures or Performance Assurance Plan measures.

TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS

The Change Control Assurance Plan will have the same term as the Performance

Assurance Plan. It will remain in effect, as modified from time to time by the Commission, until the Commission rescinds the Performance Assurance Plan or develops a replacement mechanism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Verizon-VA's performance on the other Change Control metrics will be reported in the monthly C2C reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Unlike the most of the measures in the <u>PAPP.A.P.</u>, the recording of data for each of the measures in this Plan will be done manually.

#### FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT

The terms and provisions of this Plan are submitted in their entirety to the Commission for approval. This Plan represents a fully integrated statement of the commitments Verizon VA will undertake, including the payment of bill credits for unsatisfactory performance under the measures. It is not offered to the Commission for approval on a piecemeal basis.

TABLE I-A
PAGE
1

### **Change Control Performance Assurance Plan Measures**

PO-4-01	% Change Management Notices Sent on Time										
	Performance Range (Notification and	≥ 95%	90 to 94.9%	< 90%							
	Confirmation for Types 3, 4 and 5 only)										
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$175,750	\$351,500							
PO-4-03	Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days	e Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days (Notification and Confirmation for Type 1, 2, 3,									
	and 5)										
	Performance Credit	\$17,575 per day									
PO-6-01	% Software Validation (See Note 1)										
	Performance Range	≤ 5%	5.1 to 10%	> 10%							
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$70,300	\$703,000							
PO-7-04	Delay Hours – Failed/Rejected Test Transaction	ns – No Worka	around (See Note	2)							
	Performance Credit		\$35,150 per da	ıy							
			Per Release								

Note 1: Measured against releases pursuant to Change Notice Types 3, 4 and 5.

Note 2: PO-7-04 applies to failed Test Deck items executed by Verizon VA in PO-6-01 and applies until all errors reported in PO-6-01 are fixed.

# Verizon Virginia Performance Assurance Plan Report

**UNE** Platform

<Month>

РО	Pre-Ordering	Perfor VZ	mance CLEC	Observ	ations CLEC			Diff.	Perf. Score	\\/at	Wgtd. Score
	Customer Service Record - EDI	٧٧	CLLC		CLLC			DIII.	00010	wyt.	00010
	Address Validation -EDI										
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI										
	Customer Service Record - CORBA										
	Address Validation - CORBA										
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA						ļ				
	Customer Service Record - Web GUI										
	Address Validation - Web GUI										
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI						ļ				
OR	Ordering										
OR-1-02-3143	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - Platform - 2hrs										
	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Platform										
	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent										
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day										
	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day										
	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS										
	% Accuracy - LSRC - Platform										
	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - Platform										
	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - Platform										
	% OT LSR Rej No Facility Check - Platform										
	% OT LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check - Platform										
PR	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC						
PR-3-01-3140	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 Lines - No Disp) - Platform										
	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - Platform										
	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform										
	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS										
	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Platform										
	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Platform										
PR-6-01-3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Platform										
	·		mance	Observ		VZ Std	Sampling		Perf.		Wgtd.
MR	Maintenance & Repair	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	Deviation	Error	Diff.	Score	Wgt.	Score
	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble								$\vdash$		
MR-1-06-2000	Avg. Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)										
MR-3-01-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Platform - Bus										
	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Platform - Bus										
	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Bus										
	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Bus										
	% Out of Service >4 Hours - Platform - Bus										
MR-4-07-3144	% Out of Service >12 Hours - Platform - Bus										
MR-4-08-3144	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Platform - Bus										
MR-3-01-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop -Platform - Res										
	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Platform - Res										
	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Res										
	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Res										
	% Out of Service >4 Hours - Platform - Res										
	% Out of Service >12 Hours - Platform - Res										
	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Platform - Res										
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Platform										
BI	Billing	ı	1								
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days										
	"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development "SS" - Sm	all Sam	ple					Totals			

# Verizon Virginia

## **UNE LOOP**

### <Month>

## **Performance Assurance Plan Report**

	Don Controller		rmance	Observ					Perf.		Wgtd.
РО	Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC		CLEC			Diff.	Score	Wgt.	Score
	Customer Service Record - EDI										
	Address Validation -EDI										
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI										
	Customer Service Record - CORBA										
	Address Validation - CORBA										
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA										
	Customer Service Record - Web GUI										
	Address Validation - Web GUI			,							
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI										
OR	Ordering										
	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Loop/Pre-Qual - 2hrs										
	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thru - Loop/Pre-Qual			,							
	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent			.							
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day										
	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day			,							
OR-5-03-3331	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS										
OR-6-03-3331	% Accuracy - LSRC - Loop										
OR-1-04-3331	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - Loop/LNP										
OR-1-06-3331	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - Loop/LNP										
OR-2-04-3331	% OT LSR Rej - No Facility Check - Loop/LNP										
OR-2-06-3331	% OT LSR/ASR Rej - Facility Check - Loop/LNP										
PR	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	VZ Std Deviation	Sampling Error	Stat. Score			
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS										
PR-4-04-3113	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New										
PR-5-01-3112	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Loop										
PR-5-02-3112	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Loop										
PR-6-01-3112	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Loop										
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut										
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut										
MR	Maintenance & Repair			•			•	Diff.		-	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble										
MD 0 04 0550	0/ Ni 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15						5	Stat. Scor	е		
	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Loop										
	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Loop										
	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Loop										
	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Loop										
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Loop										
	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Loop										
MR-4-03-3550	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Loop										
	"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development "SS"	- Smal	l Sample	•				Totals			

# **RESALE**

#### <Month>

# Verizon Virginia Performance Assurance Plan Report

PO	Pre-Ordering	VZ VZ	mance CLEC	Observa VZ	tions CLEC			Diff.	Score	Wgt.	score
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI										
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation -EDI					Ï					
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI					Ï					
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI										
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI										
PO-2-02-6080	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI					Ï					
OR	Ordering										
OR-1-02-2320	% On Time LSRC -Flow Thru -POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex -21	nrs									
OR-2-02-2320	% On Time LSR Rej - Flow Thru - POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex										
OR-4-11-2000	% Completed Orders with neither a PCN or BCN Sent										
OR-4-16-2000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day										
OR-4-17-2000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day										
OR-5-03-2000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS										
OR-6-03-2000	% Accuracy - LSRC										
OR-1-04-2100	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx										
OR-1-06-2320	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx										
OR-2-04-2320	% OT LSR Rej - No Facility Check - POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx										
OR-2-06-2320	% OT LSR/ASR Rej - Facility Check - POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx					Ï					
PR	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	VZ Std Deviation	Sampling Error	Stat. Score			
PR-3-01-2100	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines - No Disp) - POTS Total										
PR-4-05-2100	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - POTS										
PR-4-04-2100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS										
PR-4-02-2100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS										
PR-5-01-2100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS										
PR-5-02-2100	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS										
PR-6-01-2100	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS										
MR	Maintenance & Repair							Diff.			
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble										
MR-1-06-2000	Average Response Time - Test Touble (POTS only)										
MD 2 01 2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.			1				Stat Score	<del>)</del>		
	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Bus.										
	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Bus.										
	Mean Time To Repair - CO Trouble - Bus.										
	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus										
	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus.										
	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Bus.										
	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.										
	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Res.										
	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Res.										
	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Res.										
	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Res.										
	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Res.			1							
	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Res.										
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS		1	1							
BI	Billing										
	% DUF in 4 Business Days		1								
DI 1 02-2000	"NA" - no activity "LID" - under development "SS" - Small	Sample						Totala			

# Verizon Virginia Performance Assurance Plan Report

DSL <Month>

		Perfro	mance	Observ	/ations			Perf.		Wgtd
PO	Pre-Ordering	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC		Diff.	Score	Wgt	Score
	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI									
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI  Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA									
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA									
PO-1-06-6050	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI									
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI									
PO-8-01-2000	% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification									
PO-8-02-2000	) % On Time - Engineering Record Request									
OR	Ordering									
	% On Time LSRC - No Facility Check - 2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - 2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	% On Time LSR Rej - No Facility Check - 2W Digital -UNE/Resale % OT LSR/ASR Rej - Facility Check - 2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	2 % On Time LSRC - No Facility Check - 2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - 2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % OT LSR Rej - No Facility Check - 2W xDSL Loops								•	
	2 % On Time LSR/ASR Rej - Facility Check - 2W xDSL Loops									
	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - Line Share/Split									
	0 % On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - Line Share/Split		$\vdash$							
	0 % OT LSR Rej - No Facility Check - Line Share/Split		$\vdash$							
	0 % OT LSR/ASR Rej - Facility Check - Line Share/Split 0 % Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent									
	) % On Time PCN - 1 Business Day		$\vdash$							
	0 % On Time BCN - 2 Business Day									
PR	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	S	tat Score			
PR-4-02-1341	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
PR-4-04-1341	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	% Install. Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	Open Orders In Hold Status >30 Days -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	2 % Comp w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot -2W xDSL Loops 2 Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops									
	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops									
PR-8-01-3342	2 Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -2W xDSL Loops									
	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines) No Disp -Line Share/Split									
	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines) No Disp -Line Share/Split									
	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split									
	Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split     Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split									
	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split									
	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -Line Share/Split									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC			Perf.	10/400	Wtgd
MR	Maintenance & Repair	٧Z	CLEC	٧Z	CLEC	ı	Diff.	Score	Wtg	Score
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble									
MR-3-01-1341	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	1 % Missed Repair Appt -CO -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
MR-4-03-1341	Mean Time To Repair -CO Trouble -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale									
	1 % Out of Service >12 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	<u> </u>	<b>-</b>							
	I % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2w Digital -UNE/Resale		<del>                                     </del>							
	2 % Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops		<del>                                     </del>							
	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W xDSL Loops									
	Mean Time To Repair -CO -2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % Out of Service >12 Hours -2W xDSL Loops									
	2 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops									
	0% Missed Repair Appointment -Loop -Line Share/Split									
	0 % Missed Repair Appointment -CO -Line Share/Split 0 Mean Time To Repair -Loop -Line Share/Split	<u> </u>	$\vdash$							
	) Mean Time To Repair -Loop -Line Share/Split		<del>                                     </del>							
	O'M Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split									
	0 % Out of Service >12 Hours -Line Share/Split									
	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split									
	"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development "SS" - Small Sar	mple					Totals			
	on 1 norformance access are subject to adjustment based on the	•								

# Verizon Virginia Performance Assurance Plan Report

TRUNKS < Month>

		Perfo	rmance	Obser	vations				Perf.		Wgtd.
OR	Ordering		CLEC	VZ	CLEC				Score	Wgt.	Score
OR-1-12-5020	% OT Firm Order Confirmations (<=192 Forecasted Trunk	ks)									
OR-1-13-5020	% On Time Design Layout Record										
OR-1-19-5020	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<	=192)									
OR-2-12-5000	% On TimeTrunk ASR Reject					VZ					
PR	Provisioning	VZ	CLEC	VZ	CLEC	Standard Deviation	Sample Error	Stat. Score			
PR-4-07-3540	% On Time Performance - LNP only										
PR-4-15-5000	% On Time Provisioning - Trunks										
PR-5-01-5000	% Missed Appointment - Facilities										
PR-5-02-5000	% Orders Held for Facilities >15 Days										
PR-6-01-5000	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days										
PR-8-01-5000	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days										
MR	Maintenance & Repair										
MR-4-01-5000	Mean Time to Repair - Total										
MR-4-05-5000	% Out of Service >2 Hours										
MR-4-06-5000	% Out of Service >4 Hours										
MR-4-07-5000	% Out of Service >12 Hours										
MR-4-08-5000	% Out of Service >24 Hours										
MR-5-01-5000	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days										
NP	Network Performance		·			·					
NP-1-03-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months										
NP-1-04-5000	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months										
	"NA" - no activity "UD" - under development "SS" -	- Small S	Sample				•	Totals			

ĺ	Verizon Virg	ginia							<mont< th=""><th>th&gt;</th></mont<>	th>
	CRITICAL MEA	SURES	UNE- Platform	UNE- Loop	Resale	DSL	Trunks	Specials	Resolution	Total
	PRE-OF	RDERING								
1	PO-1-06 Mechanized Loop Qualification PO-1-06 Mechanized Loop Qualification PO-1-06 Mechanized Loop Qualification PO-2-02 OSS Interface Availability - P PO-2-02 OSS Interface Availability - P	on - CORBA on - Web GUI Prime - EDI Prime - CORBA								
	PO-2-02 OSS Interface Availability - P									
2		ERING			1			ı		
	W On Time Ordering OR-1-02   On Time LSRC -Flow Thru OR-1-04   %OT LSRC - No Facility Che OR-1-04   %OT LSRC - No Facility Che OR-1-04   %OT LSRC - No Facility Che OR-1-12   % On Time FOC OR-1-13   % On Time Design Layout R OR-1-19   % OT RespReq. for Inbour OR-2-04   %OT LSR Rej - No Facility Che OR-2-04   %OT LSR Rej - No Facility Che OR-2-04   %OT LSR Rej - No Facility Che OR-1-04   %OT LSR C- No Facility Che OR-1-06   %OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Che OR-2-04   %OT LSR Rej - No	ough seck - 2Wdig-UNE/RsI seck - 2W xDSL Loops seck - Ln Share/Split secord dd Aug. (<=192) check - 2Wdig-UNE/RsI check - 2W xDSL Loops check - Ln Share/Split y seck - All SpcIs-UNE/RsI check - All SpcIs-UNE/RsI check - All SpcIs-UNE/RsI check - UNE/RsI								
	OR-2-06 %OT LSR/ASR Rej - Facility									
		SIONING	,						-	
3	Installation Performa PR-3-01 PR-4-02 Average Delay Days - Total PR-4-02 Average Delay Days - Total PR-4-02 Average Delay Days - Total PR-4-02 Average Delay Days - Total PR-4-04 Research Resea	nes No Disp.)  - 2W Digital - 2W xDSL Loop Line Share/Split ppatch Digital UNE/Resale - Share/Split Dispatch V Digital - UNE/Resale - Share/Split xDSL Loops Line Share/Split xDSL Loops - Ungital Loop - UNE/Resale - 2W xDSL Loops - Ungital Loop - UNE/Resale - 2W xDSL Loops - Une/Resale DSJ - UNE/Resale DSJ - UNE/Resale Other - UNE/Resale - UNE/Resale - UNE/Resale - UNE/Resale - UNE/Resale - 15 days - UNE/Resale								

	Verizon Virginia							<mon< th=""><th>th&gt;</th></mon<>	th>
	CRITICAL MEASURES	UNE- Platform	UNE- Loop	Resale	DSL	Trunks	Specials	Resolution	Total
4	PR-4-07 % On Time Performance - LNP								
5	Hot Cut Performance								
	PR-6-02 % Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut								
	PR-9-01 % On Time Performance - Hot Cut								
	MAINTENANCE								
6	Maintenace Performance								
	MR-3-01 % Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.								
	MR-3-01 % Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res. MR-3-01 % Missed Repair Appointments - Loop								
	MR-3-01   % Missed Repr Appt -Loop-2W Digtl-UNE/Resale								
	MR-3-01 % Missed Repr Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops								
	MR-3-01 % Missed Repair Appoint -Loop -Line Share/Split								
	MR-4-04 % Cleared(all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W Dig-UNE/Resale MR-4-04 % Cleared (all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W xDSL Loops								
	MR-4-04  % Cleared (all trois) w/in 24 rrs-2W xDSL Loops MR-4-04  % Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split								
	MR-4-08 % Out of Service >24Hrs Bus.								
	MR-4-08 % Out of Service >24Hrs Res.								
	MR-4-08 % Out of Service >24Hrs Total								
	MR-5-01 % Repeat Reports within 30 Days MR-5-01 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days-2w Digital-UNE/Resale								
	MR-5-01 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops								
	MR-5-01 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split								
	MR-4-01 Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale								
	MR-4-01   Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale   MR-4-06   % Out of Service>4 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale								
	MR-4-08  %Out of Service>4 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale								
	MR-4-06 % Out of Service > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale								
	MR-4-08 % Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale								
	MR-5-01 % Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -Specials -UNE/Resale								
	NETWORK PERFORMANCE	1				1	1		
7	NP-1-04 Final Trunk Groups Blocked								
8	Collocation								
	NP-2-01/2 % OT Response to Request for Collocation - Total								
	NP-2-05/6 % On Time - Physical Collocation - Total								
	NP-2-07/8 Average Delay Days - Total								
<u> </u>	RESOLUTION PROCESS	1						<u> </u>	
9	Resolution Process								
	OR-10-01 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days OR-10-02 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days								
	BI-3-04								
	BI-3-05   %CLEC Billing Claims Rslvd w/in 28 Cal. Days after Ack.								
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
	Month Total								

Total

Performance Report for Critical Measure # 8 - Collocation									
		CLEC	CLEC	Perf.					
NP	Network Performance	Perf.	Obs.	Score	e Wgt.				
NP-2-01/2	% OT Response to Request for Collocation - Total								
NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total								
NP-2-07/8	Average Delay Days - Total			]					

Performance Report for	or Critical Measure # 9	9 - Resolution Performance

		CLEC		CLEC		Pert.	
	Resolution Timeliness	Perf.		Obs.		Score	Wgt.
OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days						
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days						
BI-3-04	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days						
BI-3-05	% CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Ack.						
			<u> </u>	·	•		

## **Performance Report for Critical Measures - Specials**

OR	Ordering	Perf.	Obs.	Pert. Score	wgt.
OR-1-06-120 OR-2-04-120	0 % OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale 0 % OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale 0 % OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT) -UNE/Resale 0 % OT LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) -UNE/Resale				-

PR	Provisioning	VZ		VZ	Std Dev.	Sample Error	Stat. Score	
PR-4-01-1210	) % Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO -UNE/Resale							
PR-4-01-121	1 % Missed Appointmment -VZ -DS1 -UNE/Resale							1
PR-4-01-121;	3 % Missed Appointmment -VZ -DS3 -UNE/Resale							1
PR-4-01-1200	0 % Missed Appointmment -VZ -Other -UNE/Resale							
PR-4-02-1200	O Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale							1
PR-5-01-1200	0 % Missed Appointment - Facilities -UNE/Resale							1
PR-5-02-1200	) % Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale							
PR-6-01-120	0 % Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale							1
PR-8-01-1200	Open Orders in a Hold Status > 30 Days -UNE/Resale							1
PR-4-01-3510	0 % Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL							
PR-4-02-3510	O Average Delay Days - Total - EEL							1
PR-8-01-3510	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -EEL							1
PR-4-01-3530	) % Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - IOF							
PR-4-02-3530	O Average Delay Days - IOF		•	•				
PR-8-01-3530	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -IOF	•	-	•				

PR-8-01-3530 Open Orde	ers in a moid Status >30 Days -IOF				1	
MR Maintena	ance & Repair					
MR-4-01-1216 Mean Time	to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale					
MR-4-01-1217 Mean Time	to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale					
MR-4-06-1216 % Out of S	ervice > 4 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale					ĺ
MR-4-08-1216 % Out of S	ervice > 24 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale					ĺ
MR-4-06-1217 % Out of S	ervice > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale					ĺ
MR-4-08-1217 % Out of S	ervice > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale					ĺ
MR-5-01-1200 % Repeat I	Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale					

"SS" - Small Sample

"UD" - under development

"NA" - no activity

### **Special Provision - UNE Ordering**

<Month>

		% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.
OR-1-04	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - POTS			
				-
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - POTS			
OR-2-04	% OT LSR Rej No Facility Check - POTS			
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check - POTS			

#### Total Market Adj\*

UNE Platform allocation

UNE Loop allocation

## **Special Provision - UNE Flow Through**

OR-5-01-3000	% Flow Through - Total - POTS & Specials			OR-5-03-3143	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS		
<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations Gross #	Flow-thru	<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations Gross #	Flow-thru
<prior prior=""> <prior> <current></current></prior></prior>		<u></u>		<prior prior=""> <prior> <current></current></prior></prior>			
Overall				Overal	I		

#### Market Adjustment \*

\* For allocation, any Flow Though market adjustment is combined with the MOE UNE market adjustment allocation.

UNE Platform allocation

UNE Loop allocation

### Special Provision - Hot Cut - Loop Performance

		% On Time Current		% On Time	
		Mo.	Observations	Prior Month	Observations
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut				
				%Troubles	
		%Troubles		Prior Month	
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut				

Greater of - Tier I (2 mo) or Tier II (1mo) Total

Market Adjustment \*

<sup>\*</sup> For allocation, any UNE Ordering market adjustment is combined with the MOE UNE market adjustment allocation.

<sup>\*</sup> For allocation purposes, any Hot Cut market adfustment is combined with the Critical measure market adfustment allocation.

#### **Special Provision - UNE Ordering**

<Month>

		% On Time	Observations	Market Adj.
OR-1-04	% OT LSRC - No Facility Check - POTS			
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check - POTS			
OR-2-04	% OT LSR Rej No Facility Check - POTS			
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Rej Facility Check - POTS			

#### Total Market Adj\*

UNE Platform allocation

UNE Loop allocation

#### **Special Provision - UNE Flow Through**

OR-5-01-3000	% Flow Thre	ough - Total - POTS 8	& Specials	OR-5-03-3143	% Flow Through	gh - Achieved - POT	S
<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations Gross #	Flow-thru	<u>Month</u>	<u>%</u>	Observations Gross #	Flow-thru
<prior prior=""> <prior> <current></current></prior></prior>		<u>5.033 n</u>	<u>. 10 W u.n.u.</u>	<prior prior=""> <prior> <current></current></prior></prior>		<u>3.333                                 </u>	<u></u>
Overall				Overal	II		

#### Market Adjustment \*

\* For allocation, any Flow Though market adjustment is combined with the MOE UNE market adjustment allocation.

UNE Platform allocation

UNE Loop allocation

#### Special Provision - Hot Cut - Loop Performance

		% On Time Current Mo.	Observations	% On Time Prior Month	Observations
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut				
				%Troubles	
		%Troubles		<b>Prior Month</b>	
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut				

Greater of - Tier I (2 mo) or Tier II (1mo) Total

Market Adjustment \*

<sup>\*</sup> For allocation, any UNE Ordering market adjustment is combined with the MOE UNE market adjustment allocation.

<sup>\*</sup> For allocation purposes, any Hot Cut market adfustment is combined with the Critical measure market adfustment allocation.

### Verizon Virginia

## **Change Control Assurance Plan**

<Month>

% On Time Observations Mrkt Adj.

PO-4-01 % Change Management Notices sent on Time (type 3,4,5)

PO-4-03 Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days (type 1-5)

% Test Deck Wgt. Failure Wgt.

PO-6-01 % Software Validation

\* Cumlative number of delay hours greater than 48 hour standard Delay Hours\* Observations

PO-7-04 Delay Hours - Failed/Rejected Test Deck Transactions
Transactions failed, no workaround

Total Market Adjustment	
UNE Platform allocation	
UNE Loop allocation	
Resale allocation	
DSL allocation	

# **Verizon Virginia**

#### **PAP/CCAP Market Adjustment Summary**

<Month>

Weighted Market Score Adjustment

#### MODE OF ENTRY

Unbundled Network Elements - Platform Unbundled Network Elements - Loop

Resale

**Digital Subscriber Lines** 

**Trunks** 

**Mode of Entry Total** 

#### # CRITICAL MEASURES

- 1 OSS Interface
- 2 % On Time Ordering Notification
- 3 Installation Performance
- 4 % On Time Performance LNP
- 5 Hot Cut Performance
- 6 Maintenace Performance
- 7 Final Trunk Groups Blocked
- 8 Collocation
- 9 Resolution Processes

#### **Critical Measure Total**

**Individual Rule Payments:** 

Not Shown (needs two months of data)

#### **SPECIAL PROVISIONS**

**UNE Ordering** 

**UNE Flow Through** 

**UNE Hot Cut Loop** 

**Special Provision Total** 

#### CHANGE CONTROL

#### **Grand Total**

Under the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

# PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.

[Insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect (First day of the second calendar month after the month in which the Commission approves the revised VA PAP)]

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAG	ŀΕ
I.	INT	RODUCTION1	-
	<b>A.</b>	The Virginia PAP1	-
		1. Measures and Standards1	
		2. Methodology2	,
		3. Dollars at Risk4	ļ
		4. Accurate Reporting of Data6	
II.	PRC	OVISIONS OF THE PLAN1	
	A.	Measures, Methods of Analysis and Standards1	
		1. Measures1	
		2. Methods of Analysis1	-
		3. Standards3	,
	В.	Distribution Of The MOE and Critical Measures Credits	<b>,</b>
		1. Distribution of Bill Credits3	,
		2. Reallocation of Potential Bill Credits3	<u> </u>
	C.	MOE Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations4	ļ
		1. Scoring4	ļ
		2. Bill Credit Calculations5	,
		3. The Domain Clustering Rule7	,
	D.	Critical Measures Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations8	, )
		1. Scoring8	, )
		2. Bill Credit Calculations8	; •
	E.	Special Provisions9	)

	1. Flow Inrough Measures For UNES10
	2. UNE Ordering Performance10
	3. Additional Hot Cut Performance Measures11
F.	The Change Control Assurance Plan12
G.	Monthly Reports12
н.	Bill Credits Payment14
I.	Term Of Performance Assurance Plan15
J.	Exceptions and Waiver Process15
К.	Annual Review, Updates And Audits18
	1. Annual Review And Updates18
	2. Changes to the New York Plan18
	3. Other Changes to the Virginia PAP26
	4. Annual Audit19
	APPENDICES TO PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN
APPENDIX A	A – MODE OF ENTRY – MEASURES, WEIGHTS, ANNUAL AND MONTHLY DOLLARS AT RISK AND MOE BILL CREDIT TABLES
APPENDIX I	B – CRITICAL MEASURES – MEASURES AND MONTHLY DOLLARS AT RISK
APPENDIX (	C - PERFORMANCE SCORING FOR MEASURES WITH ABSOLUTE STANDARDS
APPENDIX I	D – STATISTICAL METHODOLOGIES AND EXCEPTIONS PROCESS
APPENDIX I	E – MODE OF ENTRY PERFORMANCE SCORING AND BILL CREDIT CALCULATION
APPENDIX I	F – CRITICAL MEASURES PERFORMANCE SCORING AND BILL CREDIT CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX (	G – SAMPLE MONTHLY REPORT
APPENDIX I	H – SPECIAL PROVISIONS
APPENDIX 1	I – CHANGE CONTROL ASSURANCE PLAN (CCAP)

#### PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN

INTRODUCTION

The Virginia Performance Assurance Plan ("Virginia PAP") is a self-executing remedy plan that will ensure Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon VA") provides quality wholesale services to competitive carriers after Verizon VA has gained entry into the long distance market pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Virginia PAP is in compliance with orders issued by the Virginia State Corporation Commission ("Commission"). The Change Control Assurance Plan ("CCAP") contained in Appendix I is also in compliance with these orders.

#### A. The Virginia PAP

The Virginia PAP has three major components: (1) the metrics used to report performance; (2) the methodology used to determine billing credits, including service segmentation, scoring method, and other rules described in the plan document; and (3) the dollars at risk. Each of these components is summarized below and is discussed in more detail in the following sections and Appendices.

#### 1. Measures and Standards

The Commission has adopted the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports" ("C2C") for evaluating Verizon VA's wholesale performance. The C2C measures include hundreds of individual data points that track and report on performance. Some metrics are compared with analogous Verizon retail services to ensure parity of service and others, where no retail analog exists, are reviewed on the basis of absolute standards. As in New York, where the C2C measures and standards were incorporated into the PAP, the Virginia PAP incorporates the same C2C measures and standards.

#### 2. Methodology

#### (a) Service Segmentation

The Virginia PAP includes three service segmentations: Mode of Entry ("MOE"), Critical Measures, and Special Provisions.

The MOE segment measures the overall level of service on an industry-wide basis for each method or mode by which carriers can enter the local exchange market under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, *i.e.* Resale, Unbundled Network Elements – Platform ("UNE-Platform"), Unbundled Network Elements – Loop ("UNE-Loop"), Interconnection ("Trunks") and Digital Subscriber Line ("DSL"). Any bill credits generated in any one of these modes are allocated to competitors purchasing those types of services. The MOE component of the Virginia PAP is fully described in Section II.C. and in Appendices A and E.

The Critical Measures component measures performance in critical areas that have been identified as most important to the provision of quality service. These measures are a subset of the measures included in the MOE segment measurements for Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Trunks and DSL, and include additional measures for Collocation, Specials, and Resolution Process. Additional bill credits will be provided for performance on these measures that fail to meet the standards. This segment provides a mechanism to assure that carriers are receiving non-discriminatory service on an individual basis. The complete list of Critical Measures is enumerated in Appendix B and scoring/credit calculations are in Appendix F.

The Special Provisions segment focuses on a number of measures that are viewed as measuring key aspects of Verizon VA's performance. This segment establishes targets that Verizon VA must achieve for flow-through, order processing, hot-cuts, Local Service Request confirmations, and reject notices. Verizon VA will provide bill credits to those carriers who

received service below target levels. The Special Provisions measures are described in Section II.E. and Appendix H.

#### (b) Change Control Assurance

Verizon is also subject to a separate Change Control Assurance Plan ("CCAP"). Change Control is designed to measure Verizon's performance in implementing revisions to OSS interfaces and business rules that affect CLECs. The Change Control process is common to carriers operating in Virginia and New York. Under the Change Control Assurance Plan, \$7.03 million in bill credits will be available to all CLECs in Virginia for unsatisfactory performance on four Change Control metrics. Change Control credits are described in Section II. B.2.

#### (c) Statistical Test

The Virginia PAP uses statistical methodologies as one means to determine if "parity" exists between Verizon VA's wholesale and retail performance. The statistical methodology is described in Appendix D.

#### (d) Scoring

Each of the measures within the MOE segment is graded with a 0, -1, or -2 based on the statistical analysis and the magnitude of its Z-statistic for the month. The performance score for each metric is then weighted. These weights were developed to reflect the importance of that metric in determining that markets are open to competition. Critical Measures performance is scored against sliding scales based on the statistical score and the magnitude of the difference between wholesale service and the applicable standards. Special Provisions are scored against absolute standards of performance. Each of the scoring, weighting, and credit distribution processes is contained in the Appendices.

#### (e) Self-executing aspects

Verizon VA will report its performance on the Virginia PAP on a monthly basis. Within 30 days of the close of the second month after the month in which performance is being reviewed, PAP credits will be processed for each CLEC.

As used in this paragraph and Footnote 1, the term "Agreement" means and includes an agreement under 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252, any other agreement for interconnection, network elements, or services, and an amendment to any of the foregoing agreements. With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, if the Virginia PAP and the Agreement both grant a carrier bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, Verizon VA shall receive a credit against the amount due to the carrier under the Virginia PAP as a result of Verizon VA's acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to the carrier under the Agreement as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to

The Virginia PAP first went into effect October 1, 2002. This revised version of the Virginia PAP dated [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect] will go into effect [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect].

With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, the Commission has elected not to address at the time the Virginia PAP is initially being adopted, the questions of whether such an Agreement should include provisions that grant the CLEC service quality, warranty or performance related bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA, and, if such provisions are to be included, what the provisions should be. These questions may be raised by Verizon VA or CLECs at a later time in the Commission's Virginia PAP proceeding. These questions may also be raised by Verizon VA or CLECs in the arbitration of Agreements, or in other appropriate proceedings.

#### 3. Dollars at Risk

The structure of the Virginia PAP includes three credit categories: Mode of Entry, Critical Measures, and Special Provisions. Each category has a Virginia-specific credit schedule and cap which are presented in greater detail in the Appendices. The Virginia PAP contains a maximum dollar amount at risk. The total cap for Verizon VA is \$205.96 million which is made up of a Virginia PAP cap of \$198.93 million and a CCAP cap of \$7.03 million. The distribution of dollars is as follows:

	Dollars at Risk (millions)
Mode of Entry	\$52.72
Doubling of MOE	\$52.72
Critical Measures	\$69.59
Special Provisions	
Flow Through	\$7.03
Hot Cut Performance	\$16.87
PAP Total	\$198.93
CCAP	\$7.03
Verizon Total	\$205.96

Conditions for doubling of the MOE dollars at risk are explained fully in Section II.C.2. In addition, there is an additional category for Special Provisions associated with ordering that provides for an additional \$16.87 million, paid from the MOE dollars at risk, if Verizon VA does not meet service standards and has not reached the cap level for MOE. If Verizon VA's performance results in payments that reach the overall monetary cap, the Commission, at its discretion, may open a proceeding to resolve the underlying service problem. The Commission retains the discretion to investigate extraordinary wholesale service performance issues and to take appropriate corrective action.

#### 4. Accurate Reporting of Data

The validation of Verizon VA's performance reporting was included as part of the independent, third-party OSS testing conducted by KPMG. Going forward, the Virginia PAP reporting of results will be subject to an annual audit. The first audit will begin 6 months after long distance entry.

#### L. Measures, Methods of Analysis and Standards

#### 1. Measures

The measures and standards in the Virginia PAP have been taken directly from the current version of the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports (the "Guidelines"), which were initially developed in Commission Case No. PUC-2001-00206 and cover the areas of Pre-order, Ordering, Provisioning, Maintenance and Repair, Billing and Network Performance "The Commission has adopted the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports" for evaluating Verizon VA's compliance with the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The measures and standards in the Guidelines have been revised by the Commission since their initial adoption, and it is expected that further revisions will be adopted to reflect the needs of the competitive marketplace.

#### 2. Methods of Analysis

Verizon VA will use two interrelated methods to monitor wholesale performance to CLECs on the performance measurements. The first method is designed to measure Verizon VA's overall Section 271 performance in five categories that correspond to the methods or modes CLECs use to enter the local exchange market: Resale; UNE-Platform; UNE-Loop; Trunks; and DSL. This is referred to as the Mode of Entry ("MOE") Measurements method, and a total of \$52.72 million in annual bill credits, with potential for doubling per the provisions in Section II.C.2, will be available to CLECs if Verizon VA provides the maximum allowable unsatisfactory performance in all five MOE categories. (*See* Appendix A.) The MOE measurements provide a mechanism to measure the overall level of Verizon VA's service to the entire CLEC industry in the five areas.

The second method, referred to as the Critical Measures measurements, measures Verizon VA's performance in critical areas, on both a CLEC-specific and a CLEC-aggregate basis. The Critical Measures are also grouped by the five categories used in MOE and, in addition, include measures for Specials, Collocation and the Resolution Process. 44 These measures are a subset of the measures included in the MOE segment measurements for Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Trunks and DSL, and include additional measures for Collocation, Specials and Resolution Process. A total of \$69.59 million in annual bill credits will be available to CLECs if Verizon VA provides the maximum allowable out of parity performance on all Critical Measures. (See Appendix B.) The Critical Measures cover Verizon VA's service in areas critical to the CLECs and provide a mechanism to assure that CLECs on an individual basis are receiving non-discriminatory service.

In addition, this Plan contains a "Special Provisions" segment that focuses on a number of UNE measures that measure key aspects of Verizon VA's performance after it gains entry into the InterLATA long distance market. In order to assure that Verizon VA will provide satisfactory service in these key areas, e.g., flow through and hot cuts, \$23.90 million is made available in addition to the \$122.31 million available under the MOE and Critical Measures for bill credits for measures in MOE and Critical Measures. In addition, \$16.87 million will be available for certain UNE ordering measures, to be paid from the MOE dollars at risk, if Verizon VA does not meet service standards and has not reached the cap level for MOE. (See Section II.E. infra.)

<sup>44</sup> The Resolution Process includes measures for the resolution of PON related-trouble tickets and billing claims.

<sup>[</sup>Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.1

#### 3. Standards

Each measure will be evaluated according to one of two standards. For the measures where a Verizon VA retail analog exists, a "parity" standard will be applied.<sup>45</sup> For those measures where no retail analogs are available, an absolute standard has been specified as a surrogate to determine whether Verizon VA is providing non-discriminatory service to the CLECs. The metrics with absolute standards are displayed in Appendix C.

#### M. Distribution Of The MOE and Critical Measures Credits

#### 1. Distribution of Bill Credits

Annual bill credits totaling \$52.72 million are attributed to the MOE measures and are distributed to each of the MOE categories in amounts that reflect the importance of that MOE to the local exchange competition. These amounts can double to \$105.44 million in annual bill credits. (*See* section II.C.2 below.) Each month one-twelfth (1/12) of the annual amount will be available for bill credits. (*See* Appendix A.) An analogous principle has been applied to the \$69.59 million associated with Critical Measures bill credits. (*See* Appendix B.)

#### 2. Reallocation of Potential Bill Credits

The Commission will have the authority to reallocate the monthly distribution of bill credits between and among any provisions of the Plan and the Change Control Assurance Plan, which is discussed below hereto. The Commission will give the Company 15 days notice prior to the beginning of the month in which the reallocation will occur. Any reallocation will be done pursuant to Commission order.

#### N. MOE Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations

#### 1. Scoring

The measures and standards for the MOE measurements have been placed into five categories: Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Interconnection (Trunks) and DSL. Since the 1996 Act requires that Verizon VA provide interconnection "that is at least equal in quality" to that provided to itself, and "nondiscriminatory access" to unbundled elements, each month Verizon VA will apply statistical tests, which are described in Appendix D, to Verizon VA and CLEC performance data to develop t scores or equivalent permutation or Fisher's Exact Test scores for the measures. <sup>46</sup> These statistical scores will be converted into a performance score for each MOE measure as follows:

Statistical Score	Performance Score
Z <= -1.645	-2
-1.645 < Z <= -0.8225	-1
-0.8225 < Z	0

For small sample sizes of measures with a parity standard, the Permutation Test will be applied to obtain the statistical scores, which will be converted into a performance score. (See Appendix D.) For small sample sizes of measures with absolute standards, a small sample size table will be applied to obtain the performance scores. Measures with absolute standards

The parity measures in the Plan fall into two categories: Measured variables and Counted variables. Measured variables are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair. Counted variables are metrics of proportions such as percent measures.

The statistical methodologies set forth in Appendix D were taken from the New York State Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports in Case 97-C-0139.

will be given a performance score of 0, -1, or -2 depending on the performance for that measure. (See Appendix C.)

Thus, for each of the measures within the five MOE categories, Verizon VA's performance will be graded 0, -1, or -2. Each measure with a performance score of -1 in a given month will be subject to change, depending upon the score for that measure in the next two months. Should Verizon VA maintain a performance score of 0 for the next two months, then the score in the original month will be changed from -1 to 0.<sup>47</sup> The 0 would then be used in conjunction with all of the other metrics in that MOE category to determine an aggregate score. A score of -2 in a given month will not be subject to change based upon performance in subsequent months. The performance score for each metric will then be weighted, based upon the importance of the metric in determining whether that MOE is open to competition. (*See* Appendix A, which lists the weights for the MOE measurements.) The weighted scores will then be aggregated (averaged) by each MOE category (Resale, UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Interconnection and DSL), producing an overall weighted score for each of the five categories.

#### 2. Bill Credit Calculations

If Verizon VA's overall (aggregate) performance score in the five categories falls below a minimum score in any given month, wholesale price reductions in the form of bill credits will be implemented and remain in effect for one month. 48 If an overall score falls to the maximum score or below, the maximum wholesale price reduction will be implemented. Scores between

If there is no activity or insufficient sample for evaluation of a metric in either or both of the two subsequent months, the performance score from the previous month or scores from the previous 2 months will be used in that order to obtain two scores to determine the outcome of the -1 in the month under evaluation. If two scores cannot be obtained from the four months (2 forward and 2 back), the -1 in the month under evaluation will be changed to a 0.

The intent is that the minimum score for each MOE category corresponds to the threshold at which there is a 95% certainty that parity does not exist.

<sup>[</sup>Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

the minimum and maximum scores will also be entitled to credits pursuant to a credit table for each MOE category. Credit Tables with the range of scores between the minimum and maximum and the applicable rates appear in Appendix A. The bill credits payable to the CLECs will be determined each month by dividing the amount from the table in Appendix A by the actual monthly volumes of the CLEC units in service. The measurement units for each of the MOEs is as follows:

- 1. UNE Loop Lines in service at end of month;
- 2. UNE Platform Lines in service at end of month;
- 3. Resale Lines in service at end of month:
- 4. Interconnection (Trunks) Minutes of use in month; and
- 5. DSL Lines in service at end of month. <sup>49</sup>

The maximum scores represent the maximum allowable out of parity condition. The minimum and maximum performance scores and the start point percentages are as follows:

For the purpose of the Plan:

<sup>49</sup> 

<sup>1.3.</sup> Lines in service for UNE – Platform means UNE-Platform lines.

<sup>2.4.</sup> Lines in service for UNE-Loop means UNE 2-Wire analog loops.

<sup>3.</sup> Lines in service for Resale means Resale POTS lines.

<sup>4.</sup> Trunks – minutes of use per month.

<sup>5.</sup> Lines in service for DSL means Resale 2-Wire Digital Services, UNE 2-Wire Digital loops, UNE 2-Wire xDSL loops, UNE line shared loops, and UNE Line Split loops.

	<u>Minimum</u> Market Adj.	<u>Maximum</u> Market Adj.	% Market Adj. at Minimum 50
<b>UNE - Platform</b>	-0.25292	-0.67000	20%
UNE - Loop	-0.24862	-0.67000	20%
Resale	-0.24715	-0.67000	20%
Interconnection	-0.21429	-1.0000	20%
DSL	-0.23024	-0.67000	20%

If an aggregate MOE score is less than one half the difference (*i.e.*, below the midpoint) between the minimum and maximum scores in any one of the five MOE categories for three consecutive months, the amounts in the credit tables in Appendix A for that same three-month period will be doubled for the applicable MOE category. (The midpoints for the MOEs are delineated in Appendix A.) The amounts in Appendix A will remain doubled until such time as Verizon VA achieves a score of one quarter (or greater) the difference between the minimum and maximum scores in that category in any given month. Appendix E provides a detailed step-by-step description of how the MOE performance scores and bill credits will be calculated and distributed to the CLECs.

#### 3. The Domain Clustering Rule

Domain Clustering will provide CLECs with an additional layer of protection under the MOE mechanism. The term Domain refers to four service quality measures, *(i.e.*, Pre-Order, Ordering, Provisioning, and Maintenance and Repair)<sup>51</sup> that are included in the UNE – Platform, UNE-Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs. Under the Domain Clustering Rule, each Domain will be reviewed each month. If 75% or more of the respective Ordering, Provisioning, or Maintenance

The "% Market Adj. At Minimum" indicates the amount of monthly bill credits that will be due to CLECs if Verizon VA trips the minimum score. For example, if Verizon VA were to score -.253 on the UNE – Platform MOE in a month, 20% of the \$2,636,000 monthly amount would be due. (*See* Appendix A.)

and Repair Domain weights are tripped, the higher of the clustering overlay or overall market score will be used to determine the market adjustments for the UNE – Platform, UNE - Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs. The same rule will apply to the Pre-Ordering Domain, except that the clustering overlay would be effective if all Pre-Ordering response time measures failed at the -2 level, in which case 75% would be used in the overlay calculations. The Domain Clustering methodologies are set forth in detail in Appendix E.

#### O. Critical Measures Scoring And Bill Credit Calculations

#### 1. Scoring

Verizon VA's performance in these measurement categories is critical to the CLECs' ability to compete in the Virginia local exchange market. Should Verizon VA performance miss the applicable performance standards for even *one* of these categories, eligible CLECs will be entitled to bill credits. (*See* Appendix B.) The statistical tests and performance scoring mechanism described in the MOE section also apply to these measures.<sup>52</sup>

#### 2. Bill Credit Calculations

For each Critical Measure, Verizon VA's performance for all CLECs during a given month will be averaged. Should the resulting performance score in any one category fall to -1 or below ("sub-standard performance"), 53 50% of the maximum bill credits for that measure will be payable to eligible CLECs. The eligible CLECs are all those CLECs that received Sub-Standard Performance during that month (the "Aggregate Rule"). In addition, should

The domains do not include billing.

To the extent that a Critical Measure contains more than one measure, the weights from Appendix A will be used to determine the amount of bill credits available for the individual measure.

The permutation test will be used to derive Z and t scores for measures with small sample sizes as described in the Guidelines and Appendix D.

any CLEC receive sub-standard performance for two consecutive months, bill credits for that CLEC will be implemented for the two month period, notwithstanding the fact that all CLECs on average may have received satisfactory performance during the two months (the "Individual Rule").<sup>54</sup>

Bill credits will increase by ten incremental amounts for performance scores between -1 and -2, or Z or t scores between -0.8225 and -1.645. The amounts payable to each CLEC will be in direct proportion to the amount of service that CLEC receives from Verizon VA compared to the other CLECs who received sub-standard performance pursuant to the critical measure. For example, under Critical Measure % Repeat Reports within 30 days, the percent of bill credits for an unsatisfactory score would be calculated by determining the number of lines a CLEC had compared to other CLECs that received sub-standard performance. <sup>55</sup> If a score falls to the maximum level, the maximum bill credits will be implemented for the Critical Measure in question.

Appendix F provides a detailed step-by-step description of how the Critical Measures scores and bill credits will be calculated and distributed to the CLECs.

#### P. Special Provisions – UNE Measures

A number of key measures have been identified that measure aspects of Verizon VA's performance on service quality items that are viewed as essential for CLECs to ensure their ability to effectively compete in the local service market. Accordingly, additional funds will be made available for these measures under the subparagraphs described below.

\_

If all CLECs on average received an aggregate score below -1 for both months, the individual CLEC with the below average score would be entitled to bill credits for the Critical Measure in question under the Aggregate Rule. Likewise, if all CLECs on average received an aggregate score below -1 for the first of the two months and an aggregate score above -1 for the second month, the individual CLEC with substandard performance during both months would be entitled to receive bill credits pursuant to the Aggregate Rule for the first month and pursuant to the Individual Rule for the second month. A CLEC is only entitled to receive Bill Credits under the Individual Rule if it receives a score of -1 or less in a Critical Measure category and the CLEC group on average received a score greater than -1 for the Critical Measure.

#### 1. Flow Through Measures For UNEs

Verizon VA will make an additional \$7.03 million available for potential bill credits, which will be paid on a calendar quarterly basis, for the following flow through UNE metrics measured on a cumulative calendar quarterly basis: OR-5-01 "% Flow Through - Total" and OR-5-03 "% Flow Through Achieved." A performance standard of 80% will apply to OR-5-01, and a performance standard of 95% will apply to OR-5-03. If at the end of any calendar quarter Verizon VA has not achieved one of these two performance standards, it will distribute one-quarter of the annual amount available under this subsection in bill credits. The bill credits will be available to all CLECs purchasing UNEs. Any amounts due will be credited based on the CLEC's lines in service. The scoring methodology for this measure is set forth in more detail in Appendix H.

#### 2. UNE Ordering Performance

An additional \$1,405,833 per month, or \$16.87 million annually, will be made available for bill credits for four non-flow through UNE performance measures:

OR-1-04 % On Time LSRC/ASRC – No Facility Check (Electronic – No Flow Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP;

For Collocation – bill credits distribution will be determined by the cages completed during month, *i.e.*, collocation arrangements completed: all arrangements including (a) physical, (b) virtual and (c) other collocation arrangements provided under tariff.

The definition of "% Flow Through Achieved" and the appropriate exclusions for this measure will be as set out in the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports."

For the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, bill credits under this Section II.E.1 will be calculated based upon the performance for the calendar month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and the remaining calendar months (if any) in the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective. Any bill credits due for such calendar quarter will be pro-rated based on the duration of the measurement period (i.e., if the measurement is based on one month of performance data, the amount that would be due would be one-third of the full quarterly amount that would have been due had Verizon VA's measured performance for that month been Verizon VA's measured performance for a full calendar quarter).

Lines in service will equal: UNE-Platform, and UNE Loops.

OR-1-06 % On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check (Electronic - No Flow Through) - Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP;

OR-2-04 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – No Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP; and,

OR-2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-

Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP.

Funding for these additional bill credits will come from any unused MOE funds in a month or the six prior months. \$351,458 in bill credits per metric will be distributed under this section to all CLECs ordering UNEs based on the CLEC's lines in service if performance is less than 90% on the respective measures. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. (*See* Appendix H.)

#### 3. Additional Hot Cut Performance Measures

An additional \$16.87 million for bill credits will be made available for service quality related to two Hot Cut Performance Measures: PR-9-01 "Missed Appointment - % on Time Performance - Hot Cut" and PR-6-02 "Installation Quality - % Installation Troubles Reported Within 7 Days." Bill credits will be paid under this section if either of two events occurs:

- (a) If for any two consecutive months, Verizon VA fails to achieve either 90% on-time performance for Hot Cuts or has greater than a 3.00% rate for installation troubles within 7 days for hot cuts, Verizon VA will distribute \$702,917 in bill credits to the affected CLECs. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. If Verizon VA fails to meet either of these measures in the first month, but meets them in the second month, no bill credits will be due.
- (c) If for any one month, Verizon VA fails to achieve 85% ontime performance for Hot Cuts or scores greater than a 4.00% rate for installation troubles within 7 days for hot cuts, Verizon VA will distribute \$1,405,833 in bill credits to the affected CLECs for that month. These credits will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures, Aggregate Rule. (See Appendix H.)

#### Q. The Change Control Assurance Plan

A total of \$7.03 million will be placed at risk for the Change Control Process for those CLECs operating in Virginia. The credits will be made available using the same methodology used in New York. The Change Control process that is currently in place is common to systems in Virginia and New York. A copy of the currently effective CCAP is attached as Appendix I.

#### **R.** Monthly Reports

In order to ensure that there is timely information regarding Verizon VA's performance, Verizon VA will report its performance on a monthly basis. Each month, a report will be made available to all CLECs providing service in Virginia.

A sample copy of the report appears in Appendix G. The first five pages will provide information regarding the MOE measures and will include:

- 10. Verizon VA actual performance to its retail customers where such measures exist and to CLECs for each metric;
- 11. The number of observations for Verizon VA and the CLECs for each measure (where applicable);
- 12. The Verizon VA standard deviation (where applicable);
- 13. The sampling error (where applicable);
- 14. The appropriate statistical scores (where applicable)<sup>59</sup> or the difference between Verizon VA's and the CLECs' actual performance on the measure (where applicable);
- 15. A performance score for each measure;
- 16. The weight for each measure;

Refer to Appendix D for a discussion of the appropriate statistical tests.

- 17. The weighted performance score; and
- 18. An aggregation of the performance scores, weighted performance scores, and aggregate bill credits, if any, due under each MOE.

The sixth and seventh pages will list the Critical Measures and the bill credits, if any, that are due for these measures on an aggregate CLEC basis. The eighth page will include performance details for Critical Measures for Network Performance, Specials and Resolution Processes. The ninth page will include Special Provisions. The tenth page will include a summary of the CCAP measures and the bill credits due, if any. The eleventh page will provide a summary of the total bill credits, if any, due the CLEC industry. In addition, CLEC specific reports will include bill credit amounts, if any, due to the individual CLEC for the MOE, Critical Measures and Special Provisions.<sup>60</sup> The monthly report will be provided within 29 days of the end of each month.<sup>61</sup>

Verizon VA will continue to provide a separate report on all measures established in the "Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines Performance Standards and Reports," allowing for additions, deletions and other modifications ordered by the Commission. In addition, to the extent allowed by law, Verizon VA will make available CLEC-specific C2C electronic reports enabling those receiving the reports to evaluate performance at greater levels of detail. The C2C reports will be made available to any CLEC requesting the reports.

The computer model that will be used to calculate the MOE and Critical Measures bill credits will be posted on Verizon VA's Wholesale Website.

If the 29<sup>th</sup> day is a weekend or holiday, the monthly reports will be provided by the first subsequent business day.

A two-year statute of limitation on challenges to PAP performance will be adopted and effective July 29, 2003 for the June 2003 performance report. The initiation of this provision is contingent upon Verizon VA providing the algorithms, in a structured format, related to the PAP metrics to the Commission Staff prior to July 29, 2003. Verizon VA will provide notice to CLECs receiving PAP reports that it has satisfied this obligation.

#### S. Bill Credits Payment

As used in this paragraph and Footnote 1, the term "Agreement" means and includes an agreement under 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252, any other agreement for interconnection, network elements, or services, and an amendment to any of the foregoing agreements. With regard to an Agreement that becomes effective on or after April 1, 2002, if the Virginia PAP and the Agreement both grant a carrier bill credits, payments, or other financial benefits, incentives, remedies or penalties, against Verizon VA as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, Verizon VA shall receive a credit against the amount due to the carrier under the Virginia PAP as a result of Verizon VA's acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to the carrier under the Agreement as a direct result of the same Verizon VA acts, omissions, performance, or failure or deficiency in performance, equal to the amount due to

Credit amounts will be applied to an appropriate CLEC bill within 30 days of the close of the second month after the month under review.

If the bill credits exceed the balance due Verizon VA on the CLEC's bill, the net balance will be carried as a credit on to the CLEC's next month's bill.

Verizon VA will issue checks in lieu of outstanding bill credits to CLECs that discontinue taking service from Verizon VA. Verizon VA may, however, exercise ordinary commercial means to ensure that it will not issue such a check prior to receipt of a CLEC's undisputed payments due Verizon VA.

\_

See Footnote 1, above.

#### T. Term Of Performance Assurance Plan

The Plan first went into effect October 1, 2002. This revised version of the Plan dated [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect] will go into effect [insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect]. The Commission will reevaluate the appropriateness of the Plan when Verizon VA eliminates its Section 272 affiliate. Until such time as a replacement mechanism is developed or the Plan is rescinded, the Plan will remain in effect, as it may be modified from time to time by the Commission.

#### **U.** Exceptions and Waiver Process

Recognizing that C2C service quality data may be influenced by factors beyond Verizon VA's control, Verizon VA may file Exception or Waiver petitions with the Commission seeking to have the monthly service quality results modified on three generic grounds. The first involves the potential for "clustering" of data, and the effect that such clustering has on the statistical models used in this Plan. The requirements of the clustering exception are set forth in Appendix D.

The second ground for filing an exception relates to CLEC behavior. If performance for any measure is impacted by unusual CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will bring such behavior to the attention of the CLEC and attempt to resolve the problem. Examples of CLEC behavior which may influence performance results include:

- 6. poor order quality, such as missing codes, incorrect codes or misspelled directory listings;
- 7. actions that cause excessive missed appointments, such as wrong addresses, wrong due dates or offered intervals shorter than the standard interval;

- 8. actions resulting in excessive multiple dispatch and repeat reports, such as incorrect dispatch information or inadequate testing by a CLEC;
- inappropriate coding on orders, such as where extended due dates are desired and are not coded as such;
- 10. delays in rescheduling appointments when Verizon VA has missed an appointment.

If such action negatively influences Verizon VA's performance on any metric, Verizon VA will be permitted to petition for relief. The petition, which will be filed with the Commission and served on the CLEC, will provide appropriate, detailed documentation of the events, and will demonstrate that the CLEC behavior has caused Verizon VA to miss the service quality target. Verizon VA's petition must include all data that demonstrates how the measure was missed. It should also include information that excludes the data affected by the CLEC behavior. CLECs and other interested parties will be given an opportunity to respond to any Verizon VA petition for an Exception. If the Commission determines that the service results were influenced by inappropriate CLEC behavior, the data will be excluded from the monthly reports.

The third ground for filing a waiver relates to situations beyond Verizon VA's control that negatively affect its ability to satisfy only those measures with absolute standards. The performance requirements dictated by absolute standards establish the quality of service under normal operating conditions, and do not necessarily establish the level of performance to be achieved during periods of emergency, catastrophe, natural disaster, severe storms, work stoppage, or other events beyond Verizon VA's control.

Verizon VA may petition the Commission for a waiver of specific performance results for those metrics that have performance targets dictated by absolute standards, if Verizon VA's

performance results do not meet the specific standard. This waiver process shall not be available for those metrics for which Verizon VA's wholesale performance is measured by comparison to retail performance (parity metrics).

Any petition pursuant to this provision must demonstrate clearly and convincingly the extraordinary nature of the circumstances involved, the impact that the circumstances had on Verizon VA's service quality, why Verizon VA's normal, reasonable preparations for difficult situations proved inadequate, and the specific days affected by the event. The petition must also include an analysis of the extent to which the parity metrics (retail and wholesale) were affected by the subject event, and must be filed within 45 days from the end of month in which the event occurred.

The Commission will determine which, if any, of the daily and monthly results should be adjusted in light of the extraordinary event cited, and will have full discretion to consider all available evidence submitted. Insufficient filings may be dismissed for failure to make a *prima* facie showing that relief is justified.

#### V. Annual Review, Updates And Audits

#### 1. Annual Review And Updates

Each year the Commission and Verizon VA will review the Performance Assurance Plan to determine whether any modifications or additions should be made. During this review, the Commission and Verizon VA can determine, among other things, whether: (1) measures and weights should be modified, added or deleted; (2) modifications should be made to the distribution of dollars at risk among the five MOE and Critical Measures categories; (3) geographic deaveraging should be adopted for reporting metric results; (4) the clustering and CLEC behavior exceptions included in Appendix D should be modified; (5) small sample size procedures should be modified; and (6) the methodologies used to calculate the bill credits should be modified. All aspects of the Plan, however, will be subject to review. The annual review process may be initiated no more than six months before the anniversary date of Verizon VA's entry into the long distance market pursuant to Section 271. Any modifications to the Plan will be implemented as soon as is reasonably practical after Commission approval of the modifications.

#### 4. Changes to the New York Plan

Changes to the New York Plan adopted by the New York PSC will be submitted to the Commission by Verizon VA within 10 days of their filing with the New York PSC for consideration by the Commission for inclusion in the Virginia PAP. Verizon VA and all other interested persons shall have an opportunity to submit comments to the Commission on whether

In particular, during the first annual review, the methodology used to calculate amounts due to CLECs under the Individual Rule for bill credits under the Critical Measures category will be analyzed to determine whether the rule provides for an appropriate distribution of bill credits.

the changes to the New York Plan should be included in the Virginia PAP. Changes to the New York Plan will be included in the Virginia PAP only upon the Commission's approval.

#### 5. Other Changes to the Virginia PAP

In addition to changes to the Virginia PAP that may be proposed for consideration by the Commission pursuant to Sections II.K.1 and 2, Verizon VA and any other interested person may at any time submit proposed changes to the Virginia PAP to the Commission for its consideration. Verizon VA and all other interested persons shall have an opportunity to submit comments to the Commission on whether the proposed changes should be included in the Virginia PAP. Changes will be included in the Virginia PAP only upon the Commission's approval.

#### 4. Annual Audit

Each year the Commission will audit Verizon's data and reporting, with the first audit beginning 6 months after Verizon VA enters the Long Distance market in Virginia. The audits shall be performed, at the Commission's discretion, by either the Commission Staff or an independent auditor, selected by the Commission and paid for by Verizon. The first audit will include an examination of data reliability issues. Subsequent audits will include an examination of data reliability issues at the Commission's discretion. For at least the first six months after the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, the Commission Staff will replicate Verizon VA's performance reports to assure that the data in the reports accurately reflects the service quality being provided to the CLECs. The Commission may elect to continue the replication for as long as it deems necessary.

# VERIZON VIRGINIA INC. APPENDIX A

[Effective Date]

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 4. Measures and Weights
- 5. Assignment of Dollars at Risk to MOE Categories on Monthly and Annual Basis
- 6. Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Table

#### **APPENDIX A – MODE OF ENTRY**

#### 2. Measures and Weights

Table A-1-1: Resale

**Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements – Platform** 

Table A-1-3: Unbundled Network Elements - Loop

**Table A-1-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

Table A-1-5: DSL

Note: BOLD indicates Critical Measure

**Table A-1-1: Resale - Mode of Entry Weights** 

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record – EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-02-2320	% On Time LSRC -Flow Thru -POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex -2hrs	10
OR-2-02-2320	% On Time LSR Rej - Flow Thru - POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex	5
OR-4-11-2000	% Completed Orders with neither a PCN or BCN Sent	5
OR-4-16-2000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	5
OR-4-17-2000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	5
OR-5-03-2000	% Flow Through - Achieved – POTS	10
OR-6-03-2000	% Accuracy – LSRC	10
OR-1-04-2100	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No Flow Thru)-POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	5
OR-1-06-2320	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2
OR-2-04-2320	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2
OR-2-06-2320	% OT LSR/ASR Rei -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Oual Cmplx	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-3-01-2100	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines - No Disp) - POTS Total	5
PR-4-05-2100	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	20
PR-4-04-2100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS	10
PR-4-02-2100	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	15
PR-5-01-2100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS	5
PR-5-02-2100	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS	5
PR-6-01-2100	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS	15
MR	Maintenance & Repair	13
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-1-06-2000	Average Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	2
MR-3-01-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.	10
MR-3-02-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Bus.	10
MR-4-02-2110	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Bus.	5
MR-4-03-2110	Mean Time To Repair - CO Trouble - Bus.	5
MR-4-06-2110	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-2110	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-2110	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5
MR-3-01-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.	10
MR-3-02-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Res.	10
MR-4-02-2120	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Res.	5
MR-4-03-2120	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Res.	5
MR-4-06-2120	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS – Res.	5
MR-4-00-2120 MR-4-07-2120	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Res.  % Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Res.	5
MR-4-08-2120	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Res.	5
MR-5-01-2100 BI	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS Billing	10
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days	5
D1-1-02-2030	70 DOF III 4 DUSHICSS Days	J
		1 1507 252
	Total Weights For R	Resale MOE 263

Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements - Platform - Mode of Entry Weights

PO	Pre-Ordering Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record – EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	2
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	2
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	3
OR-1-02-3143	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Platform - 2hrs	10
OR-2-02-3143	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thu - Platform	5
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	5
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	5
OR-4-17-3000 OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	5
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS	5
OR-6-03-3143	% Accuracy - LSRC - Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143	% Actuacy - Lake - Hatomi % OT LSRC -No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143 OR-1-06-3143	% OT LSRC -No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
OR-1-00-3143 OR-2-04-3143	% OT LSR C/ASRC -Facil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	
OR-2-04-3143 OR-2-06-3143	1 7	2
	% OT LSR/ASR RejFacil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
PR 2 01 21 40	Provisioning	_
PR-3-01-3140	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 Lines - No Disp) - Platform	5
PR-4-05-3140	% Missed Appointment- VZ - No Dispatch - Platform	20
PR-4-04-3140	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform	10
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	15
PR-5-01-3140	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Platform	5
PR-5-02-3140	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Platform	5
PR-6-01-3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Platform	10
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-1-06-2000	Avg. Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	2
MR-3-01-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Platform - Bus	10
MR-3-02-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO Platform - Bus	10
MR-4-02-3144	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-03-3144	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-06-3144	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-3144	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Platform - Bus.	5
MR-4-08-3144	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Platform - Bus	5
MR-3-01-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop -Platform - Res	10
MR-3-02-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Platform - Res	10
MR-4-02-3145	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-03-3145	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-06-3145	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform – Res.	5
MR-4-07-3145	% Out of Service > 12 Hours – Platform - Res.	5
MR-4-08-3145	% Out of Service > 24 Hours – Platform - Res	5
MR-5-01-3140	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Platform	10
BI	Billing	
BI-1-02-2030	% DUF in 4 Business Days	5
	Total Weights For UNE Platform MOE	257

Table A-1-3: Unbundled Network Elements – Loop - Mode of Entry Weights

	5. Choundled Network Elements – Loop - Wode of Entry Weights	
<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-01-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI	2
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation -EDI	2
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	2
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	2
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	5
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	2
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-02-3331	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Loop/Pre-Qual - 2hrs	10
OR-2-02-3331	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thu - Loop/Pre-Qual	5
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	2
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	2
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	2
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS	5
OR-6-03-3331	% Accuracy - LSRC - Loop	5
OR-1-04-3331	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	5
OR-1-06-3331	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
OR-2-04-3331	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
OR-2-06-3331	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	5
PR-4-04-3113	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New	20
PR-5-01-3112	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Loop	5
PR-5-02-3112	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Loop	5
PR-6-01-3112	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Loop	10
PR-6-02-3520	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut	15
PR-9-01-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut	
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-3-01-3550	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Loop	10
MR-4-02-3550	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Loop	5
MR-4-07-3550	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Loop	5
MR-4-08-3550	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Loop	5
MR-5-01-3550	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Loop	10
MR-3-02-3550	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Loop	10
MR-4-03-3550	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Loop	5
	· <u>·</u> <u>·</u>	

**Table A-1-4: Interconnection - Mode of Entry Weights** 

irm Order Confirmations (<=192 Forecasted Trunks) ime Design Layout Record ime Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192) me Trunk ASR Reject ining ime Performance - LNP only ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment - Facilities is Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair me to Repair - Total	5 10 5 5 20 20 5 5 10
ime Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192) me Trunk ASR Reject ning ime Performance - LNP only ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment - Facilities s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	5 5 20 20 5 5 10
me Trunk ASR Reject  ning ime Performance - LNP only ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment – Facilities s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	5 20 20 5 5
ining ime Performance - LNP only ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment – Facilities s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	20 20 5 5
ime Performance - LNP only ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment – Facilities s Held for Facilities > 15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status > 30 Days ance & Repair	5 5 10
ime Provisioning Trunks d Appointment – Facilities s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	5 5 10
d Appointment – Facilities s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	5 5 10
s Held for Facilities >15 Days lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	5
lation Troubles w/in 30 Days ders in a Hold Status >30 Days ance & Repair	10
ders in a Hold Status >30 Days  ance & Repair	
ance & Repair	5
ne to Pengir Total	
ne to Kepan – Total	5
Service > 2 Hours	5
Service > 4 Hours	5
Service > 12 Hours	5
> 24 Hours	5
at Reports w/in 30 Days	10
Performance	
l Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months	5
l Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months	10
1	Service > 4 Hours  Service > 12 Hours  > 24 Hours  t Reports w/in 30 Days  Performance  Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months

**Table A-1-5: DSL - Mode of Entry Weights** 

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-06-6020	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI	5
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5
PO-1-06-6030	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA	5
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	2
PO-1-06-6050	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI	5
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	2
PO-8-01-2000	% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification	2
PO-8-02-2000	% On Time - Engineering Record Request	2
OR	Ordering	
OR-1-04	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-04	% On Time LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-1-04-3342	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	5
OR-1-06-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops	5
OR-2-04-3342	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-2-06-3342	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-1-04-3340	% OT LSRC -No Facility Check (E –No FT) -Line Share/Split	5
OR-1-06-3340	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -Line Share/Split	5
OR-2-04-3340	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2
OR-2-06-3340	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	2
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	2
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	2
PR	Provisioning	'
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-6-01	% Install. Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W Digital Loops -UNE/Resale	2
PR-8-01	Open Orders In Hold Status >30 Days -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-3-10-3342	% Comp w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-4-02-3342	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-4-14-3342	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops	10
PR-6-01-3342	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	15
PR-8-01-3342	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	5
PR-3-03	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines) No Disp -Line Share/Split (**benchmark/parity)	10
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	10
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split	5
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split	10
PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split	15
PR-8-01	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -Line Share/Split	5
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-3-02	% Missed Repair Appt -CO -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-02	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-03	Mean Time To Repair -CO Trouble -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-07	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2w Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-3-01-3342	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-3-02-3342	% Missed Repair Appointment -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-02-3342	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-03-3342	Mean Time To Repair -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-04-3342	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-07-3342	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-5-01-3342	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appointment -Loop -Line Share/Split	5
MR-3-02	% Missed Repair Appointment -CO -Line Share/Split	5
MR-4-02	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -Line Share/Split	5
MR-4-03	Mean Time To Repair -CO -Line Share/Split	5
MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split	5
	· •	

## APPENDIX A Page 8

MR-4-07	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Line Share/Split	10
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split	10

Total Weights For DSL MOE	291

## 4. Mode of Entry: Dollars At Risk – \$52,720,000

	Resale	UNE-Platform	UNE-Loop	Trunks	DSL
Monthly	\$292,889	\$2,636,000	\$585,778	\$292,889	\$585,778
Annual	\$3,514,667	\$31,632,000	\$7,029,333	\$3,514,667	\$7,029,333

### 5. Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables:

Table A-3-1: Resale

Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements-Platform

**Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements-Loop** 

**Table A-3-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

Table A-3-5: DSL

Table A-3-1: Resale

- Maximum of <u>\$3,514,667</u> per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" =  $\frac{-0.67000}{}$
- Minimum threshold =  $\frac{-0.24715}{}$
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45858

Score 1	Range	<b>Monthly Dollars:</b>
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.24715	\$0
-0.24715	-0.26941	\$58,578
-0.26941	-0.29166	\$70,910
-0.29166	-0.31392	\$83,242
-0.31392	-0.33617	\$95,574
-0.33617	-0.35843	\$107,906
-0.35843	-0.38068	\$120,239
-0.38068	-0.40294	\$132,571
-0.40294	-0.42519	\$144,903
-0.42519	-0.44745	\$157,235
-0.44745	-0.46970	\$169,567
-0.46970	-0.49196	\$181,899
-0.49196	-0.51421	\$194,232
-0.51421	-0.53647	\$206,564
-0.53647	-0.55872	\$218,896
-0.55872	-0.58098	\$231,228
-0.58098	-0.60323	\$243,560
-0.60323	-0.62549	\$255,892
-0.62549	-0.64774	\$268,225
-0.64774	-0.67000	\$280,557
-0.67000		\$292,889

**Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements-Platform** 

- Maximum of \$31,632,000 per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold =  $\frac{-0.25292}{}$
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.46146

Score Ra	inge	Monthly Dollars:
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.25292	\$0
-0.25292	-0.27487	\$527,200
-0.27487	-0.29682	\$638,189
-0.29682	-0.31877	\$749,179
-0.31877	-0.34073	\$860,168
-0.34073	-0.36268	\$971,158
-0.36268	-0.38463	\$1,082,147
-0.38463	-0.40658	\$1,193,137
-0.40658	-0.42853	\$1,304,126
-0.42853	-0.45048	\$1,415,116
-0.45048	-0.47244	\$1,526,105
-0.47244	-0.49439	\$1,637,095
-0.49439	-0.51634	\$1,748,084
-0.51634	-0.53829	\$1,859,074
-0.53829	-0.56024	\$1,970,063
-0.56024	-0.58219	\$2,081,053
-0.58219	-0.60415	\$2,192,042
-0.60415	-0.62610	\$2,303,032
-0.62610	-0.64805	\$2,414,021
-0.64805	-0.67000	\$2,525,011
-0.67000		\$2,636,000

**Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements-Loop** 

- Maximum of <u>\$ 7,029,333</u> per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold = -0.24862
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45931

Score	Range	Monthly Dollars:
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.24862	\$0
-0.24862	-0.27080	\$117,156
-0.27080	-0.29298	\$141,820
-0.29298	-0.31515	\$166,484
-0.31515	-0.33733	\$191,149
-0.33733	-0.35951	\$215,813
-0.35951	-0.38169	\$240,477
-0.38169	-0.40387	\$265,142
-0.40387	-0.42604	\$289,806
-0.42604	-0.44822	\$314,470
-0.44822	-0.47040	\$339,135
-0.47040	-0.49258	\$363,799
-0.49258	-0.51475	\$388,463
-0.51475	-0.53693	\$413,127
-0.53693	-0.55911	\$437,792
-0.55911	-0.58129	\$462,456
-0.58129	-0.60347	\$487,120
-0.60347	-0.62564	\$511,785
-0.62564	-0.64782	\$536,449
-0.64782	-0.67000	\$561,113
-0.67000		\$585,778

**Table A-3-4: Interconnection Trunks** 

- Maximum of <u>\$3,514,667</u> per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = <u>-1.00000</u>
- Minimum threshold = -0.21429
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.60715

Score Rar	nge	<b>Monthly Dollars:</b>
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.21429	\$0
-0.21429	-0.27473	\$58,578
-0.27473	-0.33517	\$76,602
-0.33517	-0.39561	\$94,626
-0.39561	-0.45605	\$112,650
-0.45605	-0.51649	\$130,674
-0.51649	-0.57693	\$148,697
-0.57693	-0.63736	\$166,721
-0.63736	-0.69780	\$184,745
-0.69780	-0.75824	\$202,769
-0.75824	-0.81868	\$220,793
-0.81868	-0.87912	\$238,817
-0.87912	-0.93956	\$256,841
-0.93956	-1.00000	\$274,865
-1.00000		\$292,889

Table A-3-5: DSL

- Maximum of \$7,029,333 per year
- Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- Minimum threshold = -0.23024
- Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45012

Score Ra	ange	Monthly Dollars:
<	And <sup>3</sup>	
	-0.23024	\$0
-0.23024	-0.25339	\$117,156
-0.25339	-0.27653	\$141,820
-0.27653	-0.29968	\$166,484
-0.29968	-0.32282	\$191,149
-0.32282	-0.34597	\$215,813
-0.34597	-0.36911	\$240,477
-0.36911	-0.39226	\$265,142
-0.39226	-0.41540	\$289,806
-0.41540	-0.43855	\$314,470
-0.43855	-0.46169	\$339,135
-0.46169	-0.48484	\$363,799
-0.48484	-0.50798	\$388,463
-0.50798	-0.53113	\$413,127
-0.53113	-0.55427	\$437,792
-0.55427	-0.57742	\$462,456
-0.57742	-0.60056	\$487,120
-0.60056	-0.62371	\$511,785
-0.62371	-0.64685	\$536,449
-0.64685	-0.67000	\$561,113
-0.67000		\$585,778

# **APPENDIX B**

[Effective Date]

# **Critical Measures Table B-1**

	CRITICAL MEASURES	UNE-Platform	UNE-Loop	Resale	DSL	Trunks	Specials	Other	Total
	PRE-ORDERING	•	* '	•	•	•			
1	OSS Interface	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444				\$1,139,331
	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI				48,815				
PO-1-06	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA			ļ	48,815				
	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI				48,815				
	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	219,665	62,483	73,222					
PO-2-02		219,665	62,483						
PO-2-02	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	219,665	62,483	73,222					
	ORDERING								
2	% On Time Ordering Notification	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$28,652		\$1,308,569
	% On Time LSRC -Flow Through	439,331	156,207	97,629	ļ				
	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl				16,272				
	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				40,679				
OR-1-04		ļ	ļ	ļ	40,679		ļ		
	% On Time FOC					35,146			
	% On Time Design Layout Record	ļ	ļ	ļ		70,293	ļ		
	% OT RespReq. for Inbound Aug. (<=192)					35,146			
	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl	ļ	ļ	ļ	16,272		ļ		
	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				16,272				
	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT) - Ln Share/Split	ļ	ļ	ļ	16,272		ļ		
OR-4-16	% On Time PCN - 1 Bus. Day	219,665		48,815					
			31,241						
	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All Spcls-UNE/Rsl	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		9,551		
	%OT LSRC/ASRC-Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All Spcls-UNE/Rsl						9,551		
OR-2-04	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-UNE/Resale	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		4,775		
OR-2-06	%OT LSR/ASR Rej-Fac Ck (Elec) –UNE/Resale						4,775		
T	PROVISIONING		T	1	1		1		
3	Installation Performance	\$658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$108,878		\$1,388,795
DD 2.01	0/ Completed in 1 Dec (1.5 lines No Disc.)	54,916		11.265					
PR-3-01		164,749	26.779	11,265 33,795					
PR-4-02 PR-4-02		104,749	26,778	33,793	2.520				
PR-4-02 PR-4-02				}	3,529 17,644				
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	I	I	}	17,644		}		
PR-4-04	Missed Appointments –Dispatch	109,833	107,113	22,530					
PR-4-04	11 1	1	107,113		3,529				
PR-4-04			ļ	ļ	3,329 8,822		}		
PR-4-04 PR-4-05		219,665	ı	45,060	0,022				
PR-4-05		219,005 	ı	43,000	3,529	ł	}		
PR-4-05 PR-4-05					17,644				
PR-4-14	1 11 1	}	ļ	l i	17,644	ł	}		
PR-4-14 PR-4-15	1 1				17,044	93,724			
PR-6-01		109,833	53,557	33,795	ļ	46,862	}		
		109,033	33,331	33,173	3,529	40,002			
	W Install Trible W/in 3(1) 19Vs = 7W/ Digital Loop = LINE/Pasala	1		!		ļ			
PR-6-01		i	1		716 /164				
PR-6-01 PR-6-01	% Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops				26,466 26,466				
PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-6-01	% Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops % Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split				26,466 26,466		1775		
PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-4-01	<ul> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops</li> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split</li> <li>Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO –UNE/Resale</li> </ul>				,		4,775 4,775		
PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-4-01 PR-4-01	<ul> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops</li> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split</li> <li>M Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO -UNE/Resale</li> <li>M Missed Appointment -VZ -DS1 -UNE/Resale</li> </ul>				,		4,775		
PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-6-01 PR-4-01	<ul> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops</li> <li>M Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split</li> <li>Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO –UNE/Resale</li> </ul>				,				

ļ		Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale % Missed Appointment - Facilities –UNE/Resale						4,775		
	PR-5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale						19,101		
	PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale						19,101 9,551		
	PR-8-01	Open Orders in Hold Status>30 Days-UNE/Resale						4,775		
İ	PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL	j j	j	İ		İ	9,551	İ	į
ļ	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – EEL	[	ļ				4,775		
	PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -EEL						1,910		
	PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - IOF						9,551		
ĺ	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - IOF	ĺ	ĺ			ĺ	4,775		1
	PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days -IOF						1010		
L	DD 4.07	O TEL D C LAND					φ1.40. <b>7</b> 0.6	1,910	ф1.40. <i>1</i>	506
5	PR-4-07	% On Time Performance - LNP Hot Cut Performance		\$187,448			\$140,586		\$140,5 \$187,4	_
3		% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut		\$107, <del>44</del> 0					\$107,2	+40
		% On Time Performance - Hot Cut								
		MAINTENANCE				·			·	T
6		Maintenace Performance	\$ 658,996	\$187,448	\$146,444	\$146,444	\$140,586	\$38,203	\$1,318,1	120
	MR-3-01	Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.	164,749		36,611					
	MD 2.01	Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.	164,749		36,611					
		Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.  Missed Repair Appointments - Loop		74,979	50,011					
i		% Missed Repr Appt -Loop-2W Digtl-UNE/Resale	i	7 1,575	i	6,367	i	i		i
		A service of the cost of the c				-,				
	MR-3-01	% Missed Repr Appt -Loop -2W xDSL Loops				15,918				
	MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appoint -Loop -Line Share/Split				15,918				
		% Cleared(all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W Dig-UNE/Resale				6,367				
ĺ			ĺ			ĺ	ĺ	ĺ		Ĭ
	MR-4-04	% Cleared (all trbls) w/in 24hrs-2W xDSL Loops				15,918				
	MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split				15,918				
	MR-4-08	Out of Service >24Hrs Bus.	82,375		18,305	- ,-	46,862			
	1	Out of Service >24Hrs Res.	82,375		18,305					
	MR-4-08	Out of Service >24Hrs Total	154.540	37,490			02.724			
	MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports within 30 Days	164,749	74,979	26.611		93,724			
	MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days-2w Digital-UNE/Resale			36,611	6,367				
		% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days-2w Digital-ONE/Resale % Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops				31,836				
i	MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split	i		į	31,836	i	j	İ	i
	MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale				,		4,775		
ļ	MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale				ļ		4,775		ļ
		% Out of Service>4 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale						4,775		
ļ		% Out of Service>24 Hrs - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	!		ļ	ļ	ļ	4,775		ļ
		% Out of Service > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale % Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale						4,775 4,775		
l		% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale			¦	ł	ł	9,551		
	1 5 51	NETWORK PERFORMANCE	ı	Į	ļ	I	J	7,551	Į.	
7	NP-1-04	Final Trunk Groups Blocked					\$140,586		\$140,5	586
		NETWORK PERFORMANCE						•		

8		Collocation							\$117,155	\$117,155
		% OT Response to Request for Collocation - Total							51,838	
	NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total							60,133	
	NP-2-07/8	Average Delay Days - Total							5,184	
		RESOLUTION PROCESS								
9		Resolution Process							\$58,577	\$58,577
	OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days							32,568	
	OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days							13,027	
	BI-3-04	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknwldgd w/ 2 Bus Days							1,222	
	BI-3-05	%CLEC Billng Claims Rslvd w/in 28 Cal. Days after Ack.							11,760	
		Month Total	\$2,635,985	\$937,239	\$585,774	\$585,774	\$702,929	\$175,732	\$175,732	\$5,799,167
		Annual Total	\$31,631,818	\$11,246,869	\$7,029,293	\$7,029,293	\$8,435,152	\$2,108,788	\$2,108,788	\$69,590,000

Under the provisions of the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

Note B: All bill credits in this section are at risk each month. Any bill credits assigned to a sub-metric that has no activity or is under development will be divided proportionately among the sub-metrics in the respective critical measures.

Note C. For Critical Measure No. 5 "Hot Cut Performance." No allocation of available bill credits is made between the sub-measures. If one sub-measure warrants an adjustment, the market adjustment percentage is applied to the entire amount of bill credits available. If both sub-measures indicate that bill credits are due to CLECs, the lower score will be used to calculate the bill credits due.

### CRITICAL MEASURES TABLE B-2

Weights for Network Performance, Resolution Timeliness and Specials

Network Perfe	Network Performance					
Maximum of	\$1,405,859 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)					
NP-2-01/2	% OT Response to Request for Collocation – Total	5				
NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation – Total	20				
NP-2-07/8	Average Delay Days – Total	10				
	Total	35				

Resolution Tim	Weight					
Maximum of \$'	Maximum of \$702,929 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)					
OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days	5				
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days	2				
BI-3-04	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days	2				
BI-3-05	% CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Ack.	20				
	Total	29				

Specials		Weight
Maximum of \$2,	108,788 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)	
	Ordering	
OR-1-04	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale	10
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC - Facil Ck(E - No FT) - All Specials - UNE/Resale	10
OR-2-04	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT) -UNE/Resale	5
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) -UNE/Resale	5
	Provisioning	
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DSO -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS1 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -Other -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale	5
PR-5-01	% Missed Appointment - Facilities -UNE/Resale	20
PR-5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale	20
PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status > 30 Days -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01-3510	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – EEL	10
PR-4-02-3510	Average Delay Days - Total – EEL	5
PR-8-01-3510	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –EEL	2
PR-4-01-3530	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – IOF	10
PR-4-02-3530	Average Delay Days – IOF	5

PR-8-01-3530	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –IOF	2
	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
	Total	184

# **APPENDIX C**

[Effective Date]

# **Performance Scores for Measures with Absolute Standards:** Table C-1

Metric #'s	Measure	0	-1	-2
PO-1 and	OSS Response Time Measures	≤ 4 second difference	$>$ 4 and $\leq$ 6 second	> 6 second difference
MR-1 <sup>65</sup>	Excluding WEB GUI		difference	
PO-1 <sup>66</sup>	OSS Response Time Measures for	≤ 7 second difference	$>$ 7 and $\leq$ 9 second	> 9 second difference
	WEB GUI		difference	
PO-2-02	OSS System Availability – Prime	≥ 99.5%	$\geq$ 98 and < 99.5%	< 98%
See Table	Metrics with 95% standards	≥ 95%	≥ 90 and < 95%	< 90%
PO-3	% Answered within 30 Seconds – Ordering & Repair	≥ 80%	≥ 75 and < 80%	< 75%
OR-4-11	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	≤0.25%	>0.25% and ≤ 1%	>1%
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10	≥ 99%	≥ 94% and < 99%	< 94%
	Business Days			
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ – Dispatch - 2 Wire xDSL	≤ 5%	> 5% and ≤10%	> 10%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot Cuts	≤ 2%	> 2% and ≤3%	> 3%
NP-2-07	Collocation – Average Delay Days	≤ 6 Days	> 6 and ≤ 15 Days	> 15 Days
NP-2-08	- Total	= 0 Duys	> 0 and = 13 Days	i io Buys
NP-1-03	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked for	Final Interconnection	Any individual Final	Any individual Final
NP-1-04	2 and 3 Months	Trunks meeting or	Interconnection Trunk	Interconnection Trunk
		exceeding blocking	group exceeding	group exceeding
		standard for one month	blocking standard for 2 months in a row	blocking standard for 3 months in a row

Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06, MR-1-01, MR-1-03, MR-1-04 and MR-1-06 for EDI and CORBA interfaces

Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06 for the WEB GUI interface

The list of Metrics with a 95% Standard appears in Table C-2.

Example: If Verizon VA were to perform at 97.0% for PO-2-02- OSS System Availability – Prime, in a month, then the performance score would be -2 for that measure.

## **Table C-2: Performance Metrics with 95% Performance Standard:**

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering Pre-Ordering
8-01	Average Response Time – Manual Loop Qualification
8-02	Average Response Time – Engineering Record Response
<u>OR</u>	Ordering
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex – 2hrs
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – Platform – 2hrs
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through – Loop/Pre-qualified – 2hrs
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Platform
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Loop/LNP
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Specials
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital-UNE/Resale
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL Loops
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC-No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – POTS/Prequalified Complex
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Platform
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Loop/LNP
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Specials
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – 2 Wire Digital-UNE/Resale
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – 2 Wire xDSL-Loops
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Line Share/Line Split
1-12	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations
1-13	% On Time Design Layout Record
1-19	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192)
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through – Platform
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Loop/Pre-qualified
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Platform
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Loop/LNP
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Specials
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital - UNE/Resale
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL-Loops
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR RejNo Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – POTS/Prequalified Complex
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) – Platform
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) - Loop/LNP

2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Specials 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital - UNE/Resale 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL - Loops 2-06 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split % On Time Trunk ASR Reject 2-12 4-09 % SOP to Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days 4-11 % Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent 4-16 % On time PCN – 1 Business Day 4-17 % On time BCN – 2 Business Days 10-01 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Business Days 5-03 % Flow Through Achieved - POTS 6-03 % Accuracy - LSRC - POTS 6-03 % Accuracy - LSRC - Platform 6-03 % Accuracy - LSRC - Loop PR **Provisioning** 3-03 % Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split 3-10 % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL - Loops 4-07 % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops 4-14 9-01 % On Time Performance - Hot Cut ΒI **Billing** 1-02 % DUF in 4 Business Days 3-04 % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days 3-05 % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. NP **Network Performance** 2-01 % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation – New 2-01 % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation – Augment 2-02 % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation – New 2-02 % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation – Augment 2-05 % On Time - Physical Location - New

2-05

2-06

2-06

% On Time - Physical Location – Augment

% On Time - Virtual Location – Augment

% On Time - Virtual Location - New

## Small Sample Size Scoring Procedures for Counted Variable Performance Measures with Absolute Standards for Use on CLEC Aggregate Results

#### A. Allowable Misses:

For counted variables with benchmark standards, it is possible to have small sample sizes, such that just a single missed transaction within a report period can cause the measure to miss its benchmark. The plan recognizes that without an allowance for a single miss, the plan would effectively require perfection to avoid bill credits, which would be above the designated benchmark for the measure. Also, a single missed transaction does not demonstrate that the measure's performance warrants a performance score of either a "-1" or a "-2". Thus a "zero weight" will be assigned in any single miss situations as specified by the criteria below. This deems the measure as neither a "pass" nor a "miss" for the purposes of bill credit calculations. In addition, if there are only 2 missed transactions in any small sample situation described below, a performance score of –1 will be assigned to the measure, again due to the minimal number of missed transactions.

For Counted Variables with Benchmark Standards that have a small number of observations in a data month, the following scoring procedures will be used at the CLEC aggregate level only:

For counted variable metrics where higher performance is better ("HIB"), e.g., 95% on-time, or a 0.95 standard:

- for any HIB counted variable metric where  $n < \{1/[1\text{-standard}]\}$ , (for example, for a 95% standard, n < (1/[1-0.95]) or n < 20)

0 misses is a "0" performance score

1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score

2 misses is a "-1" performance score

more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score

For counted variable metrics where lower performance is better ("LIB"), e.g., 5% missed appts, or a 0.05 standard:

- for any LIB counted variable metric where n < {1/[standard]}, (for example, for a 5% standard, n < (1/0.05) or n < 20)

0 misses is a "0" performance score 1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score 2 misses is a "-1" performance score more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score Examples of what should be reported in the performance scores column for measures with a 95% or a 5% standard are shown in the table below for different combinations of misses and sample sizes:

	Number of Misses			
Sample Size	0	1	2	3 or more
1	0	Blank, Zero weight	NA	NA
2	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	NA
3	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
4	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
5	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
6	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
7	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
8	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
9	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
10	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
11	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
12	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
13	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
14	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
15	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
16	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
17	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
18	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2
19	0	Blank, Zero weight	-1	-2

## **B.** CLEC Exception Process

Each month each CLEC will have the right to challenge the allowable misses or exclusions that Verizon VA may exercise pursuant to the small sample size table for performance measures with absolute standards. If a CLEC exercises this right, it must file a petition with the Commission demonstrating that the exclusion will have a significant impact on the operations of the CLEC's business and that Verizon VA should not be allowed to exclude the event pursuant to the above table. Verizon VA will have a right to respond to any such challenge by the CLEC. The Timeline for CLEC Exceptions will be the same as the Timeline for Verizon VA Exceptions under the small sample size section in Appendix D. If a CLEC's Exception [Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

Petition is granted, the	appropriate bill	credits will l	be reflected on	the CLEC's b	ill as soon as is
practical.					

# **APPENDIX D**

[Effective Date]

## **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

### A. Statistical Methodologies:

The Performance Assurance Plan uses statistical methodologies as one means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the wholesale service performance for CLECs is equivalent to the performance for Verizon VA (Incumbent LEC). Verizon VA may be required to use statistical methodologies as a means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the performance for competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) is equivalent to the performance for Verizon VA. For performance measures where "parity" is the standard and sufficient sample size exists, Verizon VA will use the "modified t statistic" proposed by a number of CLECs in LCUG (Local Competitors User Group) for measured variables. For the evaluation of parity metrics involving counted variables, the permutation test, also known as Fisher's exact test, will be used. The specific definitions and formulas are detailed below:<sup>68</sup>

#### **Definitions and Formulas:**

Measured Variables are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair, or average interval.

Counted Variables are metrics of proportions, such as percent measures.

X denotes the average performance or mean of the sample

S denotes the standard deviation

n denotes the sample size

p denotes the proportion of failed performance, for percentages 10% translates to a 0.10 proportion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Values calculated for a Z-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or greater than 5.0000 will be displayed on monthly reports as 5.0000 and values for a Z-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or less than -5.0000 will be displayed as –5.0000.

A statistical score below –1.645 is associated with a 5% percent or less chance that the performance for the CLEC will be incorrectly judged as being inferior to Verizon VA, when, in fact, the performance for the CLEC is superior (Type I error). Note: For the purposes of the statistical evaluation of measured variable sample sizes of 30 or more, the standard normal Z distribution is used as reasonably approximating Student's t distribution.

Counted Variables: The statistical score equivalent for counted variables is the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as the significance probability of the permutation test (a.k.a., Fisher's exact test). Specifically, the statistical score equivalent refers to the inverse of the standard normal cumulative distribution associated with the following hypergeometric distribution probability of seeing the number of failures, or greater in the CLEC sample.

$$1 - \left\{ \sum_{i = \max(\ 0, \{[n_{inc}p_{inc} + n_{clec}\ p_{clec}\ ] + [n_{clec}\ p_{clec}\ ] + [n_{inc} + n_{clec}\ ]\})} \frac{\binom{[n_{clec}\ p_{clec}\ + n_{inc}\ p_{inc}\ ]}{i}\binom{[n_{clec}\ + n_{inc}\ ] - [n_{clec}\ p_{clec}\ + n_{inc}\ p_{inc}\ ]}{n_{clec}\ - i}}{\binom{[n_{clec}\ p_{clec}\ + n_{inc}\ ]}{n_{clec}\ }} \right\}}$$

Measured Variables: The statistical score is the LCUG-t score

$$t = \frac{\overline{X}_{inc} - \overline{X}_{clec}}{\sqrt{S_{inc}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{n_{inc}} + \frac{1}{n_{clec}}\right)}}$$

Note: If the metric is one where a higher mean or higher percentage signifies better performance, the means (measured variables) in the numerator of the LCUG t formula should be reversed.

### **B.** Sample Size Requirements:

#### SMALL SAMPLE SIZE

The assumptions that underlie the statistical models used here include the requirement that the two groups of data are comparable. With larger sample sizes, differences in characteristics associated with individual customers are more likely to average out. With smaller sample sizes, there may be an issue regarding whether or not the characteristics of the sample reasonably represent the population. In order to permit meaningful statistical analysis to be performed and confident conclusions to be drawn, the sample size must be sufficiently large to minimize the violations of the assumptions underlying the statistical model. This involves not only statistical considerations, but also requires some practical judgement. The following will indicate the minimum sample sizes below which parity metrics results (for both counted and measured variables) may not permit reasonable statistical conclusions.

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions:

If there are only 6 of one group (Verizon VA or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other is to be used for statistical evaluation.

A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Carrier Working Group for further evaluation. A statistical score will not be reported; however, the means (or proportions), number of observations, standard deviation (for means only) and sampling error will be reported.

#### MEASURED VARIABLES WITH SAMPLE SIZE LESS THAN 30

If either the CLEC or Verizon VA sample size is less than 30 for a measured variable and if the sample sizes exceed the minimum sample sizes described above, then the following statistical evaluation procedure will be used:

If the absolute performance for the CLEC is better than the Verizon VA performance, no statistical analysis is required. When a measured variable that is evaluated for parity does not require a permutation test because the number of Verizon or CLEC observations in a month is less than 30 and the CLEC performance is not worse than the corresponding Verizon retail performance, the LCUG-t scores will be displayed in the statistical score column.

- a.) If the performance is worse for the CLEC than for Verizon VA, Verizon VA may use the LCUG t score until such time as a permutation test can be run in an automated fashion.

  Once the permutation test can be run in an automated fashion, it should be performed for all measured variable statistical tests having a sample size of less than 30.
- b.) If the LCUG t score indicates an "out of parity" result, Verizon VA will run the permutation test.
- c.) If the permutation test shows an "out of parity" condition, Verizon VA may perform a root cause analysis to determine cause, or may be required by the Carrier Working Group to perform a root cause analysis. If the cause is the result of "clustering" within the data, Verizon VA will provide such documentation. The nature of the variables used in the performance measures is that they do not meet the requirements 100% of the time for any statistical testing. Individual data points are not independent. The primary example of such non-independence is a cable failure. If a particular CLEC has fewer than 30

troubles and all are within the same cable failure with long duration, the performance will appear out of parity. However, for all troubles, including Verizon VA's troubles, within that individual event, the trouble duration is identical. Another example of clustering is if a CLEC has a small number of orders in a single location, with a facility problem. If this facility problem exists for all customers served by that cable and is longer than the average facility problem, the orders are not independent and clustering occurs. Finally, if root cause shows that the difference in performance is the result of CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will identify such behavior and work with the respective CLEC on corrective action.

## Flow Chart of Log Gamma Based Hypergeometric Routine for PAP Report Counted Variable Metric Comparisons

START							
Collect Inputs							
$\downarrow$							
Incumbent	Incumbent Total	CLEC Total Obs					
Incumbent CLEC Proport Proportion (clecprop)		Obs (inctotal))	(clectotal				
(incprop)							
	,						
Calculate: CLF	C Failures (clecfail)						
Incu	mbent Failures (incfa	il)					
Tota	l Failures (totfail)						
Com	Combined Total Observations (tottotal)						
Total Proportion (totprop)							
Note: If metric	is one where a higher	percentage is better,	the number of				
failures is calcu	lated as one minus th	e proportion multipli	ed by the number				

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions: If there are only 6 of one group (ILEC or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

of observations instead of reported proportion x number of observations.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other ok for statistical evaluation. A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Carrier Working Group for further evaluation.

Set "cumulative probability total" cell entry to 0

Loop: For i = max(0, [totfail + clectotal - tottotal]) to (clecfail - 1):

Use the natural logarithm of the gamma function to calculate the probability of getting exactly i failures in a sample the size of the CLEC total given the combined total failures and the combined total number of observations.

- i.e. = exp[ln gamma(totfail+1)
  - +ln gamma(tottotal-totfail+1)
  - +ln gamma(tottotal-clectotal+1)
  - +ln gamma(clectotal+1)
  - -ln gamma(i+1)
  - -ln gamma(totfail-i+1)
  - -ln gamma(tottotal+i-totfail-clectotal+1)
  - -ln gamma(clectotal-i+1)

-ln gamma(tottotal+1)]

Add this probability to the entry in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

 $\downarrow$ 

The probability for the metric comparison is based upon the cumulative probability that exists in the "cumulative probability total" cell at the end of looping.

 $\downarrow$ 

Determine the C2C Report "Statistical Score Equivalent" as the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as one minus the probability in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

## **C.** Verizon Exceptions Process:

- 1. Another assumption underlying the statistical models used here is the assumption that the data are independent. In some instances, events included in the performance measures of provisioning and maintenance of telecommunication services are not independent. The lack of independence is referred to as "clustering" of data. Clustering occurs when individual items (orders, troubles, *etc.*) are clustered together as one single event. This being the case, Verizon VA will have the right to file an exception to the performance scores in the Performance Assurance Plan if the following events occur:
  - e.) Event Driven Clustering Cable Failure: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's troubles are in a single cable failure, Verizon VA may provide data demonstrating that all troubles within that failure, including Verizon VA troubles were resolved in an equivalent manner. Then, Verizon VA also will provide the repair performance data with that cable failure performance excluded from the overall performance for both the CLEC and Verizon VA and the remaining troubles will be compared according to normal statistical methodologies.
  - f.) Location Driven Clustering Facility Problems: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's missed installation orders and resulting delay days were due to an individual location with a significant facility problem, Verizon VA will provide the data demonstrating that the orders were "clustered" in a single facility shortfall. Then, Verizon VA will provide the provisioning performance with that data excluded.

Additional location driven clustering may be demonstrated by disaggregating performance into smaller geographic areas.

- g.) Time Driven Clustering Single Day Events: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of CLEC activity, provisioning or maintenance, occurs on a single day within a month, and that day represents an unusual amount of activity in a single day, Verizon VA will provide the data demonstrating the activity is on that day. Verizon VA will compare that single day's performance for the CLEC to Verizon VA's own performance. Then, Verizon will provide data with that day excluded from overall performance to demonstrate "parity."
- h.) CLEC Actions: If performance for any measure is impacted by unusual CLEC behavior, Verizon VA will bring such behavior to the attention of the CLEC to attempt resolution. Examples of CLEC behavior impacting performance results include order quality, causing excessive missed appointments, incorrect dispatch identification, resulting in excessive multiple dispatch and repeat reports, inappropriate X coding on orders, where extended due dates are desired, and delays in rescheduling appointments, when Verizon has missed an appointment. If such action negatively impacts performance, Verizon will provide appropriate detail documentation of the events and communication to the individual CLEC and the Commission.

### 2. Documentation:

Verizon VA will provide all details, ensuring protection of customer proprietary

information, to the CLEC and Commission. Details include, individual trouble reports, and orders with analysis of Verizon VA and CLEC performance. For cable failures, Verizon VA will provide appropriate documentation detailing all other troubles associated with that cable failure.

## 3. Timeline for Exceptions Process:

The following is an example illustrating the timeline for the Exception Process.

Action	Date
January Performance Reports	February 29 <sup>th</sup>
Verizon Files Exceptions on January Performance	March 15 <sup>th</sup>
CLEC and other interested parties Files Reply to	April 1 <sup>st</sup>
Verizon Exceptions	
Commission Issues Ruling on Exceptions	April 15 <sup>th</sup>
February Performance Reports	March 29th
March Performance Reports	April 29 <sup>th</sup>
Credits Processed for January Performance	By May 1st

# **APPENDIX E**

### **Mode of Entry Bill Credit Mechanism**

The following are the steps that will be undertaken to determine whether Bill Credits are due to any CLECs for the MOE categories.

- 7. For each MOE measure with a "parity" standard: Calculate Z or t score or perform permutation test (for small samples). <sup>69</sup>
- 8. Convert Z, t or permutation equivalent score to performance score pursuant to the following table:

Statistical Score	Performance Score
£ -1.645	-2
£ -0.8225 and > -1.645	-1
> -0.8225	$0^{70}$

9. For each MOE measure with an absolute standard: Determine Performance Score using performance range for the applicable measure. For small sample sizes, the small sample size table for

When "no activity occurs" in a metric or when there is insufficient sample size for a metric as specified in Appendix D, the performance measure and its weight will be excluded from performance score. Measures and weights will not be excluded when there is a combination of no CLEC activity on an "Average Delay Day" measure, and activity with 0% performance on the corresponding CLEC "% Missed Appointment" measure (or 100% on a % On-Time measure) in the same report period. The Average Delay Day measure receives a "0" performance score and retains its assigned weight for the month when these combinations occur. The following tables lists the measure combinations:

		Average Delay Day Measures		% Missed Appointment or %Complete On-Time Measures
Resale	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	<ul><li>% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch – POTS</li><li>% Missed Appointment - VZ – No Dispatch - POTS</li></ul>
UNE - Platform	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	<ul> <li>Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform</li> <li>Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - Platform</li> </ul>
UNE - Loop	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New
2 Wire Digital	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale % Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale
2Wire DSL	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	PR-4-14	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops
Line Share/Split	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split % Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split
Collocation	NP-2- 07/8	Average Delay Days - Total	NP-2- 05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total

<sup>:</sup> 

For report rate measures – regardless of Z or t score – if absolute difference is less than 0.1%, the performance score is a 0.

measures with absolute standards is used. (See Appendix C.)

- 10. If the Aggregate Total Performance Score for a MOE is greater than the minimum value allowable for the applicable MOE (*See* Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A), no bill credits are due to the CLECs that received the particular MOE services in that month. If the value is equal to or less than a minimum value, CLECs will be paid Bill Credits pursuant to the Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A, which will be adjusted to reflect the monthly volumes or units being used by the CLECs.<sup>71</sup>
- 11. The MOE Bill Credit Table reflects (1) the range of the aggregate performance scores from the minimum to maximum, (2) the monthly dollars attributable to each score, (3) the aggregate CLEC monthly volumes for the measure, and (4) the corresponding monthly rate that will be paid to each CLEC if Verizon VA's performance is at that particular level. The individual CLEC's Bill Credit will be determined by multiplying the CLEC's monthly units in service by the applicable rate for the Aggregate MOE score.
- 12. For example, assume the first two steps of the UNE–Platform Bill Credit Table were as follows:

Score	Mon. \$	Mon. Vol.	Mon. Rate
-0.36268	\$1,082,147	100,000	\$10.82
-0.38463	\$1,193,137	100,000	\$11.93

Using the above Credit Table, if the Aggregate MOE score was -0.3700 and a CLEC had 5,000 UNE-Platform lines (at the end of the month), it would entitled to a \$54,100 Bill Credit ( $$10.82 \times 5,000 = $54,100$ ).

[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

The measurement units for UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, and Resale are lines in service. For Interconnection, it is minutes in use.

### 8. The Domain Clustering Rule

The Mode of Entry measures are classified into four key domains: Pre-Order, Ordering, Provisioning and Maintenance. To ensure that competition is not negatively influenced by poor performance on measures in any one of these domains, a Domain Clustering Rule has been established under this Plan. The rule, which applies only to the UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs, enables the entire mode of entry performance score to be modified if 75% or more of the total weights for the measures in any of the domains is tripped. For the Pre-Order domain, this percentage is reduced to 66.7%. Under this rule, the lower of the overall MOE score or the Domain score will be used to determine whether any bill credits are due. The domain score will be calculated as follows: First, determine the % of weights tripped, e.g., if a domain contained a number of metrics with a total weight of 80, and 65 of the 80 weights were tripped, the domain percentage would be 81.2%. Since this is greater than 75%, the domain clustering rule will apply. Next, determine the difference between the minimum and maximum performance scores for the MOE, in which the domain appeared. For example, the minimum score for the UNE-Platform MOE is -0.25292 and the maximum score for the UNE-Platform MOE is -0.67000, therefore, the difference is -0.41708. This figure would be multiplied by the 81.2%. This equals -0.33867. This number (-0.33867) would be added to the minimum score and would result in a domain clustering score of -0.59159. If the MOE score were -0.388, the performance score for the MOE would be replaced with the domain clustering score of -0.59159 based on the Domain Clustering Rule.

# **APPENDIX F**

### **Critical Measures Performance Scoring**

- A. The following steps would be taken to determine which CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Aggregate Rule, *i.e.*, when aggregate CLEC performance falls below standard for a critical measure.
  - 1. Calculate the total dollars available for Bill Credits per critical measure per month.

An increment table will be developed for each critical measure to determine the Bill Credits available for unsatisfactory performance, *i.e.*, at or less than performance scores of -1. The tables will range from 50% of the maximum monthly amount for -1 performance to 100% of the maximum monthly amount for –2 performance. <sup>72</sup> A sample table appears below for Z and t and performance scores where the maximum monthly amount for the measure is \$140,586.

Table F-1-1
Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures
Measures with Statistical Evaluation Standards

Statistic	cal Score	Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	> -0.8225	0	0%	\$0
≤ -0.8225	> -0.9048	-1	50%	\$70,293
≤ -0.9048	> -0.9870	-1	55%	\$77,322
≤ -0.9870	> -1.0693	-1	60%	\$84,352
≤ -1.0693	> -1.1515	-1	65%	\$91,381
≤ -1.1515	> -1.2338	-1	70%	\$98,410
≤ -1.2338	> -1.3160	-1	75%	\$105,439
≤ -1.3160	> -1.3983	-1	80%	\$112,469
≤ -1.3983	> -1.4805	-1	85%	\$119,498
≤ -1.4805	> -1.5628	-1	90%	\$126,527
≤ -1.5628	> -1.6450	-1	95%	\$133,557
≤ - 1.645		-2	100%	\$140,586

For Hot Cut Performance, if either metric is below standard, the entire critical measure is treated as below standard.

Table F-1-2
Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures
Measures with 95% Standards <sup>73</sup>

% Perfe	ormance	Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	≥ 95.0	0	0%	\$0
< 95.0	≥ 94.5	-1	50%	\$70,293
< 94.5	≥ 94.0	-1	55%	\$77,322
< 94.0	≥ 93.5	-1	60%	\$84,352
< 93.5	≥ 93.0	-1	65%	\$91,381
< 93.0	≥ 92.5	-1	70%	\$98,410
< 92.5	≥ 92.0	-1	75%	\$105,439
< 92.0	≥ 91.5	-1	80%	\$112,469
< 91.5	≥ 91.0	-1	85%	\$119,498
< 91.0	≥ 90.5	-1	90%	\$126,527
< 90.5	≥ 90.0	-1	95%	\$133,557
< 90.0		-2	100%	\$140,586

# 2. The aggregate performance score would be used to determine the amount of Bill Credits available for CLECs who received unsatisfactory performance.

Pursuant to table F-1-1, \$70,293 would be available if the aggregate Z-score equaled -0.823 and the performance score equaled -1.74

#### 3. Determine which CLECs qualify for the market adjustment.

For measures where the statistical score is used, the cutoff point for qualification is Verizon VA's score on the critical measure +/- one sampling error (based upon the Verizon VA sampling error). Each CLEC's performance is compared to the cutoff point. Performance equal to or less than the cutoff qualifies for Bill Credits. For example, if

For Performance Measures with other % standards, the range of performance will be similarly distributed in 10 even increments.

When calculating a market adjustment for metrics that use absolute standards (generally a 95% standard) all CLECs at the -1 level or less would qualify. The calculation of the dollars is similar to the Z-score method.

Verizon VA's performance score was .13 and the sampling error was .03, all CLECs with scores equal to or greater than .16 would qualify.

#### 4. Calculate the individual market adjustments for qualified CLECs.

- a. Determine each CLEC's allocated weight. Multiply the CLEC's score on the measure by the volume of its service to be credited.
- b. Determine each CLEC's weighted share. Aggregate the amounts from step "a" and divide each CLECs share by this total to determine each CLEC's weighted share.
- c. Determine each CLEC's dollar share. Multiply the CLEC's weighted share by the total amount available for market adjustment.
- B. The following steps will be taken to determine whether any CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Individual Rule, <u>i.e.</u>, for CLECs who receive a performance score  $\leq$  -1 for two consecutive months<sup>75</sup>:
  - 1. Determine if any CLECs qualify for Bill Credit Adjustment. CLECs qualify for a Bill Credit if they received a final score equal to or less than -.8225 for Z and t scores or equal to or less than -1 for absolute scores on any of the measures included in the critical measurements for the applicable month.
  - 2. Determine each CLEC's Bill Credit Adjustment base. The CLEC's individual Z or t or performance score is used as a starting point to determine the monthly amount available for bill credits to that CLEC.
  - 3. Calculate Bill Credit Adjustment to apply to the CLECs impacted. The monthly dollars available to the CLEC are converted to a rate

For the individual rule, if a CLEC has a performance score of -1 or less in the current month where Verizon passes a measure at the aggregate level and there is no activity in the previous month to determine the CLEC's eligibility for payment under the individual rule, VZ will instead look back one additional month for a performance score of -1 or less for the eligibility determination. If there is not activity in either of the two previous months, the individual rule will not be triggered.

[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

assuming that 1/3 of the market would receive a Z or t-score of -0.8225 or less or a performance score of -0.8225 or less. This rate is multiplied by the CLEC's qualified volume (0.9, lines in service) to determine the amount to be credited to the CLEC for that critical measure.

# **APPENDIX G**

# **APPENDIX H**

## **Special Provisions**

### **UNE Ordering Performance Measures:**

Verizon VA will provide an additional \$1,405,833 in monthly bill credits for UNE Order Confirmation Performance based on four POTS metrics included in the MOE category. If on-time performance falls below 90% for any month, a credit of \$351,458 for each metric missing the standard will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures. Funding for these credits will be taken from funds that are unused in 6 previous months or from the current month. No new funds are available. The metrics and standards are as follows:

Threshold Metric # POTS Electronically Submitted < 90% OR-1-04 % On Time LSRC/ASRC – No Facility Check (Electronic – No Flow Through) Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP % On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility OR-1-06 < 90% Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP OR-2-04 % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – No < 90% Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP % On Time LSR/ASR Reject – Facility OR-2-06 < 90% Check (Electronic-No Flow-Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Any bill credit amounts due for Special Provisions UNE Ordering are to be allocated between UNE-Platform and UNE-Loop in the same proportions as the totals at risk for the two modes in MOE. Then, within each mode, the amounts are to be allocated corresponding to each CLEC's UNE-Platform lines as a proportion of total UNE-Platform lines and each CLEC's UNE-Loops as a proportion of total UNE-Loops.

#### Flow Through:

An additional \$7.03 million per year is available for flow through performance. Two performance measures for UNE from the Carrier to Carrier Performance Guidelines will be used to measure performance with the performance scores set forth below.

Metric #		Threshold
OR-5-01	% Flow Through – Total – UNE	$\geq 80\%^{77}$
OR-5-03	% Flow Through – Achieved – UNE	$\geq 95\%^{78}$

For each measure, the UNE scores will be combined and reviewed on a calendar quarterly basis. If the combined score meets either target, no additional credits are due. If the combined score meets neither metric target for that calendar quarter, then one-fourth (1/4) of the annual amount will be credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs based on the number of lines in service. Lines in service will equal: UNE-Platform and UNE Loops.<sup>79</sup>

The following table demonstrates the calculation of calendar quarterly flow through performance:

### **Quarterly Flow Through Performance:**

Month 1 Month 2 Month 3 Total

Total Orders that Flow Through UNE

15000 | 18000 | 17000 | 50000

Total Orders Processed UNE

25000 | 21000 | 22000 | 68000

**Total % Flow Through - UNE Combined for Quarter:** 

73.5%

[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> For the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP first becomes effective, bill credits under this section "Flow Through" will be calculated based upon the performance for the calendar month in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective and the remaining calendar months (if any) in the calendar quarter in which the Virginia PAP becomes effective. Any bill credits due for such calendar quarter will be pro-rated based on the duration of the measurement period (i.e., if the measurement is based on one month of performance data, the amount that would be due would be one-third of the full quarterly amount that would have been due had Verizon VA's measured performance for that month been Verizon VA's measured performance for a full calendar quarter).

**Total Orders Designed to Flow Through that Flow Through** 

**UNE** 15000 18000 17000 50000

**Total Orders Designed to Flow Through:** 

**UNE** | 18000 | 19000 | 18000 | 55000

Total % Achieved Flow Through – UNE Combined for Quarter:

90.9%

In this example, neither metric met the performance threshold, therefore, \$1.76 million would have been credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs.

#### **Additional Hot Cut Loop Performance Measures:**

An additional \$16.87 Million per year is available for Hot Cut Loop performance. This measure will be composed of two performance metrics: PR-9-01 – "% On Time - Hot Cut Loop" and PR-6-02 – "% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot Cut Loop." If either one of these thresholds is missed, additional bill credits will be distributed to the CLECs.

This measure has two tiers of performance standards. Tidrwill be applied to a two month scenario, and TierII will be applied to a one month scenario. The Tier I threshold is measured based on two consecutive months of performance, while the Tier II threshold is measured based on an individual month's performance. The performance thresholds are contained in the table below:

Metric #		Tier I	Tier II
		Threshold	
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop <sup>81</sup>	< 90%	< 85%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles Reported within 7 Days – Hot	≥ 3.00%	≥ 4.00%
	Cut Loop		

Under Tier I, if Verizon VA does not satisfy the above standards for two consecutive months, it

These two measures are also included in the Critical Measurements method, and additional bill credits may be due if Verizon VA does not satisfy that Critical Measure.

[Draft 2/13/03] [In final document, insert the date on which the revised VA PAP will go into effect.]

will distribute \$702,917 to the affected CLECs. Under Tier II, if Verizon VA does not satisfy the above standards for a single month, it will distribute \$1,405,833 to the affected CLECs. Below is an example of how this measure would work.

#### Example:

Metric #		Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance
		For Month 1	for Month 2	for Month 3	for Month 4
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop	84%	91%	91%	91%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles	2%	3.5%	2%	3.5%
	Reported within 7 Days – Hot				
	Cut Loop				
	Credit for the Month	\$1,405,833	\$702,917	\$0	\$0

In month 1, Verizon VA did not satisfy the more stringent requirements of Tier II and \$1,405,833 in bill credits would be due.

In month 2, Verizon VA satisfied the performance standard under Tier II, but not the less severe standard under Tier I. Bill credits would be due, however, because Verizon VA failed to meet the Tier I standard two months in a row. (Month 1 counts against Verizon VA.) In month 3 both the Tier I and II standards were met, Verizon VA would owe nothing.

In month 4, the Tier I performance standard was not met, but no bill credits would be due since Tier I requires Verizon VA to fail these performance standards two months in a row. Verizon VA service in month 3 was satisfactory. Month 5 would determine whether bill credits would be due under either Tier I or Tier II.

<sup>81 %</sup> On Time – Hot Cut Loop performance will be adjusted such that any missed appointment for customer reasons

<sup>-</sup> due to late FOC will be counted as a miss.

# **APPENDIX I**

# CHANGE CONTROL ASSURANCE PLAN

## **VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS	2
III.	MONTHLY REPORTS	3
IV.	REVIEWS, UPDATES AND AUDITS	4
V.	EXCEPTION PROCESS	4
VI.	TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS	4
VII.	FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT	5
	TABLE I-A – Change Control Measures	

#### INTRODUCTION

To ensure that Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon VA"), will execute the Change Control process in an expeditious and non-discriminatory manner, Verizon VA will undertake the actions set forth in this Change Control Assurance Plan (the "CCAP"). A total of \$17.58 million in bill credits will be at risk to CLECs if Verizon VA provides unsatisfactory service for the four measures in this Plan.

#### THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS

The following measures are included in this Plan:

- 1. PO-4-01: % Change Management Notices Sent on Time;
- 2. PO-4-03: Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days;
- 3. PO-6-01: % Software Validation; and
- 4. PO-7-04: Delay Hours Failed/Rejected Test Transactions No Workaround.

Attached hereto as Appendix A is a chart that provides the standards that will be applied to each of the above measures and the total amount of bill credits associated with each standard. If a performance measure is missed according to its standards, bill credits will be paid to all CLECs purchasing Unbundled Network Elements ("UNEs") or resold services. CLECs will receive bill credits on a prorated basis of the total credit determined using Appendix A based on their lines in service. This Plan will use the same mechanisms set forth in the Performance Assurance Plan for determining "lines in service." (See PAP Section II (C)(2))

Under this Change Control Assurance Plan, Verizon VA will retain the right to

withdraw any proposed software release prior to the item being put into final production. If Verizon VA exercises this right, it will not be deemed to have violated the requirements set forth in PO-4-01, PO-4-03, PO-6-01 or PO-7-04 and will not be subject to the payment of bill credits under those measures.

The initial amount of annual bill credits for all CLECs will be \$7.03 million under this Plan. If, however, the bill credits due to the CLECs under this Plan exceed \$7.03 million in any year, <sup>82</sup> an additional amount of \$10.55 million will be at risk from the bill credit amounts allocated to the Mode of Entry Categories in the Performance Assurance Plan. Thus, a total of \$17.58 million will be available for bill credits for the Change Control measures. Bill credit payments for Change Control measures will be given priority over bill credits for the MOE categories.

The Commission will have the authority to reallocate the monthly distribution of bill credits between and among any provisions of the PAP and the CCAP. The Commission will give the Company 15 days notice prior to the beginning of the month in which the reallocation will occur. Any reallocation will be done pursuant to Commission order.

#### MONTHLY REPORTS

Each month Verizon VA will issue a report on its performance on the above measures to each CLEC providing service in Virginia. <sup>83</sup> The reports will be CLEC specific and will indicate the scores on the measures, the aggregate amount of bill credits, if any, that Verizon VA must provide pursuant to the standards set forth in Table I-A, and the specific amount of bill credits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> The "year" will be measured from the first day that the Virginia PAP first went into effect (October 1, 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Verizon VA's performance on the other Change Control metrics will be reported in the monthly C2C reports.

that will appear on the individual CLEC's bill. All CLECs with multiple bill accounts must inform Verizon VA as to which of their accounts should receive any bill credits for the Change Control measures.

REVIEWS, UPDATES ANDAUDITS

Annual reviews and updates will occur under this Plan until the Commission determines otherwise. However, Verizon VA and any other interested party, after consulting with Staff, may at any time recommend to the Commission modifications, additions, or deletions to the measures in this Plan or the bill credit allocations. Verizon VA, CLECs and any other interested parties will be given an opportunity to provide comments on any recommendations. In addition, Staff will have the right from time to time, on 60-days notice to Verizon VA, to conduct an audit of data reported in the monthly reports.<sup>4</sup>

**EXCEPTION PROCESS** 

Verizon VA will have the right to file a petition with the Commission seeking to have the standards contained in Tabla-A waived or modified either for future or past periods. The Commission shall grant such a request if it determines that the application of one or more of the standards contained in Table I-A would not serve the public interest. The application of one or more parts of TableI-A would not serve the public interset if Verizon VA could not, through any reasonable efforts, prevent results that do not satisfy the standards. Verizon VA's petition must include all information that demonstrates how the measure was missed. It shall also include a recalculation of the measure with the challenged information excluded from the calculations. CLECs and other interested parties will be given an opportunity to respond to any Verizon VA petition for an Exception. In the event the Commission rules in Verizon VA's favor, Verizon VA will have the right to offset any paid bill credits against any future bill credits that may come due for either the Change Control measures or Performance Assurance Plan measures.

TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS

The Change Control Assurance Plan will have the same term as the Performance

Assurance Plan. It will remain in effect, as modified from time to time by the Commission, until the Commission rescinds the Performance Assurance Plan or develops a replacement mechanism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Unlike most of the measures in the PAP, the recording of data for each of the measures in this Plan will be done manually.

#### FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT

The terms and provisions of this Plan are submitted in their entirety to the Commission for approval. This Plan represents a fully integrated statement of the commitments Verizon VA will undertake, including the payment of bill credits for unsatisfactory performance under the measures. It is not offered to the Commission for approval on a piecemeal basis.

Appendix I
Page 6

T
ABLE
I-A

P
AGE
1

### **Change Control Performance Assurance Plan Measures**

PO-4-01	% Change Management Notices Sent on Time			_
	Performance Range (Notification and	≥ 95%	90 to 94.9%	< 90%
	Confirmation for Types 3, 4 and 5 only)			
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$175,750	\$351,500
PO-4-03	Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days	(Notification a	and Confirmation f	or Type 1, 2, 3,
	and 5)			
	Performance Credit	\$17,575 per day		
PO-6-01	% Software Validation (See Note 1)			
	Performance Range	≤ 5%	5.1 to 10%	> 10%
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$70,300	\$703,000
PO-7-04	Delay Hours – Failed/Rejected Test Transactions – No Workaround (See Note 2)			
	Performance Credit	\$35,150 per day		
			Per Release	

Note 1: Measured against releases pursuant to Change Notice Types 3, 4 and 5.

Note 2: PO-7-04 applies to failed Test Deck items executed by Verizon VA in PO-6-01 and applies until all errors reported in PO-6-01 are fixed.